

कार्यालय निदेशक, प्रारंभिक शिक्षा, राजस्थान, बीकानेर

कमांक-शिविरा/प्रारं/शिक्षक संस्थापन/एफ.2/ग्रा.प.स./17 दिनांक-24.12.17


समस्त उपनिदेशक,
प्रारंभिक शिक्षा

समस्त जिला शिक्षा अधिकारी
प्रारंभिक शिक्षा

विषय-ग्राप पंचायत सहायकों के चयन से शेष रही ग्राम पंचायतों में
चयन हेतु निर्देश एवं माननीय न्यायालय द्वारा पारित आदेश
दिनांक 27.11.17 के क्रम में कार्यवाही करने के संबंध में।
प्रसंग-उपशासन सचिव, प्राशि, जयपुर के पत्रांक: प.15(1)प्राशि/2017
दिनांक: 24.12.17 (दो पत्र)

उपर्युक्त विषयान्तर्गत प्रासांगिक पत्रों से राज्य सरकार द्वारा ग्राप पंचायत सहायकों के चयन से शेष रही ग्राम पंचायतों में चयन हेतु निर्देश प्रदान किये गये हैं एवं माननीय न्यायालय द्वारा याचिका सं. 12299/2017 में पारित आदेश दिनांक 27.11.17 के क्रम में कार्यवाही करने के संबंध में निर्देश जारी किये हैं। उक्त आदेशों/निर्देशों की प्रति शासन स्तर से सीधे ही आपको प्रेषित की गई है।

प्रासांगिक पत्रों की प्रतियां एवं माननीय न्यायालय द्वारा पारित निर्णय की प्रति इस पत्र के साथ संलग्न कर निर्देशित किया जाता है कि शासन द्वारा जारी दिशा निर्देशों के अनुरूप आवश्यक कार्यवाही तत्काल करावें।
संलग्न-उपरोक्तानुसार


निदेशक,

प्रारंभिक शिक्षा, राजस्थान,
बीकानेर।

प्रतिलिपि उप शासन सचिव, प्रारंभिक शिक्षा विभाग, शासन सचिवालय, जयपुर को प्रासांगिक पत्रों के संबंध में।


निदेशक,

प्रारंभिक शिक्षा, राजस्थान,
बीकानेर।

जयपुर, दिनांक: 24/12/2017

क्रमांक: प.15(1)प्राशि/2017

निदेशक,
प्रारंभिक शिक्षा राजस्थान,
बीकानेर

निदेशक,
माध्यमिक शिक्षा, राजस्थान,
बीकानेर

विषय :- ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों के चयन से शेष रही ग्राम पंचायतों में चयन हेतु निर्देश।

महोदय,

उपर्युक्त विषयान्तर्गत इस विभाग के समसंख्यक पत्र दिनांक 15.09.2017 द्वारा ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों के चयन से शेष रही ग्राम पंचायतों में चयन हेतु कलैण्डर जारी किया गया था। माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर में दायर एसबी सिविल रिट याचिका संख्या 12342/2017 जुगता राम बनाम राजस्थान व अन्य तथा विभिन्न याचिकाओं में पारित आदेश दिनांक 03.10.2017 की पालना करने हेतु इस विभाग के समसंख्यक पत्र दिनांक 03.10.2017 द्वारा लिखा गया था।

माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर में दायर एसबी सिविल रिट याचिका संख्या 15837/2017 मनी लाल पाण्डोर बनाम राज. राज्य व अन्य तथा अन्य विभिन्न याचिकाओं, जिसमें उक्त याचिका संख्या 12342/2017 भी सम्मिलित है, में माननीय न्यायालय द्वारा दिनांक 13.12.2017 (प्रति संलग्न) को पारित आदेश में इस विभाग द्वारा दिनांक 15.09.2017 को जारी कलैण्डर अनुसार की जा रही प्रक्रिया/कार्यवाही को सही व उचित माना है। अतः निम्न स्थितियों को ध्यान में रखते हुए ग्राम पंचायत सहायक की चयन प्रक्रिया को पूर्ण किया जाना है।

(अ) माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर में दायर एसबी सिविल रिट संख्या 12299/2017 सुभाष चन्द्र बनाम राज. राज्य व अन्य व विभिन्न याचिकाओं में दिनांक 27.11.2017 (प्रति संलग्न) को पारित निर्णयानुसार याचीगण (हटाये गये ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों) को जिला कलक्टर की अध्यक्षता में गठित समिति द्वारा सुना जाकर, पारित किये जाने वाले आदेशानुसार कार्यवाही की जायेगी।

(ब) अतिरिक्त महाधिवक्ता महोदय, राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय जोधपुर से हुई चर्चानुसार पंचायती राज विभाग द्वारा डूंगरपुर, जालौर एवं जैसलमेर जिलों से चूरु जिले को हस्तान्तरित 179 ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों की संख्या के सम्बन्ध में माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय में विभिन्न याचिकाएं अभी विचाराधीन है। अतः चूरु जिले में हस्तान्तरित 179 ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों की चयन प्रक्रिया को माननीय न्यायालय के निर्णयानुसार ही पूर्ण किया जायेगा।

अतः चयन से शेष रही ग्राम पंचायतों में एसबी सिविल रिट संख्या 12299/2017 सुभाष चन्द्र बनाम राज. राज्य व अन्य व विभिन्न याचिकाओं के याचीगणों से सम्बन्धित ग्राम पंचायतों में याचीगण की संख्यानुसार पदों एवं चूरु जिले में हस्तान्तरित 179 पदों की चयन प्रक्रिया को शेष रखते हुए दिनांक 15.9.2017 को जारी कलेण्डर अनुसार नवीन तिथियां निर्धारित कर अपूर्ण रही ग्राम पंचायत सहायक के चयन की प्रक्रिया को शीघ्र पूर्ण किया जाना सुनिश्चित करावें।
संलग्न- उपरोक्तानुसार

31/09/2017
शासन उप सचिव

प्रतिलिपि निम्न को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है।-

1. निजी सचिव, प्रमुख सचिव, माननीय मुख्यमंत्री महोदया।
2. विशिष्ट सहायक, माननीय मंत्री, ग्रामीण विकास एवं पंचायती राज विभाग।
3. विशिष्ट सहायक, शिक्षा राज्यमंत्री (स्वतंत्र प्रभार)।
4. निजी सचिव, मुख्य सचिव।
5. निजी सचिव, अतिरिक्त मुख्य सचिव, ग्रामीण विकास एवं पंचायती राज विभाग।
6. निजी सचिव, शासन सचिव, स्कूल शिक्षा विभाग।
7. निजी सचिव, आयुक्त एवं शासन सचिव, पंचायती राज विभाग।
8. परियोजना निदेशक, राजस्थान माध्यमिक शिक्षा परिषद, जयपुर।
9. आयुक्त, राजस्थान प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा परिषद, जयपुर।
10. जिला कलक्टर - समस्त।
11. मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी/अति० मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, जिला परिषद, समस्त।
12. उप निदेशक प्रारम्भिक/माध्यमिक शिक्षा, समस्त।
13. जिला शिक्षा अधिकारी प्रारम्भिक/माध्यमिक शिक्षा, समस्त।
14. विकास अधिकारी - समस्त।
15. ब्लॉक प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी - समस्त।
16. पदेन पंचायत प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी-समस्त।
17. रक्षित पत्रावली।

31/09/2017
शासन उप सचिव

क्रमांक: प.15(1)प्राशि/2017

जयपुर, दिनांक: 24/12/2017

जिला कलक्टर,
समस्त

विषय :-ग्राम पंचायत सहायक के चयन के सम्बन्ध में माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर में दायर एसबी सिविल रिट संख्या 12299/2017 सुभाष चन्द्र बनाम राज. राज्य व अन्य व विभिन्न याचिकाओं में दिनांक 27.11.2017 को पारित निर्णयानुसार कार्यवाही किये जाने के बाबत।


महोदय,

उपर्युक्त विषयान्तर्गत निवेदन है कि इस विभाग के समसंख्यक पत्र दिनांक 15.09.2017 द्वारा ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों के चयन से शेष रही ग्राम पंचायतों में चयन हेतु कलैण्डर जारी किया गया था। माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर में दायर एसबी सिविल रिट याचिका संख्या 12342/2017 जुगता राम बनाम राजस्थान व अन्य तथा विभिन्न याचिकाओं में पारित आदेश दिनांक 03.10.2017 की पालना करने हेतु इस विभाग के समसंख्यक पत्र दिनांक 03.10.2017 द्वारा लिखा गया था।

माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर में दायर एसबी सिविल रिट संख्या 12299/2017 सुभाष चन्द्र बनाम राज. राज्य व अन्य व विभिन्न याचिकाओं में दिनांक 27.11.2017 (प्रति संलग्न) को पारित निर्णयानुसार याचीगण (हटाये गये ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों) को नोटिस द्वारा सूचना देकर समुचित सुनवाई का अवसर प्रदान कर स्पीकिंग ऑर्डर पारित करने के निर्देश दिये गये हैं।

अतः इस विभाग के परिपत्र दिनांक 27.05.2017 द्वारा जिला कलक्टर की अध्यक्षता में गठित समिति के द्वारा माननीय उच्च न्यायालय द्वारा एसबी सिविल रिट संख्या 12299/2017 सुभाष चन्द्र बनाम राज. राज्य व अन्य व विभिन्न याचिकाओं में पारित निर्णय दिनांक 27.11.2017 की पालना में याचीगण (हटाये गये ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों) को सुनवाई का नोटिस दिया जाकर समुचित सुनवाई का अवसर प्रदान कर स्पीकिंग ऑर्डर पारित किये जाने की कार्यवाही करावे।

संलग्न-उपरोक्तानुसार


शासन उप सचिव

प्रतिलिपि निम्न को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित -

1. निजी सचिव, प्रमुख सचिव, माननीय मुख्यमंत्री महोदय।
2. विशिष्ट सहायक, माननीय मंत्री, ग्रामीण विकास एवं पंचायती राज विभाग।
3. विशिष्ट सहायक, शिक्षा राज्यमंत्री (स्वतंत्र प्रभार)।
4. निजी सचिव, मुख्य सचिव।
5. निजी सचिव, अतिरिक्त मुख्य सचिव, ग्रामीण विकास एवं पंचायती राज विभाग।
6. निजी सचिव, शासन सचिव, स्कूल शिक्षा विभाग।
7. निजी सचिव, आयुक्त एवं शासन सचिव, पंचायती राज विभाग।
8. परियोजना निदेशक, राजस्थान माध्यमिक शिक्षा परिषद, जयपुर।

9. आयुक्त, राजस्थान प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा परिषद, जयपुर ।
10. निदेशक, प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा राजस्थान, बीकानेर ।
11. निदेशक, माध्यमिक शिक्षा राजस्थान, बीकानेर ।
12. मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी/अति० मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, जिला परिषद, समस्त ।
13. उप निदेशक प्रारम्भिक/माध्यमिक शिक्षा, समस्त ।
14. जिला शिक्षा अधिकारी प्रारम्भिक/माध्यमिक शिक्षा, समस्त ।
15. विकास अधिकारी - समस्त ।
16. ब्लॉक प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी - समस्त ।
17. पदेन पंचायत प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी-समस्त ।
18. रक्षित पत्रावली ।



शासन उप सचिव

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12299 / 2017

Subhash Chander S/o Sh. Rameshwar Saharan, Aged About 30
Years, Resident of Village Bhangarh, Tehsil Bhadra, District
Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of
Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh.
(Rajasthan)

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh
(Rajasthan)

4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt.
Senior Secondary School, Bhangarh, Tehsil Bhadra, District
Hanumangarh.

5. Gram Panchayat Bhangarh, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra Through
Its Secretary. Dist.- Hanumangarh

-----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 8830 / 2017

1. Naresh Patidar Son of Shri Megji, Aged About 40 Years,
Resident of Village Surwaniya, Tehsil & District Banswara.

2. Asha Patidar Wife of Shri Rakesh Patiar, Aged About 35 Years,
Resident of Village Surwaniya, Tehsil & District Banswara.

3. Jaideep Singh Chouhan Son Shri Ranveer Singh Chouhan, Aged
About 25 Years, Resident of Village Lamba-Parda, Post Motatanda,
Tehsil Ganoda, District Banswara.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Rural
Development & Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Additional Commissioner & Joint Secretary, Rural Development
& Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Banswara.

4. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Banswara.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12142 / 2017

1. Vishnuraj Purohit S/o Shri Dungaram Purohit, Aged About 39 Years, By Caste Rajpurohit R/o Nanarwara Panchayat Samiti Pindwara District Sirohi.

2. Sugna Kumari W/o Shri Jagdish Kumar Choudhary, Aged About 31 Years, By Caste Choudhary R/o Mandwara Khalsa Panchayat Samiti Pindwara District Sirohi.

3. Galbaram S/o Shri Sitaram, R/o Mandwara Khalsa Panchayat Samiti Pindwara District Sirohi. All Are Presently Working on the Post of Gram Sahayak, Gram Panchayat Mandwara Khalsa Panchayat Samiti Pindwara District Sirohi.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Sirohi Through Its Chief Executive Officer

3. District Collector, Sirohi.

4. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Sirohi.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12268 / 2017

1. Prahalad Kumar S/o Shri Rama Shanker, Aged About 30 Years, Village Kasindra Post. Achpura Teh. Pindvara, District Shirohi.

2. Pooja Rajprohit S/o Shri Rajesh Rajprohit, Aged About 22 Years, Village Kasindra Post. Achpura Teh. Pindvara, District Shirohi.

3. Natha Ram S/o Shri Nona Ram, Aged About 41 Years, V/P Nagpura Teh. Pindvara, District Shirohi.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School Achpura Teh. Pindvara District Shirohi, Dist. Shirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12289 / 2017

1. Parbhu Ram Garasia S/o Shri Samtaram, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village Kundal, Post Verli, Tehsil Pindvara, District Shirohi.

2. Orsa Ram S/o Shri Husa Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village & Post Verli, Tehsil Pindvara, District Shirohi.

3. Nima Kumari D/o Shri Rama Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village Dhaga, Post Verli, Tehsil Pindvara, District Shirohi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School Verli, Tehsil Pindvara, District Shirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12291 / 2017

1. Shambhoo Singh S/o Shri Narayan Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village & Post Warman, Tehsil Reodar, District Shirohi.

2. Chetna Ram S/o Shri Kallu Ram, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village Mermandwada, Tehsil Reodar, District Shirohi.

3. Suja Ram S/o Shri Una Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village & Post Mermandwada, Tehsil Reodar, District Shirohi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School Mermandwada, Reodar, District Shirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12295 / 2017

1. Rajesh Kumar Son of Sh. Rameshwar Lal, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of Ward No. 17, Shawa Bus Stand Ke Pass, Nohar, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

2. Ramswaroop Son of Sh. Dhanraj, Aged About 23 Years, Resident of Millage Khopara, Panchayat Bhanguli, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayat Raj, Secretary, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Bhanguli, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

5. Gram Panchayat Bhanguli, Panchayat Samiti Nohar Through Its Secretary, Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12300 / 2017

1. Mukesh Kumar Son of Sh. Subhash Chander, Aged About 28 Years, Resident of VPO Kalana, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

2. Sukh Ram Son of Sh. Tarachand, Aged About 23 Years, Resident of VPO Kalana, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Kalana, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.
5. Gram Panchayat Kalana, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra Through Its Secretary, Dist. Hanumangarh.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12315 / 2017

1. Mahender Singh Son of Sh. Amar Singh, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of VPO Kirarabada, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)
2. Goverdhan Son of Sh. Sanwar Mal, Aged About 24 Years, Resident of VPO Kirarabada, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Kirarabada, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.
5. Gram Panchayat Kirarabada, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra Through Its Secretary. Distt. Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12316 / 2017

- Murari Lal S/o Sh. Ami Lal, Aged About 35 Years, Resident of Village Ajitpura, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan)
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)
4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Ajitpura, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.
5. Gram Panchayat Ajitpura, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra Through Its Secretary. Distt. Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12317 / 2017

Pawan Kumar S/o Sh. Dharm Pal, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of Village Mehriya, PO Sherda, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)
4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Sherda, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.
5. Gram Panchayat Sherda, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra Through Its Secretary. Dist.- Hanumangarh

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12321 / 2017

1. Bhanwar Lal Meghwal S/o Shri Noja Ram Meghwal, Aged About

39 Years, Resident of Melawari, Post Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

2. Ashok Kumar S/o Shri Lal Ji Patidar, Aged About 40 Years, Resident of Talawari, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

3. Dal Chand Gameti S/o Shri Hajari Lal, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Gundi Ka Bhilwara, Post Kelwara, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

4. Kana Ram Gameti S/o Shri Mala Ram, Aged About 34 Years, Resident of Barind, Post Gajpur, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

5. Roopa Lal Gameti S/o Shri Kura Ram, Aged About 39 Years, Resident of Bardara, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

6. Bhura Ram Bhil S/o Shri Doola Ram, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Sima, Post Kadiya, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

7. Som Lal Gameti S/o Shri Vena Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Udawar, Post Vardara, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

8. Hima Ram Balai S/o Shri Dalu Ram, Aged About 36 Years, Resident of Gajpura, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

9. Suresh Chander Gurjar D/o Shri Heera Lal, Aged About 29 Years, Resident of Kalinjar, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

10. Naru Lal Balai S/o Shri Panna Lal, Aged About 25 Years, Resident of Madrecho Ka Gura, Post Kalinjer, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

11. Suresh Kumar Meghwal S/o Shri Tulsi Ram, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Morcha, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

12. Om Prakash Tak S/o Shri Bheru Lal Tak, Aged About 37 Years, Resident of Oladar, Post Majhera, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

13. Lahar Singh Solanki S/o Shri Nathu Singh, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of Pichora Ki Bhagal, Post Kalingar Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

14. Dharmnarayan Ameta S/o Shri Balu Lal Ameta, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Kelwara, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.



-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Rajsamand

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12329 / 2017

Om Prakash S/o Kalu Ram, Aged About 37 Years, By Caste Valmiki, Resident of VPO Bhukarka, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Primary, Hanumangarh.

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat Bhukarka, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary.

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Bhukarka, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12330 / 2017

1. Satish S/o Manphool, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Thory, Resident of VPO Ratanpura, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

2. Sandeep Kumar S/o Ami Lal, Aged About 29 Years, By Caste Thory, Resident of VPO Ratanpura, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Elementary, Hanumangarh.

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat Ratanpura, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary.

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Ratanpura, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12331 / 2017

Rajesh Kumar S/o Brij Lal, Aged About 37 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Deeplana, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Primary, Hanumangarh.

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat Deeplana, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Deeplana, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12335 / 2017

1. Dashrath Singh S/o Shri Ishwar Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Village/Post Balda Teh. Shirohi, District Shirohi.

2. Ramesh Kumar S/o Shri Desha Ram, Aged About 26 Years, V/Post Velagiri Teh. Shirohi, District Shirohi.

3. Narpat Singh S/o Shri Hamir Singh, Aged About 28 Years, Village/Post Velagiri Teh. Shirohi, District Shirohi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School Velapur Teh. Shirohi District Sirohi, Dist. Shirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12336 / 2017

1. Surjeet Singh S/o Shri Jeev Singh, Aged About 29 Years, Village/Post Kailashnagar, Teh. Shivganj, District Sirohi.

2. Manjulata D/o Shri Hukama Ram, Aged About 33 Years, Village/Post Kailashnagar, Teh. Shivganj, District Sirohi.

3. Pratap Ram S/o Shri Ana Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Village/Post Kailashnagar, Teh. Shivganj, District Sirohi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Sirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Sirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Education Officer Government Senior Secondary School, Kailashnagar Teh. Shivganj District Sirohi, Dist. Sirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12338 / 2017
Krishna Kumar S/o Ganpat Ram, Aged About 23 Years, Resident of
Village Sheyorani, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the
Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati
Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Primary, Hanumangarh.

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat Sheyorani, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District
Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary.

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh
Senior Secondary School, Sheyorani, Tehsil Nohar, District
Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12343 / 2017
Bhushan Kumar S/o Sh. Raj Kumar, Aged About 34 Years,
Resident of Malarampura, Tehsil Sangriya, District Hanumangarh
(Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of
Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh.
(Rajasthan).

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh
(Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt.
Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Chak Heera Singh Wala, Tehsil
Sangriya, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Chak Heera Singh Wala, Panchayat Samiti
Sangriya, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12344 / 2017
Suman D/o Sh. Mohan Lal, Aged About 24 Years, Resident of
Village Post Gudiya, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh
(Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of
Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh.
(Rajasthan).
3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh
(Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt.
Senior Secondary School, Gudiya, Tehsil Nohar, District
Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Gudiya, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District
Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12345 / 2017
Ajay Kumar S/o Shri Ram Pratap, Aged About 27 Years, R/o VPO
10 BD, Post 17 KYD, Tehsil Khajuvala, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of
Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner,
District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bikaner, District Bikaner,
Rajasthan.

4. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Khajuvala, District
Bikaner.

5. Gram Panchayat 14 BD, Panchayat Samiti Khajuvala District Bikaner Through Its Secretary.

6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO), Gram Panchayat 14 BD, Panchayat Samiti Khajuvala, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12347 / 2017

1. Kuldeep Singh S/o Shri Rajaram, Aged About 32 Years, By Caste Jat, R/o VPO Bashir, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

2. Anil Kumar S/o Shri Ram Kumar, By Caste Jat, R/o VPO Munda, Tehsil and District Hanumangarh (Raj).

3. Kuldeep Kumar S/o Shri Balram, By Caste Jat, R/o VPO Rampura Ramsara, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

4. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Khara Kheda, Panchayat Samiti, Tibbi District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Meharwala, Panchayat Samiti, Tibbi District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

6. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Rampura Ramsara, Panchayat Samiti, Tibbi District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12348 / 2017

1. Ram Singh S/o Shri Rau Ram, Aged About 35 Years, By Caste

Meghwal, R/o VPO Talwara Jheel, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

2. Rukmani Devi D/o Shri Faquir Chand, Aged About 37 Years, R/o VPO Kamana, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

3. The District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat, Beharwala Kalan, Panchayat Samiti Tibbi, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Beharwala Kalan, Panchayat Samiti, Tibbi District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchyat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12349 / 2017

1. Shankar Lal S/o ChampaLal, Aged About 27 Years, B/c Prajapat, R/o Mandwada Dev, Post- Rohida, Teh. Pindwara, District Sirohi.

2. Koopla Ram S/o Puna Ram Ji, Aged About 26 Years, B/c Bhil, R/o GhanchiyaNadi Mandwada Dev, Post- Rohida, Teh. Pindwara, District Sirohi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. District Collector, Sirohi.

3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

4. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

5. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer Government Aadarsh Senior Secondary School Mandwada Dev, Dist. Shirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12351 / 2017

1. Jaswant Singh S/o Shri Mahender Singh, Aged About 36 Years, By Caste Jat Sikh, R/o VPO Jandwala, Sikhan, Tehsil Sangria, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)
2. Sandeep Kumar S/o Shri Ram Kumar, Aged About 36 Years, By Caste Jat, R/o VPO Nagrana, Tehsil Sangria, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat, Jandwala Sikhan, Panchayat Samiti Sangria, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Jandwala Sikhan, Panchayat Samiti, Sangria District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12352 / 2017

1. Kapura Ram S/o Ransaji, Aged About 25 Years, B/c Bhil, R/o Deldar, Vaya- Aburoad, District Sirohi.
2. Man Singh S/o Ganga Singh Deora, Aged About 25 Years, B/c Rajput, R/o Deldar, Vaya- Aburoad, District Sirohi.
3. PradipKumar S/o Veera Ram, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Deldar, Vaya- Aburoad, District Sirohi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. District Collector, Sirohi.

3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sirohi.
4. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Sirohi.
5. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer Government Senior Secondary School Deldar, Dist. Sirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12353 / 2017

Ram Kumar S/o Shri Shyo Chnad, Aged About 38 Years, R/o 154, Hari Singh Takhar Ke Ghar Se Subhash Ke Ghar Ke Pass, Sardargadhiya, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.
3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.
4. Gram Panchayat, Sardargadhiya, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.
5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Sardargadhiya, Panchayat Samiti, Bhadra District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12354 / 2017

Saroj D/o Sh. Om Prakash, Aged About 25 Years, Resident of Village Post Mahrana, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Mehrana, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Mehrana, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12359 / 2017

Smt. Kavita D/o Shri Om Prakash W/o Shri Sandeep Manjhu, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of VPO Ridmalsar, Tehsil Padampur District, Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Padampur District Sri Ganganagar.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Ridmalsar, Tehsil Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12363 / 2017

1. Gurmeet S/o Sh. Mander Singh, Aged About 25 Years, Resident of Ward No. 02, VPO Shyamsingh Wala, Gram Panchayat 31 SSW, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan.)

2. Manpreet Kaur D/o Sh. Jasvinder Singh, Aged About 24 Years, Resident of Ward No. 01, VPO Shyamsingh Wala, Gram Panchayat

31 SSW, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Adarsh Secondary School, Shyamsingh Wala, Tehsil Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat 31 SSW, Panchayat Samiti Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12364 / 2017

Vinod Kumar S/o Sh. Shyochand, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Village Kanwani, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan)

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Adarsh Secondary School, Kanwani, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Kanwani, Panchayat Samiti Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12368 / 2017

1. Om Prakash Garasiya S/o Hansa Ram Ji, Aged About 36 Years, B/c Garasiya, R/o Bhogiya Phali Moras, Teh. Pindwara, District Sirohi.

2. Sohan Lal S/o Chhagaji, Aged About 40 Years, B/c Garasiya, R/o Dalawto Ki Phali Moras, Teh. Pindwara, District Sirohi.

3. Sugana Kumari D/o Bheema Ram Ji, Aged About 31 Years, B/c Garasiya, R/o Pariya Phali Moras, Teh. Pindawara, District Sirohi.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. District Collector, Sirohi.

3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

4. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

5. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer Government Senior Secondary School Moras, Dist. Shirohi.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12369 / 2017

1. Amita Bishnoi D/o Ashok Kumar Bishnoi, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Plot No. 18 Mahalaxmi Colony, Satpur, Aburoad, District Sirohi.

2. Abhilasha D/o Anand Kumar, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Nayakheda, Aburoad, District Sirohi.

3. Shakti Vardhan S/o Mohan Lal, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Santpur, Aburoad, District Sirohi.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. District Collector, Sirohi.

3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.
4. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.
5. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer Government Senior Secondary School Bhesasingh, Dist. Shirohi

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12370 / 2017

1. Bhanwar Lal S/o Anaji, Aged About 42 Years, B/c Meghwal R/o Vatera, Teh. Pindwara, District Sirohi.

2. Chhagan Lal S/o Punamaji, Aged About 36 Years, B/c Meghwal R/o Vatera, Teh. Pindwara, District Sirohi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. District Collector, Sirohi
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.
4. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.
5. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School Vatera, Dist. Shirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12371 / 2017

1. Ramu Ram S/o Sh. Ishar Ram, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of Village Barjangsar, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner (Rajasthan).

2. Pema Ram S/o Sh. Jetha Ram, Resident of Village Barjangsar, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner. (Rajasthan).

3. District Collector, Bikaner (Rajasthan).

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner (Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Barjangsar, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner.

6. Gram Panchayat Barjangsar, Panchayat Samiti Sridungargarh, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12372 / 2017

1. Nanda Ram Dotasara S/o Sh. Suraja Ram, Aged About 24 Years, Resident of Village Biramsar, Post Jalabsar, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner (Rajasthan.)

2. Dropati D/o Sh. Lichhaman Ram Karwasara, Aged About 22 Years, Resident of Sajora Nagar, Sagar Road, Bikaner (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Rajasthan).

3. District Collector, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Jalabsar, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner.

6. Gram Panchayat Jalabsar, Panchayat Samiti Sridungargarh, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12373 / 2017

Daleep Kumar S/o Sh. Krishan Kumar, Aged About 35 Years, Resident of Ward No. 09, Village Post Kishanpura Dikhnada, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan).
3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Adarsh Senior Secondary Shool, Kishanpura Dikhnada, Tehsil Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.
6. Gram Panchayat Kishanpura Dikhnada, Panchayat Samiti Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12374 / 2017

Pushpa Soni D/o Sh. Shankar Lal, Aged About 23 Years, Resident of Village Post Baramsar, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan)
3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Baramsar, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh.
6. Gram Panchayat Baramsar, Panchayat Samiti Rawatsar Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12375 / 2017

Gayanender Bishnoi S/o Shri Brij Lal Poonia, Aged About 37 Years,

Resident of Village 2TK, Tehsil Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Padampur District Sri Ganganagar.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Ridmalsar, Tehsil Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12377 / 2017

Gurtej Singh S/o Sh. Baljeet Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Village Post Dhaban, Tehsil Sangriya, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan)

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Dhaban, Tehsil Sangriya, District

Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Dhaban, Panchayat Samiti Sangriya, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12381 / 2017

Meera D/o Sh. Rupram, Aged About 25 Years, Resident of Village Mehliya, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Bojhala, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Bojhala, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12387 / 2017

Pushpa D/o Sh. Mahabir Prasad, Wife of Sh. Jai Prakash Poonia, Aged About 35 Years, Resident of Village Durjana, Post Meghana, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan)

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)

4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Meghana, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

5. Gram Panchayat Meghana, Panchayat Samiti Nohar Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12392 / 2017

1. Shri Chand Suthar S/o Shri Krishan Suthar, Aged About 46 Years, R/o VPO Chek 25 DWD Gram Panchayat 15-16 KWD, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

2. Sunil Kumar S/o Shri Mani Ram, R/o VPO Khoda, Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

4. The Block Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh.

5. Gram Panchayat, 15-26 KWD, Panchayat Samiti, Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

6. Gram Panchayat, Khoda, Panchayat Samiti Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

7. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat 15-26 KWD, Panchayat Samiti, Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

8. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Khoda, Panchayat Samiti, Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12394 / 2017

Rakesh Kumar S/o Shri Leela Dhar, Aged About 28 Years, B/c

Goswami, R/o VOP Sagra, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education (Elementary), Jaipur Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12395 / 2017

Jaswant Singh Sharma S/o Shri Dharmpal, Aged About 35 Years, B/c Brahman, R/o VOP Jogiwala, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education (Elementary), Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12396 / 2017

1. Khursid Ahmed S/o Adhris Mohammad, Aged About 27 Years, By Caste Muslim, R/o VPO Nanau, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

2. Manta D/o Mehar Chand, Aged About 25 Years, By Caste Jat, R/o Village Nanau, District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Primary, Hanumangarh.

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat Nanau, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Nanau, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.



-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12397 / 2017

Ashok Kumar S/o Sh. Jai Chand Ram, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Village Post Likhamadesar, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Rajasthan).

3. District Collector, Bikaner.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner (Rajasthan) .

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Likhamadesar, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner.

6. Gram Panchayat Likhamadesar, Panchayat Samiti Sridungargarh, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12402 / 2017
Jaswant Sharma S/o Jagdish Prasad Sharma, Aged About 33
Years, Resident of VPO Kansar, Tehsil Nohar, District
Hanumangarh.

----Petitioner

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the
Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati
Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. District Education Officer, Primary, Hanumangarh.
3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.
4. Gram Panchayat Kansar, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District
Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary.

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh
Senior Secondary School, Kansar, Tehsil Nohar, District
Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12408 / 2017

1. Manoj Kumar S/o Nathu Ram, Aged About 27 Years, By Caste
Jat, Resident of VPO Dheel-Ki-Jatan, Tehsil Nohar, District
Hanumangarh.

2. Sanjay Kumar S/o Nathu Ram, Aged About 25 Years, By Caste
Jat, Resident of VPO Dheel-Ki-Jatan, Tehsil Nohar, District
Hanumangarh.

----Petitioners

Versus

सत्यमेव जयते

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the
Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati
Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Primary, Hanumangarh.

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat Dheel-Ki-Jatan, Panchayat Samiti Nohar,
District Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary.

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh

Senior Secondary School, Dheel-Ki-Jatan, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12409 / 2017
Surjaram Godara S/o Sh. Govind Ram Godara, Aged About 48 Years, Resident of Kalyansar Naya, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner



Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner. (Rajasthan).
3. District Collector, Bikaner.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Secondary School, Kalyansar Naya, Tehsil Sridungargarh, District Bikaner.
6. Gram Panchayat Kalyansar Naya, Panchayat Samiti Sridungargarh, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12419 / 2017
1. Neelam Devi D/o Sh. Shivraj Singh, Aged About 27 Years, Resident of House No. C-38, Sector No. 6L, Ward No. 43, District Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan).
2. Soni Kaur D/o Sh. Major Singh, W/o Sh. Resham Singh, Aged About 27 Years, Resident of Chak Bursinghwa, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan)

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Satipura, Tehsil Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Satipura, Panchayat Samiti Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12420 / 2017

1. Devendra Kumar S/o Sh. Ram Kumar, Aged About 24 Years, Resident of Ward No. 07, Dholipal, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh.

2. Vijay Kumari D/o Sh. Ram Karan, Aged About 22 Years, Resident of Ward No. 4, Village Dholipal, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh. (Rajasthan)

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.(Rajasthan)

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Dholipal, Tehsil Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Dholipal, Panchayat Samiti Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12422 / 2017

Dhanraj Meghwal S/o Gena Ram, Aged About 37 Years, By Caste Meghwal, Resident of V/p Sarunda, Panchayat Samittee Panchu, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur

2. Zila Parishad, Bikaner Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Bikaner.

3. Gram Panchayat Sarunda, Panchayat Samitee Panchu, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary Cum Gram Sevak.

4. School Development and Management Committee, Government, Sarunda, Panchayat Samitee Panchu, District Bikaner Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.



-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12428 / 2017

1. Shiv Lal Bishnoi S/o Shri Harbhaj Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village Khindasar, Tehsil Kolayat, District Bikaner, (Raj.).

2. Anil Kumar S/o Shri Karnidan, R/o Village Khindasar, Tehsil Kolayat, District Bikaner, (Raj.).

3. Manohar Singh S/o Shri Sujan Singh, R/o Village Gokul Tehsil Kolayat, District Bikaner (Raj.)

4. Devgiree Goswamee S/o Shri Chaman Giree, R/o Village Sastrinagar, Tehsil Kolayat, District Bikaner (Raj.)

5. Bhom Singh Bhati S/o Shri Mehtab Singh Bhati, R/o Village Gugatsinghpura, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner, (Raj.)

6. Loondan Deval S/o Shri Punjdan Deval, R/o Village 6/8 AM Santoshnagar, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

7. Kushal Singh S/o Shri Asu Singh, R/o Village Hadan, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

8. Khet Singh S/o Shri Hathi Singh, R/o Village Hadan, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

9. Subhash S/o Shri Magaram, R/o Village Bheloo, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

10. Gajraj Singh S/o Shri Sohan Singh, R/o Village Bheloo, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

11. Uttam Singh S/o Shri Madan Singh, R/o Village Bhloori, Tehsil

Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

12. Omaram S/o Shri Khumaram, Village Bhloori, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

13. Om Prakash Khicher S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal Khicher, R/o Village Mankasar, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

14. Subhash Chandra Bhadu S/o Shri Mohan Lal Bhadu, R/o Village Mankasar, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

15. Kailash Manjhu S/o Shri Bakta Ram, R/o Village Phoolasar Chhota, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

16. Ramesh Kumar Bishnoi S/o Shri Bhajana Ram, R/o Village Phoolasar Chhota, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

17. Radha Kirshan Bhambhu S/o Shri Padam Singh Bhambhu, R/o Bajju Tejpura, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

18. Shokat Ali S/o Shri Gullu Khan, R/o Village Bangarsar, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

19. Shiv Bhagwan S/o Shri Keshawara Ram, R/o Village Jaggasar/ Bijjri, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

20. Jana Kumari Charan D/o Khemdan Charan, R/o 22 SMD, Miranwala, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

21. Chhailu Dan Saran S/o Shri Maheshdan, R/o Village Ratwariya Post Dharnok, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

22. Awar Singh Charan S/o Shri Keshudan Charan, R/o Sathika, Post Dharnok, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

23. Nandkishore Sharma S/o Shri Har Narayan, R/o Ambasar, Post Udairamsar, Tehsil & District Bikaner.

24. Antu Ram Sharma S/o Rameshwar Lal, R/o Village & Post Kankandwala, Tehsil Udairamsar, Tehsil & District Bikaner.

25. Ajit Singh S/o Shri Hanet Singh, R/o Village Ramda, Tehsil Kolayat District Bikaner (Raj.)

26. Palaram Bawari S/o Shri Karatar Singh, R/o Charwanwala, Tehsil Kolayat, District Bikaner.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of

Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Kolayat, District Bikaner.

6. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Bikaner, District Bikaner.

7. The Block Elementary Education Officer Lunkaransar, District Bikaner.

8. The Block Elementary Education Officer Panchu, District Bikaner.

9. The Block Development Officer, Kolayat, District Bikaner.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12429 / 2017

1. Prakash Chandra S/o Shri Shankar Lal, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Asarniya, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

2. Phusa Ram S/o Sh. Mani Ram, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Kikarli, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer Elementary, District Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Kikrali, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Gramsevak

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12432 / 2017

Madhuri D/o Shri Rameshwarlal W/o Shri Balwan Singh, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Rajpuria, Gram Panchayat Gudia, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer Elementary, District Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12433 / 2017

Mahendra Fadoliya S/o Shri Lal Chand, Aged About 29 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village & Gram Panchayat, Fefana, Tehsil Nohar, Distirct Hanumangahr.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer, Elementary, District Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Fefana, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, Distirct Hanumangarh, Through Its Gramsevak.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12439 / 2017

Dinesh Kumar Choudhary S/o Vijay Kumar, Aged About 32 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Ward No. 14, Gandhi Chowk, Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Bikaner Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Bikaner.

3. Gram Panchayat Bikasar, Panchayat Samittee Nokha, District Biakner Through Its Secretary Cum Gram Sevak.

4. School Development and Management Committee, Government, Bikasar, Panchayat Samittee Nokha, District Bikaner Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12441 / 2017

1. Sarvan Kumar S/o Shri Krishan Lal, Aged About 23 Years, By Caste Goswami, Resident of Village Birkali, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

2. Main Pal S/o Sh. Ramji Lal, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Birkali, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

सत्यमेव जयते

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer Elementary, District Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Birkali, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Gramsevak

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12442 / 2017

1. Manoj Kumar S/o Surendra Kumar, Aged About 24 Years, By Caste Sharma, Resident of VPO Manderpura, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

2. Yayab S/o Ganni Khan, Aged About 26 Years, By Caste Muslim, Resident of Resident of VPO Manderpura, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Primary, Hanumangarh.

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat Manderpura, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary.

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Manderpura, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12443 / 2017

Banwari Lal S/o Hadmana Ram, Aged About 30 Years, By Caste Bishnoi, Resident of V/p Kudasu, Panchayat Samittee Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Bikaner Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Bikaner.

3. Gram Panchayat Kudasu, Panchayat Samittee Nokha, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary Cum Gram Sevak.

4. School Development and Management Committee, Government, Kudasu, Panchayat Samittee Nokha, District Bikaner Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12445 / 2017

Jagdish Prasad Kumar S/o Kishna Ram Kumhar, Aged About 46 Years, By Caste Kumhar, Resident of V/p Bhadla, Panchayat Samittee Panchu, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Bikaner Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Bikaner.

3. Gram Panchayat Bhadla, Panchayat Samittee Panchu, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary Cum Gram Sevak.

4. School Development and Management Committee, Government, Bhadla, Panchayat Samitee Panchu, District Bikaner Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12446 / 2017

Mahendra Pal Nehra S/o Ram Singh, Aged About 30 Years, By Caste Kumhar, Resident of 10 DPN Gogamedi, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Primary, Hanumangarh.

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat Gogamedi, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Secretary

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government of Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Gogamedi, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12447 / 2017

Sumer Singh S/o Amar Singh, Aged About 35 Years, By Caste Rajput, Resident of V/p Shobhana, Panchayat Samittee Panchu, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Bikaner Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Bikaner.

3. Gram Panchayat Shobhana, Panchayat Samittee Panchu, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary Cum Gram Sevak.

4. School Development and Management Committee, Government, Shobhana, Panchayat Samittee Panchu, District Bikaner Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12449 / 2017

Manohar Nath S/o Rugh Nath, Aged About 33 Years, Resident of V/p Kakku, Panchayat Samitee Panchu, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Bikaner Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Bikaner.

3. Gram Panchayat Kakku, Panchayat Samitee Panchu, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary Cum Gram Sevak.

4. School Development and Managerment Committee, Government, Kakku, Panchayat Samittee Panchu, District Bikaner Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12468 / 2017

Laxman Lal Sharma S/o Shri Magan Lal Sharma, Aged About 33 Years, Resident of F/8, Brahmpuri Colony, Abu Raod, District Sirohi (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department, Secretairat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.).

4. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), Dist. - Sirohi (Raj.).

5. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur.

6. Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Abu Road, Dist. - Sirohi (Raj.)

7. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairman Cum Principal, School Develoment Management Committee, Govt. Sr. Secondary School, Surpagla, Panchayat Samiti Abu Road, Dist. Sirohi (Raj.).

8. Mukta Modh D/o Rasik Modh (Headmaster), By Caste Ganchi, Resident of B-76, RIICO Colony, Abu Road, Dist. Sirohi (Raj.).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12497 / 2017

Basanti Delu D/o Sh. Dhuda Ram Delu, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Likhmisar Dikhnada, Tehsil Dungargarh, Distict Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner. (Rajasthan).
3. District Collector, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner (Rajasthan).
5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Sr. Secondary School. Gram Panchayat Likhmisar Dikhnada Panchayat Samiti Dungargarh, District Bikaner
6. Gram Panchayat Likhmisar Dikhnada, Panchayat Samiti Dungargarh, District Bikaner Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12498 / 2017

1. Prahlad Singh S/o Shri Amar Chand, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Rajpurohit, Resident of Laxmanpura, Gram Panchayat Junapatrasar, Tehsil & District Barmer.
2. Prakash Chandra Vagela S/o Shri Sona Ram Vagela, Aged About 35 Years, By Caste Bheel, Resident of VPO Junapatrasar, Tehsil & District Barmer.
3. Ratan Lal S/o Shri Gordhan Das, Aged About 36 Years, By Caste Meghwal, Resident of VPO Jasai, Tehsil & District Barmer.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Barmer, Rajasthan.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Barmer, District Barmer, Rajasthan.
4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Barmer District Barmer.

5. Gram Panchayat Junpatrasar, Panchayat Samiti Barmer District Barmer Through Its Secretary.

6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO), Gram Panchayat Junpatrasar, Panchayat Samiti Barmer District Barmer, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12504 / 2017

1. Swarn Singh S/o Shri Darshan Singh, Aged About 30 Years, By Caste Jat Sikh, Resident of Ward No. 10, Sadasinghwala (Ramana Chak), Tehsil Pilibanga District Hanumangarh.

2. Amandeep Kaur D/o Shri Harpal Singh, By Caste Jat Sikh, Resident of Ward No. 7, Dabliwas Kutub, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh.

3. Sulekha Choudhary W/o Shri Rakesh Kumar, Resident of Ward No. 1, VPO Longwala, Tehsil Pilibanga District Hanumangarh.

4. Anjali Jindal D/o Shri Rajendra Jindal, By Caste Arora, Resident of Ward No. 17, Mandi Pilibanga, Tehsil Pilibanga District Hanumangarh.

5. Kulvindra Singh S/o Shri Mada Singh, By Caste Majbi Sikh, Resident of Ward No. 12, Dabliwas Molvi, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh.

6. Rakesh Kumar S/o Shri Sohan Lal, B Caste Nai, Resident of Ward No. 10, Dabliwas Pema, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Hanumangarh.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Pilibanga District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12505 / 2017
Shankar Ram S/o Shri Bhura Ram, Aged About 37 Years, By Caste
Devasi, Resident of VPO Thapan, Tehsil Siwana District Barmer.

-----Petitioner

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Barmer, Rajasthan.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Barmer, District Barmer, Rajasthan.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Balotra District Barmer.

5. Gram Panchayat Kitpala, Panchayat Samiti Balotra District Barmer Through Its Secretary.

6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO), Gram Panchayat Kitpala, Panchayat Samiti Balotra District Barmer, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12522 / 2017
Susheel Tada S/o Ram Kishore Tada, Aged About 28 Years, By
Caste Jat, Resident of VPO Basni Sejan, Tehsil Merta City, District
Nagaur.

-----Petitioner

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Primary, Nagaur.

3. District Collector, Nagaur.

4. Gram Panchayat Basni Sejan, Panchayat Samit Merta City, District Nagaur, Through Its Secretary.

5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh Senior Senior Secondary School, Basni Sejan, Tehsil Merta City, District Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12526 / 2017

1. Ramesh Kumar S/o Shri Desa Ram, Aged About 26 Years, Resident of Post Velangari, Tehsil District Sirohi (Raj.)

2. Narpal Singh S/o Hameer Singh, Aged About 27 Years, Resident of Post Velangari, Tehsil District Sirohi (Raj.)

3. Laxman Lal Sharma S/o Shri Desa Ram, Aged About 26 Years, Resident of Post Velangari, Tehsil District Sirohi (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)

4. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), Dist- Sirohi (Raj.)

5. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Sirohi.

6. Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sirohi, Dist- Sirohi (Raj.)

7. Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Abu Road, Dist- Sirohi (Raj.)

8. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Sr. Secondary School, Velangari, Panchayat Samiti Sirohi, Dist. Sirohi (Raj.)

9. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Sr. Secondary School, Surpagla, Panchayat Samiti Abu Road, Dist. Sirohi (Raj.)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12528 / 2017

1. Ashok Singh S/o Shri Kishan Singh, Aged About 34 Years,
Resident of Village Gingaliya, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur.

2. Chaina Ram Godara S/o Shri Dhanna Ram Godara, Aged About
37 Years, Resident of Village Gingaliya, Tehsil Makrana, District
Nagaur.

3. Suresh Ram Jat S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal, Aged About 26 Years,
Resident of Village Itawa Lakha, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of
School Education, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Secretary, Government of Rajasthan, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. District Collector, Nagaur.

5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, Nagaur.

6. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

7. President, School Development and Management Committee
(SDMC), Itawalakha, Panchayat Samiti Makrana, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12563 / 2017

Prem Sharma D/o Shri Mahendra Kumar Sharma, Aged About 28
Years, Resident of Parlika, Tehsil Nohar District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dr. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.
5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Hanumangarh
6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nohar District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12585 / 2017

1. Ranjeet Bharti S/o Shri Girdhari Bharti, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Setharam Chowk, Mathania, Tehsil- Tinwari, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

2. Babu Lal Sankhla S/o Shri Om Prakash Sankhla, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Near Railway Fatak, Mathania, Tehsil- Tinwari, District- Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

3. Amit Kumar Halu S/o Shri Rameshwar Lal, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Near Railway Fatak, Mathania, Tehsil- Tinwari, District- Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur.
3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Jodhpur.
4. The Principal, Aadarsh Government Senior Secondary School Mathania, Dist. Jodhpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12589 / 2017

1. Geeta Bishnoi D/o Shri Birbal Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Moolraj, Panchayat Samiti, Lohawat, District Jodhpur.

2. Baksa Ram S/o Budha Ram, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Jaaniyon Ki Dhani, Nosar, District Jodhpur.

3. Ravindra Kumar S/o Manohar Ram Khichar, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Moolraj, Panchayat Samiti, Lohawat, District Jodhpur.

4. Sumitra Panwar D/o Bhagirath Ram, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Moolraj, Panchayat Samiti, Lohawat, District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District- Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur, District- Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Lohawat, District Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12591 / 2017

1. Shrawan Kumar S/o Mangu Ram, Aged About 29 Years, Resident of Bhinchawa, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur.

2. B. Virendra S/o Shri Bhanwarlal, Aged About 34 Years, Resident of Bhinchawa, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Secretary, Government of Rajasthan, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. District Collector, Nagaur.

5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, Nagaur.

6. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

7. President, School Development and Management Committee (SDMC), Bhinchawa, Panchayat Samiti Makrana, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12592 / 2017

1. Matadeen Saran S/o Shri Panchu Ram, Aged About 30 Years,

Resident of Khedi Lila, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur.

2. Premasukh S/o Shri Bhagu Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Dobdi Kalan, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur.

3. Bimla Devi W/o Shri Suresh Dhayal, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Dobdi Kalan, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur

----Petitioners

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan, Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Secretary, Government of Rajasthan, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. District Collector, Nagaur.

5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, Nagaur.

6. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

7. President, School Development and Management Committee (SDMC), Dobadi Kalan, Panchayat Samiti Makrana, District Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12593 / 2017

Moduram Bhinchar S/o Shri Moba Ram Bhinchar, Aged About 22 Years, Resident of Lalana Kalan, Tehsil Parbatsar, District Nagaur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Secretary, Government of Rajasthan, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. District Collector, Nagaur.

5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, Nagaur

6. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

7. President, School Development and Management Committee (SDMC), Bhadwa, Panchayat Samiti Parbatsar, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12596 / 2017
Mahesh Bhakar S/o Shaitana Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village & Post Igyar, Tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Government Secretary, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur.

5. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

6. The Primary Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Lunsara, Panchayat Samiti Mundwa, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12597 / 2017

1. Shribhagwan Sharma S/o Shri Ramgopal Sharma, Aged About 22 Years, By Caste Brahmin, Resident of Village Kharesh, Gram Panchayat Kharesh, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagaur.

2. Gopal Ram Thalod S/o Shri Ganesha Ram, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Bakawas, Gram Panchayat Kharesh, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagaur.

3. Samita D/o Shri Heera, Aged About 22 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Bakawas, Gram Panchayat Kharesh, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagaur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer Elementary, District Nagour.

4. Chief Executive (CEO), Zila Parishad, Nagour

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagour.

6. Gram Panchayat Kharesh, Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagour, Through Its Gram Sewak.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12599 / 2017

1. Mukesh Fagodiya S/o Shri Rameswar Lal, Aged About 31 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Kalwani, Gram Panchayat Kalwani, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagour.

2. Ram Raghunath S/o Shri Surja Ram, Aged About 30 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Ambasa, Gram Panchayat Kalwani, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagour.

3. Bhura Ram S/o Shri Gangaram, Aged About 39 Years, By Caste Megwal, Resident of Village Girdaraipura, Gram Panchayat Kalwani, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagour.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer Elementary, District Nagour.

4. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Zila Parishad, Nagour.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagour.

6. Gram Panchayat Kalwani, Panchayat Samiti Deedwana, District Nagour. Through Its Gram Sewak

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12607 / 2017

Ramdayal Dholiya S/o Shri Deraj Ram Dholiya, Aged About 37 Years, B/c Jat, R/o Village Panchayat Dehru, P.S. Khinvsar, Tehsil Mundwa, District Nagaur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education (Elementary), Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12620 / 2017

1. Kamlesh S/o Shri Shyoinath, Aged About 32 Years, By Caste Yogi, Resident of Village Kuni Gram Panchayat Chosala, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Nawa, District Nagour.

2. Moti Ram Gurjar S/o Shri Nanda Ram Gurjar, By Caste Gurjar, Resident of Village Bhatipura, Gram Panchayat Chosala, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Nawa, District Nagour.

3. Gopal Ram Babar S/o Shri Ramlal Babar, By Caste Magwal, Resident of Village Kuni, Gram Panchayat Chosala, Tehsil and Panchayat Samiti Nawa, District Nagour.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer Elementary, District Nagour.

4. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Zila Parishad, Nagour.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nawa, District Nagour

6. Gram Panchayat Chosala, Panchayat Samiti Nawa, District Nagaur. Through Its Gram Sewak.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12623 / 2017

1. Ajaypal Khoja S/o Sukhram Khoja, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village & Post Farrod, District Nagaur (Raj.).

2. Ganpati D/o Mohanram, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village & Post Rol, Tehsil Jayal, District Nagaur (Raj.)

3. Dayallam Meghwal S/o Bija Ram, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Village & Post Farrod, District Nagaur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Government Secretary, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur.

5. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

6. The Primary Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Farrod, Panchayat Samiti Jayal, District Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12664 / 2017

1. Ashok Singh S/o Shri Sharawan Singh, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Purohiton Ki Dhani, Chainpura, Tehsil, Lohawat, Distt. Jodhpur (Raj).

2. Om Singh S/o Shri Dalpat Singh, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Village Purohiton Ki Dhani, Chainpura, Tehsil, Lohawat, Distt. Jodhpur (Raj).

3. Mohd. Ameen S/o Mefu Khan, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Purohiton Ki Dhani, Chainpura, Tehsil, Lohawat, Distt. Jodhpur (Raj).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur (Raj).
3. The Development Officer, Panchyat Samiti Lohawat, District Jodhpur (Raj).

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School, Chainpura, Teh. Lohawat, Dist. Jodhpur (Raj).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12666 / 2017

1. Mahaveer Singh S/o Shri Durg Singh, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Village Benti Kala, Tehsil Phalodi, Dist. Jodhpur (Raj.).

2. Farooq S/o Shri Ata Mohd., Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Benti Kala, Tehsil Phalodi, Distt. Jodhpur (Raj.)

3. Saleem Khan S/o Shri Iiamdeen., Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Benti Kala, Tehsil Phalodi, Distt. Jodhpur (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur (Raj.)

3. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Lohawat, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer Government Senior Secondary School, Sihara, Teh. Phalodi, Dist. Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12667 / 2017

1. Bhagirath S/o Shri Dhannaram, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Sihara, Tehsil Phalodi, Distt. Jodhpur (Raj).

2. Girdhar Singh Bhati S/o Shri Anop Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Sihara, Tehsil Phalodi, Distt. Jodhpur (Raj).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur (Raj).
3. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Lohawat, District, Jodhpur (Raj).
4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School, Sihara, Teh. Phalodi, Dist. Jodhpur (Raj).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12669 / 2017

1. Guman Singh Gehlot S/o Ummed Singh, Aged About 38 Years, Resident of Shanti Nagar Sheoganj, Tehsil Sheoganj, District Sirohi (Raj.)
2. Madan Gopal S/o Shanker Dass, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of VPO Village Chuli, Tehsil Sheoganj, District Sirohi (Raj.)
3. Rajendra Kumar S/o Ruparam, Aged About 35 Years, Resaident of VPO Village Alpa, Tehsil Sheoganj, District Sirohi (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. District Education Officer, Primary, Sirohi.
3. District Collector, Sirohi.
4. Gram Panchayat Alpa, Panchayat Samiti Sheoganj, District Sirohi, Through Its Secretary.
5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School, Alpa, Tehsil Sheoganj, District Sirohi.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12679 / 2017

1. Dinesh Puniya S/o Shri Bhika Ram, Aged About 23 Years, By Caste Bishnoi, R/o Navodiya School, Village Tilwasani, Tehsil Pipar, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
2. Mahender S/o Shri Jora Ram, Aged About 23 Years, By Caste Bishnoi, R/o Padiyalo Ka Bas, Tilwasani, Tehsil Pipar, District

Jodhpur, Rajasthan

3. Gangavishan S/o Shri Ramrakh, Aged About 30 Years, By Caste Bishnoi, R/o Ghanmagra Road, Tilvasani, Tehsil Pipar, District Jodhpur Rajasthan

----Petitioners

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Jodhpur.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Pipar City, Jodhpur

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12680 / 2017

1. Sharda Devi D/o Shri Goparam, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Ward No. 5, VPO Fatehgarh (Khileribas), Tehsil and District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

2. Simerpal Kaur D/o Shri Labh Singh, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 1, Fatehgarh (Khileribas), Tehsil and District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Petitioners

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat, Fatehgarh, Panchayat Samiti Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Fatehgarh, Panchayat Samiti, Hanumangarh District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12681 / 2017

1. Lovedeep Singh S/o Shri Jaspal Singh, Aged About 23 Years, Resident of Bhagatpura, Tehsil Sangaria District Hanumangarh.

2. Shilpa D/o Shri Balbahadur, Resident of Ward No. 21, Sangaria Tehsil Sangaria District Hanumangarh.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sangaria District Hanumangarh.

5. Gram Panchayat Bhagatpura, Panchayat Samiti Sangaria District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO), Gram Panchayat Bhagatpura, Panchayat Samiti Sangaria District Hanumangarh, Rajasthan.

सत्यमेव जयते

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12682 / 2017

Santosh Kumari D/o Kishan Lal, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Bansa, (Nagaur), Moulasar, District Nagaur (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Nagaur, District Nagaur.
4. Gram Panchayat Moulasar, Panchayat Samiti Didwana, District Nagaur Through Its Secretary.
5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Moulasar, Panchayat Samiti, didwana District Nagaur Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12685 / 2017

1. Mana Ram S/o Shri Birbal Ram, Aged About 24 Years, By Caste Bishnoi, R/o Village Vijay Nagar, Tehsil Phalodi, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan

2. Gopa Ram S/o Shri Ghamu Ram, Aged About 29 Years, By Caste Bishnoi, R/o Village- Vijay Nagar, Tehsil Phalodi, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Phalodi, Jodhpur

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Jodhpur

6. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

7. Jodhpur Development Authority, Jodhpur Through Commissioner.

8. Authorised Officer Cum Tehsildar (east)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12686 / 2017

Kiran D/o Shri Chenaram, Aged About 22 Years, By Caste-

Meghwal, Resident of Village- Thanu, Tehsil - Deedwana, District - Nagaur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.

3. District Education Officer (Elementary), Nagaur.

4. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Deedwana, District Nagaur.

5. Gram Panchayat Thanu, Tehsil- Deedwana, District - Nagaur Through Sarpanch.

6. Gram Sevak, Gram Panchayat Thanu, Tehsil- Deedwana, District - Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12688 / 2017

1. Yogesh S/o Sitaram, Aged About 23 Years, R/o - Kumharo Ka Baas, Khiwsar, District - Nagaur

2. Nahida Praveen D/o Kifayat Hussain, R/o - Kangar Wara, Near Samas Talab Ki Bari, Ajmeri Gate, Nagaur

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12694 / 2017

1. Ashok Kumar S/o Shri Bhanwarlal, Aged About 30 Years, R/O Village/Post Goyli Teh. Sirohi, District Sirohi, Rajasthan.

2. Krishna Soni D/o Shri Parkash Soni, Aged About 27 Years, R/o NilwaniChokchipaHOLI Sirohi, Dist. Sirohi.

3. Narayan Lal S/o Shri Hukama Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village/Post GoyliTeh. Sirohi, District Sirohi, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Sirohi.

4. The Surpanch Gram Panchayat Goliya, Dist. Sirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12696 / 2017

Kavita Tailor D/o Shri Damodar Tailor, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Mehrana Post Javla Teh. Degana District Nagaur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Nagaur.

4. The Gram Panchayat Rajlota, Degana, Dist. Nagaur.

सत्यमेव जयते

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12718 / 2017

Sharwan Ram Jajra S/o Shri Bopa Ram Jajra, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Jaroda, Tehsil Merta City, District Nagaur (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagour, District Nagour, Rajasthan.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagour District Nagour, Rajasthan.
5. The Block Elementary Education Officer Merta City, District Nagour.

6. Gram Panchayat Jaroda, Tehsil Merta City, District Nagour Its Through Secretary SDMC

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12832 / 2017

Jagdish Ram S/o Shri Ratti Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Fatehsar, Post Satheran, Tehsil and District Nagaur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. The District Education Officer (Elementary), Nagaur.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
5. The Ex-Officio Panchayat Elementary Education Officer-cum-Headmaster, School Development and Management Committee, Government Secondary School, Hanuman Nagar, Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12889 / 2017

Monika D/o Shri Karni Singh Bhati W/o Shri Jaideep Singh, Aged About 33 Years, Resident of Chak 1 MSD (A), Maggewali Dhani, Post Office 7LC, Tehsil Sri Vijaynagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sriganganagar

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Suratgarh District
Sri Ganganagar.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government
Senior Secondary School, Fareedsar, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sri
Ganganagar.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12891 / 2017

Sarita D/o Shri Ramnarayan W/o Shri Sheetal Bishnoi, Aged About
29 Years, Resident of Village Kikarwali Johdi, Post 3FDM, Tehsil
Suratgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Suratgarh District
Sri Ganganagar.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government
Senior Secondary School, Fareedsar, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sri
Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12898 / 2017
Nirmala Bishnoi D/o Shri Harsukh Ram, Aged About 25 Years, R/o
Village Khabda Kalla, Tehsil Osiyan, District Jodhpur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. The District Education Officer (Elementary), Jodhpur.

3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur.

4. The Ex-Officio Panchayat Elementary Education Officer-cum-
Headmaster, School Development and Management Committee,
Government Senior Secondary School, Cherai, Panchayat Samiti
Tiwari, Jodhpur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12899 / 2017

1. Manohar Lal S/o Shri Choonam Ram, Aged About 36 Years, By
Caste Jat, R/o Beri Jatanpura, Gram Panchayat Beri Khurd, Tehsil
Didwana, District Nagaur.

2. Aslam Banu D/o Shri Mazid Khan, Aged About 33 Years, By
Caste Muslim, R/o Beri Khurd, Tehsil Didwana, District Nagaur

3. Saddam Hussain Khan, S/o Shri Mohd. Yunus Khan, Aged About
25 Years, By Caste Muslim, R/o Beri Khurd, Tehsil Didwana,
District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of
Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Govt. of
Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education (Elementary), Jaipur
Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur District
Nagaur

5. Gram Panchayat Beri Khurd, Through Its Gram Sevak-cum-
Secretary, Gram Panchayat Beri Khurd Panchayat Samiti Didwana,

District Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12902 / 2017
Ajay Ram Sargara S/o Late Bhaka Ram, Aged About 29 Years,
Village Harni Amarapura Teh. Reodar, District Shirohi.

----Petitioner

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School Jeerawal, Reodar, Dist. Shirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12925 / 2017

1. Amara Ram S/o Shri Asu Ram, Aged About 37 Years, V/p Nimbaj Teh. Reodar, District Sirohi.

2. Bharat Singh S/o Shri Bheekh Singh, Aged About 28 Years, Village Anapura Anapura, Tehsil Reodar, District Sirohi.

3. Mukesh Kumar S/o Shri Galba Ram Prajapat., Aged About 37 Years, V/p Nimbaj Teh. Reodar, District Sirohi.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Sirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer Government Senior Secondary School Nimbaj, Reodar, Dist. Sirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12926 / 2017

Dilip Singh S/o Shri Jailal, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Jigasari Badi, Ward No. 7, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat, Uttardabas, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Uttardabas, Panchayat Samiti, Bhadra, District Hanumangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12927 / 2017

Ram Prakash S/o Shri Suraj Karan, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Oaladan, Tehsil Merta, District Nagour (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagour, District-nagour, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagour District Nagour, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer Merta City, District Nagour.

6. Gram Panchayat Oaladan, Tehsil Merta City, District Nagour Its Through Secretary SDMC

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12946 / 2017

1. Rajee Ram Bhadu S/o Het Ram Bhadu, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Dhani Chhiploi, Gram Panchayat Sabniya, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

2. Chander Bhan Sharma S/o Dali Ram Sharma, R/o Village Chakjod, Gram Panchayat Chakjod, P.O.- Arjansar, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

3. Ram Kumar S/o Omprakash, R/o VPO Arjunsar, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. Sher Singh Kalawat S/o Baghsingh, R/o Jaitpur, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. Kishan Lal Poonia S/o Hanuman Poonia, R/o Ward No. 9, Village Jaitpur Post Jaitpur, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

6. Ram Swaroop S/o Durja Ram, R/o Village Sabniya, Gram Panchayat Sabniya, PO Jaitpur, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

7. Jaswant Ram S/o Veer Bhan, R/o VPO Chakjod, Gram Panchayat Chakjod, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

8. Om Prakash Siyag S/o Mani Ram Siyag, R/o VPO Mithria, P.O. Arjansar, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

9. Arjun Singh S/o Mahendra Singh, R/o Village Raner, Tehsil Loonkaransar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Principal Education Secretary, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. The Director (Primary) Education, Bikaner.

4. The Director (Secondary), Education, Bikaner.

5. The District Collector, Bikaner.

6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner.

7. The District Education Officer (Primary), Bikaner.

8. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat, Sabniya, Panchayat Samiti Loonkaransar, District Bikaner.

9. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Chakjod, Panchayat Samiti Loonkaransar, District Bikaner.

10. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Arjunsar, Panchayat Samiti Loonkaransar, District Bikaner.

11. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Jaitpur, Panchayat Samiti Loonkaransar, District Bikaner.

12. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education, Gram Panchayat Raner 11 S.L.D., Panchayat Samiti Loonkaransar, District Bikaner.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12965 / 2017

1. Narendra Kumar S/o Shri Rameshwar Lal, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village Koodsoo, Block Panchu, Tehsil Nokha District Bikaner (Raj.).

2. Nathu Ram Bishnoi S/o Shri Harchand Ram, R/o Ward No. 02 Ugampura, Nokha District Bikaner. (Raj.)

3. Kishna Ram Meghwal S/o Bhaira Ram, R/o Village Kanwllisar, Block Panchu, Tehsil Nokha District Bikaner, (Raj.)

4. Nand Kishore Brahman S/o Bhanwar Lal Brahman, R/o Village Udasar, Block Panchu, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

5. Padma Ram S/o Dhana Ram, R/o Chitana, Block Panchu, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

6. Balweer Prasad Prajapat S/o Jesha Ram, R/o Village Berasar, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

7. Kishan Lal S/o Bhajain Lal, R/o Village Saisar, Block Panchu, Tehsil Nokha District Bikaner, (Raj.).

8. Meghraj Garg S/o Mansukh Ram Garg, R/o Village Panchu, Block Panch, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

9. Mool Chand Purohit S/o Kheenv Chand, R/o Village Gajroopdesar, Sova, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner, (Raj.).

10. Santosh Kumar Sadh S/o Jagdish Prasad Sadh, R/o Village Sinjguru, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

11. Mahaveer Singh S/o Sukhdan, R/o Village Bikasar, Tehsil, Nokha, District Bikaner, (Raj.).

12. Mukesh Kumar Dadhich S/o Panna Lal Dadhich, R/o Village Nokha Gaon, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner, (Raj.).

13. Mangoo Singh Rathore S/o Ganga Singh Rathore, R/o Village Sinjguru, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

14. Ashok Kumar Bishnoi S/o Rupa Ram Bishnoi, R/o Village Namriyasar, Post Himmtsar, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

15. Sunil Kumar Karwasara S/o Har Chand Karwasara, R/o Village Ghattu, Post Salundiya, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

16. Vishwnath Kothari S/o Om Prakesh Kothari, R/o Berasar, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.)

17. Ramjan Teli S/o Ajeemdeen, Teli, R/o Village Kakkoo, Block Panchu Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.)

18. Ganga Ram Kumhar S/o Sohan Ram Kumhar, R/o Village Bhadla, Block Panchu Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

19. Ishwer Ram Jandhu S/o Kumbha Ram Jandhu, R/o Village Ankhisar Post Himmatsar, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner, District- Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer Panchu, District Bikaner.

6. The Block Elementary Education Officer Nokha, District Bikaner.

7. The Block Development, Officer, Panchu, District Bikaner.

8. The Block Development, Officer, Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13005 / 2017

1. Lila Dhar Sahu Son of Mohan Lal Sahu, Aged About 24 Years,
Resident of VPO Lalamdesar Bara, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

2. Kalu Ram Son of Keshu Ram, Aged About 24 Years, Resident of
VPO Lalamdesar Bara, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Secretary, Education Department Govt. of Rajasthan Jaipur.

3. District Education Officer Elementary Education, Bikaner.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner.

5. Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nokha,
District Bikaner.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13023 / 2017

1. Hemraj Gaur S/o Shri Moolchand Gaur, Aged About 32 Years,
Resident of Village- Jakheda, Panchayat Samiti- Degana, District-
Nagaur (Rajasthan).

2. Smt. Chand Kanwar W/o Narendra Singh, Aged About 28 Years,
By Caste- Rajput, Resident of Village- Jakheda, Panchayat Samiti-
Degana, District- Nagaur (Rajasthan).

3. Ramesh Prajapat S/o Shri Hanuman Prajapat, Aged About 25
Years, By Caste- Prajapat, Resident of Village- Jakheda, Panchayat
Samiti- Degana, District- Nagaur (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj
Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.

3. District Education Officer (Elementary), Nagaur.

4. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Degana, District-
Nagaur.

5. Gram Panchayat Jakheda, Tehsil- Degana, District- Nagaur
Through Sarpanch.

6. Gram Sevak, Gram Panchayat Jakheda, Tehsil- Degana, District-
Nagaur.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13026 / 2017

Mansukh Lal S/o Chauthmal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Gram
Fogari, Tehsil Didwana, District Nagaur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of
Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Nagaur.

4. The President SDMC and Panchayat Education Officer,
Government Secondary School Fogari, Gram Panchayat Fogari,
Tehsil Didwana, Dist. Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13040 / 2017

1. Anju Devi D/o Shri Ishwar Ram, Aged About 23 Years, By Caste
Jat, Resident of VPO Bhagwanpura, Tehsil Nawan District Nagaur.

2. Pappu Ram Khokhar S/o Shri Suwa Ram, Aged About 25 Years,
By Caste Jat, Resident of VPO Bhagwanpura, Tehsil Nawan District
Nagaur.

3. Bhuli Devi D/o Shri Mangi Lal, Aged About 23 Years, By Caste
Jat, Resident of VPO Bhagwanpura, Tehsil Nawan District Nagaur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayat Raj, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.


2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Nagaur.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nawan District Nagaur.



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13119 / 2017
Maya D/o Shri Rameshwar Lal, Aged About 21 Years, Resident of
Chak 10 KWD, Bhakhrawala, Tehsil Rawatsar, District
Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Hanumangarh.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, 10 KWD, Bhakhrawali Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13167 / 2017

1. Ray Singh S/o Shri Het Ram, Aged About 25 Years, By Caste Khati, Resident of Village Pichkarai, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

2. Bajindra Kumar S/o Sh. Nathu Ram, Aged About 40 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Pichkarai, Tehsil Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer Elementary, District Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, (CEO), Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Nohar, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Pichkarai, Panchayat Samiti Nohar, District Hanumangarh, Through Its Gramsevak.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13192 / 2017

1. Hariram Son of Shri Champaram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Sindhari Chonsira, Tehsil Sindhari, District Barmer.

2. Om Singh Son of Shri Jait Singh, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Village Mithoda, Tehsil Siwana, District Barmer.

3. Mahendra Kumar Son of Shri Pokarram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Mithoda, Tehsil Siwana, District Barmer.

4. Kistura Ram Son of Shri Jagram, Resident of Chandera, Tehsil Pachpadra, District Barmer.

5. Panna Lal Son of Shri Rugha Ram, Resident of Leelala, Tehsil Baytu, District Barmer.

6. Jetha Ram Son of Shri Sona Ram, Resident of Rateu, Tehsil Gida, District Barmer.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,

Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Barmer.

4. District Education Officer (Elementary), Barmer.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Siwana District Barmer.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Baytu District Barmer.

7. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sindhari, District Barmer.



-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13216 / 2017

Kamlesh Kumar S/o Sh. Arjun Ram Bose, Aged About 34 Years,
R/o V/p Panawashpura, Post Jajwa, Tehsil Gira, District Barmer.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Barmer, District Barmer.

3. The District Collector, Barmer.

4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Barmer.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Gira, District Barmer.

6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer Cum Principal Govt. Higher Secondary School Jajwa, Panchayat Samiti Gira District Barmer.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13241 / 2017

Asu Ram S/o Vagta Ram, Aged About 27 Years, B/c Meghwal R/o
Bandi Dhora, Panavada, Tehsil Baitu, District Barmer.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Barmer.
3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Barmer

4. The President SDMC and Panchayat Education Officer, Government Higher Secondary School Panavda, Gram Panchayat Panavda, Tehsil Baitu, Dist. Barmer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13261 / 2017

1. Tarun Kumar Chitara S/o Shri Ganpat Lal Chitara, Aged About 37 Years, R/o UIT Colony, F- 43, Behind Ambedker Park, Pratapnagar, District Jodhpur (Raj.)

2. Dharma Ram Choudhary S/o Shri Ammaram Choudhary, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Village Paladi Manglia, District Jodhpur (Raj.)

3. Rukmani W/o Shri Deeparam, Aged About 23 Years, R/o House No. 67 Paladi Manglia, Tehsil & District Jodhpur (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Mandore, District Jodhpur.

6. The President School Development and Management Government Senior Secondary School Paladi Manglia, Gram Panchayat Paladi Manglia, Panchayat Samiti Mandore District Jodhpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13308 / 2017
Manoj Kumar Pareek S/o Shri Vishwamitra Pareek, Aged About 35
Years, Resident of Village- Silanwad, Via- Jayal, Tehsil- Ladnun,
District Nagaur (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj
Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.

3. District Education Officer (Elementary), Nagaur.

4. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Ladnoon, District
Nagaur.

5. Gram Panchayat Silanwad, Tehsil- Ladnoon, District- Nagaur
Through Sarpanch.

6. Gram Sevak, Gram Panchayat Silanwad, Tehsil- Ladnoon,
District Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13342 / 2017
Anjana D/o Shri Ami Chand W/o Shri Om Prakash, Aged About 24
Years, Resident of VPO Dheerwas Bda, Tehsil Taranagar, District
Churu (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Churu, District Churu
(Raj.)

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Churu, District Churu
(Raj.)

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Taranagar District Churu.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Dheerwas Bda, Tehsil Taranagar, District Churu.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13444 / 2017

Mamta D/o Shri Labhu Ram W/o Shri Rajeev, Aged About 32 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Village Khudala, Gram Panchayat Khudala, Tehsil Gudamalani, District Barmer.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. District Education Officer Elementary, District Barmer.

4. Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Zila Parishad Barmer.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Gudamalani District Barmer.

6. Gram Panchayat Khudala, Panchat Samiti Gudamalani, District Barmer, Through Its Gramsevak

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13589 / 2017

Sunita D/o Shri Khema Ram, Aged About 28 Years, Resident of Daboli Mithi, Post Rajpura, Tehsil Degana, District Nagaur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through the Secretary, Department of School Education, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Secretary, Government of Rajasthan, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. District Collector, Nagaur.
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, Nagaur.
6. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.
7. President, School Development and Management Committee (SDMC), Daboli Mithi, Panchayat Samiti Degana, District Nagaur.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13658 / 2017
Lalit Kumar S/o Indramal, Aged About 36 Years, By Caste
Ghanchi, R/o Devendi, Devendi Road, Siwana, District Barmer.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayatraj and Rural Development, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Zila Parishad, Barmer, Through Its Chief Executive Officer.
3. District Collector, Barmer.
4. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Barmer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13834 / 2017
Govind Ram S/o Shri Ratnaram, Aged About 31 Years, By Caste-
Dhajak, Resident of Village- Silanwad, Via- Jayal, Tehsil- Ladnun,
District Nagaur (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
3. District Education Officer (Elementary), Nagaur.
4. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Ladnoon, District Nagaur.
5. Gram Panchayat Silanwad, Tehsil Ladnoon, District- Nagaur

Through Sarpanch.

6. Gram Sevak, Gram Panchayat Silanwad, Tehsil Ladnoon, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13965 / 2017

Rajkumar S/o Panna Ram, Aged About 31 Years, B/c Jat, R/o Huddo Ki Dhani, Bandi Dhora, Gram Panchayat Panavada, Tehsil Baitu, District Barmer.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Barmer.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Barmer.

4. The President SDMC and Panchayat Education Officer, Government Higher Secondary School Bandhi Dhora, Panavda, Gram Panchayat Panavda, Tehsil Baitu, Dist. Barmer.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12365 / 2017

Anita Verma D/o Sh. Krishan Kumar, Wife of Sh. Ashish Kumar, Aged About 24 Years, Resident of Village Post Chhani Badi Ward No. 02, Tehsil Bhadra, Distirct Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Chhani Bari, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.


6. Gram Panchayat Chhani Bari, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12398 / 2017

Mahaveer Prasad S/o Sh. Ram Lal, Aged About 41 Years, Resident of Ward No. 05, VPO Pakka Bhadwa, Tehsil & District Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner



Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

3. District Collector, Hanumangarh.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Pakka Bhadwa, Tehsil Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

6. Gram Panchayat Pakka Bhadwa, Panchayat Samiti Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh Through Its Secretary.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14288 / 2017

Dungar Dan Son of Shri Ranidan, Aged About 39 Years, Resident of Village Beenjasar, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government and Commissioner, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Collector, Barmer.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Barmer.

4. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Barmer.

5. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer.

6. Officiating Panchayat Elementary Education Officer - Cum Chairman (Government Senior Secondary School), School Development and Management Committee, Beenjasar, District Barmer.

7. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Beenjasar, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer.

8. Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer.

9. Gram Sevak-cum- Officiating Secretary, Gram Panchayat Beenjasar, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14439 / 2017

Deepak Vishnoi Son of Shri Jeknaram Vishnoi, Aged About 23 Years, Resident of Village Bhalisar, Gram Panchayat Navatala Rathoran, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer (Rajasthan)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government and Commissioner, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. District Collector, Barmer

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Barmer

4. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Barmer

5. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer.

6. Officiating Panchayat Elementary Education Officer - Cum Chairman (Government Senior Secondary School), School Development and Management Committee, Navatala Rathoran, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer

7. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Navatala Rathoran, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer

8. Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer

9. Gram Sevak - Cum - Officiating Secretary, Gram Panchayat Navatala Rathoran, Panchayat Samiti Dhanau, District Barmer

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14501 / 2017

1. Shiv Lal S/o Chanda Ram, aged about 34 years, R/o Village Lalasar, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

2. Madan Lal S/o Surja Ram, aged about 36 years, R/o Village Lalasar, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Nokha, District Bikaner.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12333/ 2017

Veerpal Kaur d/o Mahendra Singh, aged about 41 years, r/o Dabliwas Midharohi, Via Goluwala, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Department of School Education, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

3. The District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat, Dabliwas Midharohi, Panchayat Samiti, Pilibangan, District Hanumangarh through its Secretary.

5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Dabliwas Midharohi, Panchayat Samiti, Pilibangan

District Hanumangarh through its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12341/ 2017

1. Baljinder Singh s/o Shri Makhan Singh, b/c Jat Sikh, aged about 25 years, r/o VPO Silwala Khurd, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

2. Ramandeep Kaur w/o Shri Sandeep Kumar, b/c Arora, aged about 26 years, r/o VPO Silwala Khurd, Tehsil Tibbi, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.

3. The District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Hanumangarh, District Hanumangarh.

4. Gram Panchayat, Silwara Khurd, Panchayat Samiti, Tibbi, District Hanumangarh through its Secretary.

5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Silwala Khurd, Panchayat Samiti, Tibbi District Hanumangarh through its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12272/ 2017

1. Arvind Singh s/o Shri Narayan Singh Deora, age about 31 years, V/P Sanwara, Teh.Reodar, District Shirohi.

2. Dinesh Kumar Prohit s/o Shri Himmat Lal Prohit, age about 33 years, Village Asava Post Udvaria, Teh.Reodar, District Shirohi.

3. Khagara Ram s/o Shri AnaRam age about 24 years, V/P Sanwara Teh.Reodar, District Shirohi.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School, Sanwara, Reodar, Dist.Shirohi.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12273/ 2017

1. Mittu Singh s/o Shri Jabbar Singh, age about 26 years Village Dingar Post. Telpura Teh.Pindvara, District Shirohi.

2. Karnaram s/o Shri Talsaji, age about 48 years, V/P Telpura, Teh.Pindvara, District Shirohi.

3. Anand Singh Deora s/o Shri Jalam Singh age about 33 years, Village Silwara, Teh.Nandia, District Shirohi.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Shirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Shirohi.

4. The Panchayat Primary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School, Telpur, Teh.Pindvara, Dist.Shirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15061/ 2017

Deepika Soda d/o Anand Singh Soda, age 22 years, b/c Rajput, s/o Village Balsamad, Tehsil Ladnun, District Nagaur (Rajasthan).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Raj.).

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.

3. District Education Officer (Elementary), Nagaur.

4. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Ladnun, District Nagaur.

5. Gram Panchayat Balsamad, Tehsil Ladnun, District Nagaur, through Sarpanch.

6. Gram Sevak, Gram Panchayat, Balsamad, Tehsil Ladnun, District Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15013/ 2017

Veeru Ram Meghwal s/o Foja Ram, age 40 years, r/o Village Tekra, Tehsil Bap, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Education Department, Govt.Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jodhpur.

4. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur.

5. The Secretary, Gram Panchayat Sinhada, Panchayat Samiti, Bap, District Jodhpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12278/ 2017

Smt.Vijay Lakshmi Gaur d/o Vishnu Prasad Gaur, aged 34 years, r/o Gandhipuri, Tehsil Shahpura, District Bhilwara.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Department of School Education, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Secretary, Government of Rajasthan, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, State Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. District Collector, Bhilwara.

5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bhilwara, Bhilwara.

6. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bhilwara.

7. President, School Development and Management Committee (SDMC), Borda Bavraiyan, Panchayat Samiti, Shahpura, District Bhilwara.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr.K.R.Saharan, Mr.Mahendra Trivedi, Mr.Moti Singh, Mr.D.S.Sodha, Mr.K.D.S.Bhati, Mr.H.R.Chawla, Mr.B.S.Sandhu, Mr.K.P.S.Bhati, Mr.M.S.Deora, Dr.Nikhil Dungawat, Mr.J.S.Bhaleria, Mr.B.S.Deora, Mr.Inderjeet Yadav, Mr.Tanwar Singh Rathore, Mr.Sukesh Bhati, Mr.Kailash Jangid, Mr.J.S.Khichi, Mr.H.R.Vishnoi, Mr.Ashvini Swami, Mr.Rakesh Matoria, Mr.Shardul Bishnoi, Mr.Vikas Bijarnia, Mr.Hanuman Singh Bhati, Mr.Hanuman Singh Choudhary, Mr.Jog Singh, Mr.Rajesh Parihar, Mr.B.L.Bishnoi, Mr.Vikram Singh Bhawla, Mr.S.R.Godara, Mr.Ramdev Potalia, Mr.Mahaveer Bishnoi, Mr.I.R.Choudhary, Mr.Shreekant Verma, Mr.Arjun Purohit,

Mr.Kan Singh Oad, Mr.R.N.Choudhary,
Mr.Sudheer Sharma, Mr.B.S.Tanwar,
Mr.K.C.Choudhary, Mr.R.S.Choudhary,
Mr.Kailash Choudhary, Mr.K.P.Raj Singh,
Mr.Pritam Solanki, Mr.Shrawan Choudhary,
Mr.Tarun Dhaka, Mr.Sanjay Nahar,
Mr.A.D.Ujjwal.

For Respondent(s) : Mr.S.S.Ladreacha, Additional Advocate
General.

Mr.S.D.Goswami



HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI

Order

1. The petitioners have preferred these writ petitions, in sum and substance, with the following prayers and for the sake of convenience, the prayer clauses are being taken from the leading case being S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.12299/2017:-

"(i) That the action of the respondents of recommendation to fresh selection for the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak in pursuant to the order dated 27.07.2017 (Annexure-6) may be declared illegal qua the petitioner and the petitioner may be permitted continue on the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak at Gram Panchayat Bhangarh, Panchayat Samiti, Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

(ii) That the respondents may be restrained not to issue new vacancy for the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak for Gram Panchayat, Bhangarh.

(iii) That during the pendency of the writ petition if the respondents issued any fresh cancellation or selection order, same may also be quashed and set aside.

(iv) Any other appropriate relief which this Hon'ble Court deems just and proper in the facts and

circumstances of the case, in favour of the petitioner, may kindly be granted to them."

2. For the purpose of the present adjudication, the facts are being taken from the leading case being S.B.Civil Writ Petition No. 12299/2017.



The respondents amended the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996, while exercising the powers conferred by Section 102 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 (Act No.13 of 1994). The amended Rules were named as "*The Rajasthan Panchayati Raj (Second Amendment) Rules, 2016*". As per the amended Rules, the amendment of Rule 258 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996 was made, particularly after the existing sub-rule (2) of Rule 258, new sub-rule (3) was added. Thus, the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak was created, on part time or on fixed honorarium or on contract basis, for Panchayat Office.

4. For the execution of sub-rule (3) of Rule 258, the respondents came out with a circular dated 08.11.2016. The said circular laid down the service conditions of the persons to be selected as Gram Panchayat Sahayak. For the purpose of such selection, the eligibility criteria was as follows:-

"ग्राम पंचायत सहायक हेतु अर्हताएं

1. आवेदक राजस्थान माध्यमिक शिक्षा बोर्ड, अजमेर से सीनियर सैकेण्डरी या इसके समकक्ष उत्तीर्ण होना आवश्यक है।
2. आवेदक की आयु 18 से 40 वर्ष की होनी चाहिए।
3. आवेदक का जिस ग्राम पंचायत हेतु चयन किया जा रहा है, से संबंधित

पंचायत समिति का स्थानीय निवासी होना आवश्यक है।”

5. Similarly for termination of any candidate, the following four conditions have been laid down in the said circular dated 08.11.2016:-

“ग्राम पंचायत सहायक का पृथक्करण :-



(अ) यदि ग्राम पंचायत सहायक दुराचरण का दोषी पाया जाता है अथवा न्यायालय द्वारा दोषी पाये जाने पर उसे मानदेय से पृथक् किया जा सकेगा।

(ब) ग्राम पंचायत सहायक के कार्य में लापरवाही, शिथिलता अथवा किसी भी प्रकार की अनियमितता करने का दोषी पाये जाने पर संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा उसे हटाया जा सकेगा। ऐसा करने से पूर्व आरोपित ग्राम पंचायत सहायक को सुनवाई का युक्तियुक्त अवसर अवश्य दिया जायेगा।

(स) ग्राम पंचायत सहायक के स्वैच्छा से अनुपस्थित रहने पर भी उसे हटाया जा सकेगा।

(द) ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा ग्राम पंचायत सहायक की सेवाओं की आवश्यकता नहीं होने पर बिना कारण बताये भी उसे हटाया जा सकता है।”

6. The selection process had to happen as per the following five conditions mentioned in the aforementioned circular dated 08.11.2016:

“चयन की प्रक्रिया –

. ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों का चयन संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत की ग्राम सभा में किया जायेगा। आवेदक अपना आवेदन परिशिष्ट -2 पर दिये प्रारूप एवं स्वप्रमाणित दस्तावेजों के साथ संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत को ग्राम सभा के दिन प्रातः 10.30 बजे तक सम्बंधित ग्राम पंचायत के ग्राम सेवाक को प्रस्तुत कर सकेंगे। एक अभ्यर्थी एक ग्राम पंचायत में ही आवेदन कर सकेगा। एक से अधिक स्थान पर आवेदन करना पाये जाने पर दोनों/ सभी स्थानों के आवेदन ररद्ध समझे जायेगे।

. आवेदक के उसी पंचायत समिति का निवासी होने का प्रमाण पत्र भी संलग्न किया जाना आवश्यक होगा।

. एक निर्धारित दिवस पर समस्त राज्य में एक साथ ग्राम सभाओं को आयोजित कर चयन की प्रक्रिया पूर्ण की जायेगी। चयन हेतु आवेदक का उस ग्राम सभा में व्यक्तिगत उपस्थित रहना अनिवार्य होगा, जिसमें उसने आवेदन किया है।

. संबधित विकास अधिकारी द्वारा अपनी पंचायत समिति की समस्त ग्राम पंचायतों में आवश्यक सहायकों की चयन योग्य संख्या का विवरण एक साथ एक स्थानीय समाचार पत्र में प्रकाशित करवाया जायेगा। इसके अतिरिक्त ग्राम पंचायत अपने कार्यालय के सूचना पट्ट पर भी चयन हेतु रिक्तियों का एवं ग्राम सभा की दिनांक का प्रदर्शन सुनिश्चित करेगी। ग्राम पंचायत अपने स्थानीय क्षेत्र में ग्राम पंचायत सहायक के चयन हेतु आयोजित की जा रही ग्राम सभा बाबत व्यापक प्रचार-प्रसार सुनिश्चित करेंगी।

. ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा समस्त चयन की प्रक्रिया ग्राम सभा के दिन ही पूर्ण कर उसी दिन चयनित आवेदकों के नाम अनुमोदन हेतु संबधित मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, जिला परिषद को प्रेषित करने होंगे। जिला परिषद में अगले कार्य दिवस पर मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी / अति. मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, संबधित पंचायत समिति के विकास अधिकारी तथा एक लेखाधिकारी/ सहायक लेखाधिकारी की समिति संबधित पंचायत समिति की समस्त ग्राम पंचायतों में हुए चयन का अनुमोदन करेंगे। अनुमोदन के पश्चात संबधित ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा आदेश जारी किये जा सकेंगे। समिति द्वारा अनुमोदन नहीं किये जाने की दशा में पुनः ग्राम सभा आयोजित की जाकर नये नाम प्रस्तावित किये जाने की कार्यवाही की जायेगी।”

7. The State Government further issued a circular dated 27.01.2017, in which the selection process was further clarified. The State Government further issued directions on 01.02.2017. Thus, the purport of the circulars was that as per the criteria, the concerned School Development and Management Committee (SDMC)/School Management Committee (SMC) was to select the candidates and make recommendation for their appointment, and thereafter, the selection of those candidates was supposed to be

approved by a District Level Committee comprising of the following:-

"(i) District Education Officer, Primary Education First – Chairman.

(ii) District Education Officer, Primary Education Second – Member.

(iii) District Education Officer, Secondary Education First – Member.

(iv) Concerned Block Primary Education Officer – Member Secretary."



8. The last Committee was having the powers to approve the selection process and pave the way for the requisite appointments. Some of the candidates were given appointments in pursuance of the recommendation of the SDMC/SMC; and some of the candidates though recommended, but were yet to be given appointments.

9. In this particular case, the petitioner was given appointment, however, we are hearing all the cases together, and therefore, another category of the candidates, which consists of those, who were finally approved by the aforementioned District Level Committee, is also taken into consideration.

10. Broadly, for all the candidates, the selection process was over and the topmost level Committee i.e. the District Level Committee had already approved the appointments of all the candidates, some of whom were also given appointments. However, the persons, who were deprived of the appointment

preferred the writ petitions before the Principal Seat as well as the Jaipur Bench of this Hon'ble Court viz., **S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.6023/2017 (Bhoma Ram Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. alongwith 121 other analogous matters, decided on 01.06.2017)** and **S.B.Civil Writ Petition No.1032/2017 (Sunita Sharma Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. decided on 24.05.2017)**, respectively.



The directions given by this Hon'ble Court in **Bhoma Ram** and **Sunita Sharma (supra)** are common. However, the order passed in the case of **Bhoma Ram (supra)** is quoted

hereinbelow:-

" All the above-mentioned writ petitions shall stand decided by this common order as the issue involved is identical.

The prayer in the present writ petitions is to set aside the various orders of appointment as well as the selection list itself dated 19.05.2017 which has been challenged on various grounds including malafide, irregularities and defective procedure followed by the Selection Committee i.e. School Development Committee (SDMC). It was contended that the entire selection was a farce and sham. The identical writ petitions came up for hearing before the learned Single Bench of this Court at Jaipur. The said writ petitions were disposed of vide order dated 24.5.2017 on the stand taken by the State Government with the following directions:-

"(a). That the State Government shall issue a circular regarding constitution of the committee

within one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(b). That from the date of selection of the candidate or within fifteen days from constitution of the committee, whichever is later, any candidate who had participated in the interview, is having grievance and is dissatisfied, may file a representation before the

committee.

(c). That the said committee after looking into the record, shall decide the representation by passing a detailed reasoned speaking order.

(d). That copy of the order to be passed upon the representation shall be sent to the candidate through registered post.

(e). That if the candidate who has filed representation, is still aggrieved of the decision, he or she may, within one month from the date of receipt of registered post, take recourse to lawful remedy available to him/her in accordance with provisions of law.

(f). That for putting the candidates to notice who had participated in the selection process, this order and the circular so issued by the State Government shall be uploaded on the official website of the Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department and the Education Department (Elementary)."

It is not disputed that the issue involved is identical.

Today, Mr. P.R. Singh, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. S.S. Ladrecha, learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record the



Circular dated 27.5.2017 vide which the Committee has already been constituted comprising of District Collector, Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad and the District Education Officer (Elementary) in terms of the directions issued vide order dated 24.5.2017 of the learned Single Bench. Circular dated 31.05.2017 has also been placed on record vide which it has been decided to dispose of all the representations filed by the petitioners as well as other effected persons within three months from the last cut off date of filing the representation. The last date of the filing the representation shall be the expiry of 15 days from the Circular dated 27.5.2017 vide which the Committee was constituted.



Besides the above, learned counsel for the petitioners state that the merit list has not been disclosed till date and that the criteria and the procedure followed for the selection has also not been disclosed.

It goes without saying that the Committee shall also look into the said objections raised by the petitioners and accordingly deal with the same while deciding the said representations.

In view of the above, all the above-mentioned writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the order dated 24.5.2017 – Sunita Sharma (supra) as well as in view of the Circular dated 27.5.2017 and 31.5.2017.

Mr. Kailash Jangid, learned counsel for the petitioner states that SB Civil Writ Petition No.6323/2017 is different to the extent that the result of the Gram Panchayat Sahayak has not been declared. The said writ petition is also disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the concerned Committee so constituted for the

purpose during the same stipulated period as observed in CWP No.6023/2017. The Committee shall consider the representation and decide accordingly.

Writ Petitions No.6541/2017, 6555/2017, 6583/2017, 6616/2017, 6619/2017, 6642/2017, 6644/2017, 6671/2017, 6682/2017, 6752/2017 have been filed by the selected candidates who are aggrieved with the fact that no appointment letters have been issued to them.

In view of the writ petition No.6023/2017 - (Bhoma Ram Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.) & other connected matters having been disposed of in terms of the order dated 24.5.2017 passed by the learned Single Bench of this Court at Jaipur in SBCWP NO.1032/2017 (Sunita Sharma Vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.) & Other Connected matters as above, no direction to issue the appointment orders can be passed at this stage. The petitioners who have been selected but no appointment orders have been issued are also at liberty to file their respective representations raising their grievances, if any within the same stipulated period as observed in CWP No.6023/2017. The Committee shall thereafter look into the same and pass appropriate orders on their representations in accordance with law.

All the writ petitions are accordingly disposed of in the above."

12. Thus, the purport of the aforementioned two orders passed by this Hon'ble Court was that in case any person, who did not qualify or did not make it in the selection process, had any genuine grievance, then the same shall be considered by the

respondents at length.


13. From a bare reading of the aforesaid directions given by this Hon'ble Court, it is abundantly clear that the Hon'ble Court only wanted the Committee to be constituted, and that, the genuine grievances of the aggrieved persons be redressed by the respondents, while passing a speaking order. However, this Hon'ble Court, at no point of time, wanted the earlier exercise to be brushed aside in mass and also did not wish to have a fresh selection process at any stage.

14. This Hon'ble Court while passing the aforementioned orders, only required the respondents to give an opportunity of hearing to the aggrieved persons. But, at no point of time, the Hon'ble Court wanted the opportunity of hearing to mean that the same shall not be available to the candidates already selected.

15. It is the basic law that opportunity of hearing cannot be denied to any person, even when he has committed a heinous crime in the eye of law. The rule of law is the cardinal principle of this democratic country, where the constitutional value imbibes, at the threshold, the opportunity of hearing, which is expressed in different terms, including the *doctrine of audi alteram partem*. The Hon'ble Apex Court also, time and again, in various judgments has held that the opportunity of hearing cannot be taken away. Even when the anti national terrorists, some of them in media or the others in person, are said to have committed anti national activities, were permitted complete trial and were given full

opportunity of hearing before being hanged for the offence so committed.

16. Since the rule of law itself reflects the opportunity of hearing as a prime condition, therefore, it was imperative upon the respondents to have adhered to this basic principle of law. It is extremely unfortunate that when there is a clash between the selected and non-selected candidates, which is always a sensitive issue in the present times, when the employment is crucial, the respondents-authorities have failed to resolve the issue by adhering to the said principle of law.



17. For protecting the valuable right of opportunity of hearing, the earlier orders, as aforementioned, were passed by this Hon'ble Court, but the said orders have been misconstrued by the respondents, and the respondents, while affording the opportunity of hearing to one set of persons, have taken away the same valuable right from the persons, who were equally entitled for opportunity of hearing and were in fact in a more delicate position, as they had already acquired final approval from the District Level Committee for their appointment, which according to the guidelines of the State Government, was a confirmity for the petitioners, who broadly were the persons suitable for being given appointment and before taking away such a valuable right, a very exhaustive right of hearing ought to have been given to them.

18. On noticing the aforementioned anomaly, which is causing grave injustice to the approved/selected candidates, this Hon'ble Court came to their rescue by passing the following

interim order on 03.10.2017:-

" Learned counsel for the petitioner asserts that the name of petitioner had been duly recommended by the School Development & Management Committee (SDMC)/School Management Committee (SMC) and forwarded to the respective Zila Parishads for approval. In some of the cases, the concerned Zila Parishads have approved the recommendation and afforded appointment to the petitioner, while in other cases the matters remained pending at the ends of the respondents.

However, without issuing any notice to the concerned candidates, the respondents have dispensed with the petitioner's engagement or terminated his service, while initiating denovo process of selection, pursuant to the circular No.P.15(1)Prashi/2017 dated 15.09.2017 issued by the State Government.

The contention of the petitioner is that by way of initiating denovo process of selection, the respondents have taken away accrued, vested and crystallized rights of the petitioner, for no fault of him.

Issue notice. Issue notice of the stay application also.

Mr. S.S. Ladrecha, AAG, learned Additional Advocate General having entered caveat on behalf of Education Department is directed to accept notices for all the respondents. Mr. Ladrecha, prays for some time to complete his instructions.

Time prayed for is allowed.

List this matter on 09.11.2017.



In the meanwhile, the termination of the service of the petitioner, who has been working as Gram Panchayat Shahayak shall remain stayed.

The respondents are further restrained from proceeding in furtherance of the above referred circular dated 15.09.2017 and undertaking exercise of selection of Gram Panchayat Sahayaks for those Gram Panchayats, for which concerned SDMC/SMC have already made recommendations.

The respondents shall however be free to carry out the process for selection for those Gram Panchayats, for which the concerned SDMC/SMC has not sent the recommendations or list of eligible candidates."



19. Thus, this Hon'ble Court, while passing the aforequoted interim order, restrained the respondents from terminating the services or the status acquired by the Gram Panchayat Sahayaks, and meanwhile, also restrained the respondents from proceeding ahead with the circular dated 15.09.2017 for undertaking the fresh exercise of selection of Gram Panchayat Sahayaks, for those Gram Panchayats, for which the concerned SDMC/SMC have already made recommendations.

20. Learned counsels for the petitioners, while arguing the matters, laid the thrust upon the fact that the SDMC/SMC and District Level Committee were higher level Committees comprising of responsible officers of the State Government and their final approval could not be brushed aside in a one sided proceeding.

21. Learned counsels for the petitioners further harped that the opportunity of hearing was imbibed in the rule of law, and to maintain the rule of law, it was the duty of the State to have given at least the opportunity of hearing to the petitioners by giving them notice and ascertain the facts, which as per the State, would have rendered the whole selection process meaningless.



22. Learned counsels for the petitioners further pointed out that all the candidates before the Court today as petitioners in all the writ petitions had acquired the final clearance from the SDMC/SMC/District Level Committee and were either appointed or were at the verge of being given appointment.

23. Learned counsels for the petitioners also pointed out that any deprivation at this stage to the persons, who had gone through the complete selection process laid down by the respondents would not only be detrimental to the rule of law, but would also cause serious prejudice to the opportunity of employment, that was secured by the petitioners after finding place in the final approval by the District Level Committee.

24. In support of their submissions, learned counsels for the petitioners have relied upon the precedent law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **A.K.Kraipak & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors., reported in AIR 1970 SC 150**, relevant para 20 of which reads as under:

"20. The aim of the rules of natural justice is to secure justice or to put it negatively to prevent miscarriage of justice. These rules can operate only in

areas not covered by any law validly made. In other words they do not supplant the law of the land but supplement it.-The concept of natural justice has undergone a great deal of change in recent years. In the past it was thought that it included just two rules namely (1) no one shall be a judge in his own case (Nemo debet esse judex propria causa) and (2) no decision shall be given against a party without affording him a reasonable hearing (audi alteram partem). Very soon there- after a third rule was envisaged and that is that quasi- judicial enquiries must be held in good faith, without bias and not arbitrarily or unreasonably. But in the course of years many more subsidiary rules came to be added to the rules of natural justice. Till very recently it was the opinion of the courts that unless the authority concerned was required by the law under which it functioned to act judicially there was no room for the application of the rules of natural justice. The validity of that limitation is now questioned. If the purpose of the rules of natural justice is to prevent miscarriage of justice one fails to see why those rules should be made inapplicable to administrative enquiries. Often times it is not easy to draw the line that demarcates administrative enquiries from quasi-judicial enquiries. Enquiries which were considered administrative at one time are now being considered as quasijudicial in character. Arriving at a just decision is the aim of both quasi- judicial enquiries as well as administrative enquiries. An unjust decision in an administrative enquiry may have more far reaching effect than a decision in a quasi-judicial enquiry. As observed by this Court in *Suresh Koshy George v. The University of Kerala and Ors.*(1) the rules of natural justice are not embodied rules. What particular rule of natural



justice should apply to a given case must depend to a great extent on the facts and circumstances of that case, the framework of the law under which the enquiry is held and the constitution of the Tribunal or body of persons appointed for that purpose. Whenever a complaint is made before a court that some principle of natural justice had been contravened the court has to decide whether the observance of that was necessary for a just decision on the facts of that case."



25 Learned counsels for the petitioners also relied upon the precedent law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***The Government of Mysore & Ors. Vs. J.V. Bhat, etc., reported in AIR 1975 SC 596***, relevant paras 6 to 8 of which read as under:-


"6. The Mysore High Court, in the judgment under appeal, seems to have boon of opinion that the principle laid down in Cooper v. The Board of Works for the Wandsworth District (supra) was departed from in King v. The Electricity Commissioners (supra) and by the Privy Council in Nakkuda Ali v. M. F. De S. Jayaratne (supra). The Electricity Commissioners' case was followed by this Court in Province of Bombay v. Khushadas .V. Advani (supra). The High Court's view seems to have been that this line of reasoning prevented the Court from inferring any procedure apart from that laid down in the statute. It seemed to have been of opinion that only what was laid down in the Constitution is the Constitutional law of the

land. This is clear from the following passage in the judgment under appeal:

"The principles of natural justice recognised in this country are largely if not wholly' moulded by the decisions of the English Courts. In this country, as in England, though the principles of natural justice are of utmost importance in the administration of justice they do not form part of the Constitutional law of our country except probably when we consider cases falling under Article 311 of the Constitution. Some of our statutes embody those principles but largely they are the product of judicial decisions. Those principles do not over-ride specific provisions contained in any statute unless the same comes into conflict with any of the provisions in the Constitution."

7. We may point out that, in holding the impugned provision void for contravention of Art. 19(1)(f) of the Constitution, the High Court itself relied on a principle of natural justice inasmuch as it held that a procedure providing ,or due hearing to the party affected before a building was condemned to be demolished was not provided in the impugned Act. In other words, the High Court itself was treating rules of natural justice as part of requirements of our Constitutional law although they are not specifically conferred upon citizens under a separate heading.

8. We think that the Electricity Commissioners' case (supra) which was followed by this Court in Khushaldas S. Advani's case (supra), was not really a departure from the general principle laid



down in *Cooper v. The Board of Works for the Wandsworth District* (supra), but, it was an attempt to formulate the conditions under which the general principle laid down thereby Erle, C.J., who quoted the Biblical story of how even God Himself had given Adam an opportunity of answering why he had eaten the forbidden fruit before, expelling him from Paradies was applicable in the circumstances of an increasingly complex economic and social order whose problems compelled the emergence of the welfare Socialistic State with its many organs armed with extensive powers. Courts attempted, in the interests of justice, where its imperative demands were not met, to control administrative action by assimilating it to judicial action over which Courts could exercise supervision. In later cases, emphasis was more on the needs of justice and fairness rather than upon the distinction between the judicial and administrative action. Administrative action had, however, to be given free scope within its legitimate sphere without jeopardizing rights of individuals affected. Policies and schemes framed under statutory provisions, which affected rights of individuals could impose the obligations upon the authorities taking what were essentially administrative decisions at points at which they begin to impinge on specific individual rights. It is only where there is nothing in the statute to actually prohibit the giving of an opportunity to be heard, but, on the other hand, the nature of the statutory duty imposed itself necessarily implied an obligation to hear before deciding that the "audi alteram partem" rule could be imported. The nature of the hearing



would, of course, vary according to the nature of the function and what its just and fair exercise required in the context of rights affected.”

26. Reliance has also been placed by the learned counsels

for the petitioners on the precedent law laid down by the Hon'ble

Apex Court in **Divisional Manager, Plantation Division, Andaman and Nicobar Islands Vs. Munnu Barrick & Ors.,**

reported in **AIR 2005 SC 1158**, relevant paras 17 and 20 of

which read as under:

"17. The principles of natural justice cannot be put in a strait-jacket formula. It must be viewed with flexibility. In a given case, where a deviation takes place as regard compliance of the principles of natural justice, the Court may insist upon proof of prejudice before setting aside the order impugned before it. [See *Bar Council of India v. High Court of Kerala*,].

20. This Court in *Canara Bank (supra)* while following *Karunakar (supra)* held:

"19. Concept of natural justice has undergone a great deal of change in recent years. Rules of natural justice are not rules embodied always expressly in a statute or in rules framed thereunder. They may be implied from the nature of the duty to be performed under a statute. What particular rule of natural justice should be implied and what its context should be in a given case must depend to a great extent on the fact and circumstances of that case, the frame-work of the statute under which the enquiry is held.

The old distinction between a judicial act and an administrative act has withered away. Even an administrative order which involves civil consequences must be consistent with the rules of natural justice. The expression "civil consequences" encompasses infraction of not merely property or personal rights but of civil liberties, material deprivations, and non-pecuniary damages. In its wide umbrella comes everything that affects a citizen in his civil life."



Learned counsels for the petitioners have also placed reliance on the precedent law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Uma Nath Pandey & Ors. Vs. State of U.P. & Anr., reported in AIR 2009 SC 2375***, relevant para 17 of which reads as under:-

"17. *How then have the principles of natural justice been interpreted in the Courts and within what limits are they to be confined? Over the years by a process of judicial interpretation two rules have been evolved as representing the principles of natural justice in judicial process, including therein quasi-judicial and administrative process. They constitute the basic elements of a fair hearing, having their roots in the innate sense of man for fair-play and justice which is not the preserve of any particular race or country but is shared in common by all men. The first rule is 'nemo judex in causa sua' or 'nemo debet esse judex in propria causa sua' as stated in (1605) 12 Co.Rep.114 that is, 'no man shall be a judge in his own cause'. Coke used the form 'aliquis non debet esse judex in propria causa quia non potest esse judex at pars' (Co.Litt. 1418), that is, 'no man ought to be a judge in his own case, because he cannot act*

as Judge and at the same time be a party'. The form 'nemo potest esse simul actor et judex', that is, 'no one can be at once suitor and judge' is also at times used. The second rule is 'audi alteram partem', that is, 'hear the other side'. At times and particularly in continental countries, the form 'audietur at altera pars' is used, meaning very much the same thing. A corollary has been deduced from the above two rules and particularly the audi alteram partem rule, namely 'qui aliquid statuerit parte inaudita alteram actquam licet dixerit, haud acquum facerit' that is, 'he who shall decide anything without the other side having been heard, although he may have said what is right, will not have been what is right' (See Bosewell's case (1605) 6 Co.Rep. 48-b, 52-a) or in other words, as it is now expressed, 'justice should not only be done but should manifestly be seen to be done'. Whenever an order is struck down as invalid being in violation of principles of natural justice, there is no final decision of the case and fresh proceedings are left upon. All that is done is to vacate the order assailed by virtue of its inherent defect, but the proceedings are not terminated."

28. Reliance has also been placed by the learned counsels for the petitioners on the precedent law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Swadeshi Cotton Mills etc. etc. Vs. Union of India etc. etc., reported in AIR 1981 SC 818**, relevant paras 42 and 91 of which read as under:-

"42. In short, the general principle-as distinguished from an absolute rule of uniform application-seems to be that where a statute does not in terms, exclude this rule of prior hearing but contemplates a post-decisional hearing amounting to a full review of the

original order on merits, then such a statute would be construed as excluding the audi alteram partem rule at the pre-decisional stage. Conversely, if the statute conferring the power is silent with regard to the giving of a pre-decisional hearing to the person affected and the administrative decision taken by the authority involves civil consequences of a grave nature, and no full review or appeal on merits against that decision is provided, courts will be extremely reluctant to construe such a statute as excluding the duty of affording even a minimal hearing, shown of all its formal trappings and dilatory features at the pre-decisional stage, unless, viewed pragmatically, it would paralyse the administrative process or frustrate the need or utmost promptitude. In short, this rule of fairplay "must not be jettisoned save in very exceptional circumstances where compulsive necessity so demands". The court must make every effort to salvage this cardinal rule to the maximum extent possible, with situational modifications. But, to recall the words of Bhagwati, J., the core of it must, however, remain, namely, that the person affected must have reasonable opportunity of being heard and the hearing must be a genuine hearing and not an empty public relations exercise.

91. In sum, for all the reasons aforesaid, we are of the view that it is not reasonably possible to construe Section 18AA(1) as universally excluding, either expressly or by inevitable intendment, the application of the audi alteram partem rule of natural justice at the pre-takeover stage, regardless of the facts and circumstances of the particular case. In the circumstances of the instant case, in order to ensure fairplay in action it was imperative for the



Government to comply substantially with this fundamental rule of prior hearing before passing the impugned order. We therefore, accept the two-fold proposition posed and propounded by Shri Nariman."

30. Learned counsels for the petitioners further relied upon the precedent law laid down by the Division Bench of this Hon'ble

High Court in **Director, Central State Farm, Suratgarh & Ors.**

vs Judge, Labour Court, Bikaner & Ors., reported in


1991(2) WLC (Raj.) 259, relevant paras 9 and 10 of which read

as under:-

"9. Our attention has also been drawn to a Full Bench decision of the Allahabad High Court in Ramendra Nath v. Mandi Samiti, Sultanpur , wherein it has been observed:

"that the employees of statutory corporations, even in the absence of any Service Rules are entitled to the benefit of principles of natural justice, which would also apply in the case of employees of the Corporation in the matter of termination of services in case their services are terminated though by an innocuous order but by way of punishment without giving them an opportunity of hearing."

It was further held that where the services of the employees of a Mandi Samiti were terminated when there were no service rules in existence by way of punishment in as much as termination was made on the basis of arrest of the employees on the alleged charge of issuing forged passes and on the basis of the recommendation made by the Superintendent of Police to terminate their services and no opportunity of hearing was given



to the employees, such termination of services was held to be illegal being violative of Article 14 and principles of natural justice. In such a case, the relief could not be denied to the employees on the ground that it was a contractual matter and that Samiti was within its right to terminate the services of the employees.

It was also held that the employment of the respondent was public employment and the employer could not terminate the services of its employee without due enquiry in accordance with the Statutory Regulations, if any in force or in the absence of such Regulations, in accordance with the rules of natural justice. Such an enquiry in to the conduct of a public employee is of a quasi judicial character. The respondent was employed by the appellant Corporation in exercise of powers conferred on it by the statute which created it. The appellants power to dismiss the respondent from service was not derived from the Statute. The Court would, therefore, presume the existence of a duty on the part of the dismissing authority to observe the rules of natural justice. The rules of natural justice in the circumstances of the case required that the respondent should be given a reasonable opportunity to deny his guilt, to defend himself and to establish his innocence which means and includes opportunity to cross-examine the witnesses relied upon by the appellant Corporation and an opportunity to lead evidence in defence of the charge as also a show cause notice for the proposed punishment.

10. Section 11(b) of the aforesaid Standing Orders also provides that the employee has to be informed in writing about the alleged misconduct



and he has to be given a reasonable opportunity to explain the charges levelled against him. Thus, the charges have to be framed and they have to be served on the delinquent and if the employer wanted to terminate the services of the delinquent employee as a measure of penalty even without waiting for the decision of the Criminal Court, the employer should have held an enquiry about his conduct and if it was found that the employee has really indulged in such an affair or is guilty of the aforesaid misconduct then alone his services could have been terminated by his employer. In this case, where employee's services have been terminated only a show cause notice was given to the respondent employee and a reply was obtained from him but when the respondent employee has contested the charges levelled against him, he should have been served with a charge-sheet or at least a statement of allegations. As the petitioner has contested the allegation of distilling the illicit liquor and has stated that in his guard duty, he tried to stop certain persons to cut trees in the farm area, at that moment his blanket and stick were snatched, he should have been afforded an opportunity to support his contention. It would be better if the Department has also examined witnesses in support of the allegations made against the petitioner because no finding of a criminal court has been brought on record whether the petitioner was at all involved in the incident and, therefore, an opportunity of hearing should have been given to him to show that he is not guilty and that has not been done in this case. It appears that the principles of natural justice have



been violated in this case and, therefore, the learned labour court as also the learned Single Judge were right in holding that such a termination cannot sustain. We are firmly of the opinion that the judgment of the learned single Judge calls for no interference."



31. Learned Additional Advocate General, Shri S.S. Ladrecha, however, strongly refuted the issues raised on behalf of the petitioners and stated that the respondents had made due application of mind before going ahead with the process again for the disputed candidates.

32. Learned Additional Advocate General further pointed out that for every disqualification of the selected candidates, which is there on record as Annexure-6, there was a reason, and such reason was arrived at by going through the representations as well as the documents of the candidates, who had made such complaint/representation.

33. Learned Additional Advocate General also pointed out that the respondents were under a legal obligation to make compliance of the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court by taking appropriate decision on the representations of the persons, who could not make it in the selection process concerned. Learned Additional Advocate General further pointed out that no pick and choose policy has been adopted and across the board whatever representations have been received from the candidates, who could not make it in the selection process, were duly considered by the Committee.

34. Learned counsel, Mr.S.D.Goswami has also addressed this Court on behalf of the one of the representatives of the ousted candidates and has pointed out that it was not their fault, on account of which the petitioners have been ousted and their duty was only to put the grievances before the respondents, which they appropriately made, and then the process to be adopted was the look out of the respondents-authorities. It has also been argued that an application for impleadment has also been filed. The said application stands allowed, for the reasons mentioned therein and thus, the complete arguments of Mr.S.D.Goswami, learned counsel regarding the applicant putting the grievances before the respondents-authorities, have been taken note of.

35. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record of the case, this Court is of the opinion that the State had the requirement of the posts of the Gram Panchayat Sahayaks, and therefore, the appropriate amendments were made by incorporating Rule 258 Sub-rule (3) by way of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj (Second Amendment) Rules, 2016. After incorporating the said Sub-rule (3), the Gram Panchayat Sahayaks were to be selected, recommended and appointed, as per the policy laid down by the respondents in various circulars. This policy included screening of the petitioners coupled with the recommendations of the SDMC/SMC, which was finally forwarded to the District Level Committee before the final approval for the appointment was received.

36. Thus, the petitioners, strictly in accordance with the policy laid down by the respondents, have undergone the selection process and have qualified for being finally appointed and many of them have been given appointment, however, in some of the cases, the appointment is only waiting for the orders to be issued in that regard. Thus, all the candidates have completely gone through the selection process and are entitled for being appointed as Gram Panchayat Sahayaks.



37. This Court has carefully gone through the earlier orders, as aforementioned, passed by this Hon'ble Court in the matters of Bhoma Ram and Sunita Sharma (supra), and this Hon'ble Court while issuing directions to the respondents had only kept in mind that the appropriate opportunity of hearing had to be given to the persons, who were left out and nothing more. For making such opportunity of hearing fruitful and for making the process of redressal of the grievances confidence-worthy, this Hon'ble Court directed the constitution of a Committee, and thereafter, disposal of the representations by a detailed speaking order before communication of the same to the candidate concerned.

38. The respondents, without due application of mind, have passed a mechanical order on consideration of the representations, by passing the fresh circular dated 15.09.2017, whereby fresh selection process has been introduced wherever the appointment on the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayaks was disputed by the persons making such representations.

39. The respondents-authorities rather than acting as Grievance Redressal Cell, as per the earlier orders of this Hon'ble Court, have opened a new Pandora Box and have acted in a very unusual manner by ousting or depriving the selected/appointed candidates of their rights without giving them any opportunity of hearing. It can be clearly seen that the right, which was to be protected by the respondents, on the direction of the Hon'ble Court, has in fact more deeply been infringed by the respondents, as while hearing the grievances again, the respondents have trampled over the feet of the persons duly selected/appointed. It is a mockery of justice that the candidates, whose appointments have been approved by the District Level Committee, have been deprived of their valuable right to get appointment, that too, in a one sided proceeding, without giving them any opportunity of hearing, while only relying upon the complaints/representations being made by the ousted persons, who could not make it in the selection process.

40. The respondents owed a serious duty to have maintained the balance between the two sets of candidates, whereas it looks that the respondents are perpetuating the miseries of unemployed youth by putting them into the litigation again and again and forcing them to take recourse of the Hon'ble Court, and thus, the respondents are not making their own sincere efforts to redress the grievances of the aggrieved persons.

41. The doctrine of *audi alteram partem* is a cardinal principle of the rule of law, and as has been previously noticed by



this Court, the same has been imbibed deep into the roots of the rights available to the citizens of this country. The respondents have miserably failed to create redressal out of the mechanism provided by this Hon'ble Court on the earlier occasion, and have rather created the chaos amongst the unemployed youth by pitting them against each other, as both the sets of appointed/selected versus ousted candidates have made their own camping grounds and in fact contesting each other by their precious youthful energies. This Court deprecates the said practice of non-application of mind adopted by the respondents/authorities.



42. This Court takes note of the fact that all the impugned orders, including the fresh circular dated 15.09.2017 and the termination orders, which have been passed, are not only cryptic and one sided, but also amount to travesty of justice for the persons, who have already been selected/appointed. The termination order clearly reflects only one sided consideration, whereby the persons, who were not approved/selected gave representations, and their verbatim version has been reproduced in the termination order, without any application of mind or without going into the fact that the petitioners themselves have a valuable right to rebut the facts mentioned in such representation.

43. The respondents have initiated the process for rest of the Gram Panchayats, where the dispute arose out of the decisions taken by the SDMC/SMC and District Level Committee and have passed the circular dated 15.09.2017. Thus, the

irresponsible conclusion has been made by the respondents-authorities regarding the disputes in respect of approval/appointment, by holding the approvals for selection/appointment bad, even without giving the minimum required opportunity of hearing or any kind of notice to the petitioners. The petitioners, if at all, had committed any wrong, then also, they should have been given at least one proper opportunity of hearing, to rebut the facts mentioned in the representation of the ousted candidates, so as to defend their appointment/selection.



44. Thus, in light of the aforesaid observations as well as the precedent laws cited by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present writ petitions are allowed and the respondents are directed to give the petitioners proper opportunity of hearing by calling them or taking their representations regarding the issues, which are required to be considered on the complaint/representation received from the ousted candidates. The reasons for ouster are to be specifically communicated to the petitioners so that they could represent and rebut such reasons of ouster by bringing on record their own defence. The Committee has already been constituted in pursuance of the orders of this Hon'ble Court passed in the matters of Sunita Sharma and Bhoma Ram (supra), and therefore, no fresh Committee needs to be constituted. The respondents shall be required to communicate the reasons for the proposed ouster of the petitioners, and on such communication, a proper opportunity of hearing shall be

given to the petitioners to defend their appointment/selection. After such exercise is completed, the respondents shall be free to take fresh stand in respect of the appointments based on merit, the reasons for ouster and the defence submitted by the petitioners. After such opportunity of hearing is completed with proper application of mind by the concerned authorities, then the valuable right of appointment/selection shall be appropriately reconsidered by the respondents, if so required. Until such exercise is completed, the de novo process of selection pursuant to the aforementioned dated 15.09.2017 would not operate only for the Gram Panchayats, where the selection has been finally approved by the District Level Committee. It is made clear that the respondents shall meanwhile maintain the status quo in respect of the services of the petitioners. It is also made clear that all the impugned termination orders stand quashed and the respondents shall be required to pass fresh orders after the proper opportunity of hearing is given to the persons, so selected. Since the persons ousted have already been given opportunity of hearing and their representation, in light of the orders passed by this Hon'ble Court in Bhoma Ram and Sunita Sharma (supra), therefore, all those shall be kept into consideration. However, since the right has already accrued in favour of the petitioners for being selected/appointed, therefore, the proper opportunity of hearing to the petitioners shall be duly granted by the Committee concerned, before passing fresh orders, strictly in accordance with law. Since the right of opportunity of hearing should be granted



only to the vigilant citizens, therefore, this order shall operate qua the present petitioners only. It is needless to say that the petitioners shall cooperate by all means in the process of opportunity of hearing to be stipulated by the respondents in a time bound manner.

(DR. PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI)J.



RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT



सत्यमेव जयते

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15837 / 2017

Mani Lal Pandor S/o Shri Gotam Pandor, Aged About 34 Years, R/o
Village & Post Borda, Tehsil Ganoda, District Banswara (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary., Department of
Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Zila Parishad Banswara Through the Chief Executive Officer, Zila
Parishad, Banswara.

4. The District Estabishment Commitee Banswara Through Its
Chairman

5. The District Educationa Officer, (Secondary Education), District
Banswara (Raj.)

6. The Distrct Education Officer., (Elementary Education) District
Banswara (Raj.)

----Respondents

Connected With

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 7817 / 2017

Rakesh Kumar S/o Sh. Girdhari Lal, Aged About 28 Years, By
Caste Jat, Resident of Dadreva, Tehsil Rajgarh, District Churu
(Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary to the Government, Rural
Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Jaipur.

2. The Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner

3. The Director, Secondary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat- Dadreva, Tehsil
Rajgarh, District Churu.

5. Chairman, School Development & Management Committee,
Govt. Senior Secondary School, Dadreva, Tehsil Rajgarh, District
Churu.


6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, District Churu.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 10869 / 2017
Abhimanyu Singh S/o Jogendra Singh, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Village- Post Thumba, Tehsil Ahore, District Jalore, Rajasthan..

-----Petitioner

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur (Raj.).

2. Secretary, Department of Elementary Education, Jaipur (Raj.).

3. Director, Elementary Education Department, Bikaner (Raj.).

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

5. District Education Officer, Elementary Education Department, Jalore (Raj.)

6. School Development and Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School Panchota Teh Ahore Distt Jalore Rajasthan Through Its Chairman.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11943 / 2017

1. Manvendra Singh S/o Shri Narayan Singh, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village Kelwad, Tehsil Sojat City, District Pali (Raj.).

2. Rinku Malhan D/o Saddam Hussain, R/o Village Kantaliya, Tehsil Marwar Junction, District Pali (Raj.)

3. Jaipal Gujar S/o Gunesh Ram, R/o Village & Post Piplad Tehsil Sojat, District Pali (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

5. Block Elementary Education Officer, Sojat, District Pali, Rajasthan.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 11992 / 2017

Anjun Lal Choudhary S/o Jodha Ram, Aged About 39 Years,
Resident of Village of Post Bithuda, Tehsil - Ahore, District - Jalore.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner.

4. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District - Jalore.

5. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jalore.

6. Panchayati Elementary Education Officer/ Chairman Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Secondary School, Bithuda, Panchayat Samiti Ahore District Jalore (Raj.).

सत्यमेव जयते

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12009 / 2017

Himata Ram S/o Teja Ram, Aged About 24 Years, Resident of Meeno Ka Bas, Village Panchota, Tehsil Ahore, District - Jalore

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner.

4. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District-Jalore.

5. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jalore.

6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Secondary School, Panchota, Panchayat Samiti Ahore District Jalore (Raj.)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12018 / 2017

1. Jagdish Chandra S/o Nanaram, Aged About 45 Years, By Caste Garg

2. Manoj Kumar S/o Mohan Lal, Aged About 29 Years, By Caste Jat

3. Manju Kumar D/o Badrilal, Aged About 32 Years, By Caste Mundra

4. Leela Gadri D/o Devilal, Aged About 22 Years, By Caste Gadri, Resident of Sunariya Khera, Tehsil Railmagra, Distirct Rajsamand.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Rajsamand (Rajasthan)

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand (Rajasthan)

4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Kabra, Tehsil Railmagra, District Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12020 / 2017

Ramavtar Bairwa S/o Sh. Kajod Mal, By Caste Bairwa, Resident of Village Nimod (Karel), Tehsil Malarna Dunger, Panchayat Samiti Boli, District Swai Madhopur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Boli, District Swai Madhopur.

5. District Education Officer, District Swai Madhopur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12034 / 2017

1. Rajendra Prasad Vyas S/o Sh. Nathu Ram Vyas, Aged About 36 Years, By Caste Brahmin, Resident of Village & Post Buchawas, Tehsil Taranagar, District Churu.

2. Radheshyam Pareek S/o Jagdish Prasad Pareek, Aged About 26 Years, B/c Brahmin, R/o Village Gajuwas, Tehsil Taranagar, District Churu.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Taranagar, District Churu.

5. District Education Officer, District Churu.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12119 / 2017

Papiya Ram Rathore S/o Shri Bhava Ram, Aged About 42 Years,

Resident of Village & Post Bithuda, Tehsil Ahore, District Jalore
(Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretariat, Panchayati Raj
Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.).

4. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Raj.).

5. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District -
Jalore.

6. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District -
Jalore.

7. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jalore.

8. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum
Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt.
Adarsh Sr. Secondary School Bithuda, Panchayat Samiti Ahore
District Jalore (Raj.).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12120 / 2017

1. Vinod Kumar Chipa S/o Knehiya Lal Chipa, Aged About 36
Years, Resident of Village Laxmipura, Post Jawada, Tehsil Rawat
Bhata, P.S. Bhaisorgarh, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).

2. Ramavtar Vijayvargiya S/o Shri Shankar Lal Vijayvargiya, Aged
About 45 Years, R/o Village & Post Jawada, Tehsil Rawat Bhata,
P.S. Bhaisorgarh, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).

3. Rajesh Kumar S/o Shri Jagdish Chandra Tailor, Aged About 32
Years, R/o Village & Post Jawada, Tehsil Rawat Bhata, P.S.
Bhaisorgarh, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).

4. Bharat Kumar Vijayvergiya, S/o Bansi Lal Vijayvergiya, Aged
About 36 Years, Resident of Village & Post Jawada, Tehsil Rawat
Bhata, P.S. Bhaisorgarh, District Chittorgarh (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)
4. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)
5. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District-Chittorgarh.
6. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District-Chittorgarh.
7. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Chittorgarh.
8. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Secondary School, Jawada Nimbri, Panchayat Samiti Bhaisrorgarh District Chittorgarh (Raj.).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12168 / 2017

1. Vikas Bhoi Son of Shri Kishan Lal, Aged About 22 Years, Resident of Village Bortalab Post Kundala Kala, Tehsil & District Banswara.
2. Smt. Reena Wife of Shri Nainesh Kharadi, Aged About 25 Years, Resident of Fatehpura, Banswara.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Rural Development & Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Additional Commissioner & Joint Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Banswara.
4. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Banswara.
5. School Development Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, Bortalab, Panchayat Samiti Banswara, District Banswara.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12172 / 2017

1. Karim Khan S/o Jamaluddin, Aged About 23 Years, By Caste Musalman, Resident of Karaliya Bera, Tehsil Baytu, District Barmer (Raj.).

2. Umeda Ram S/o Sujararam, Aged About 25 Years, By Caste Meghwal, Resident of Nayapura, Badnava Jageer, Pachpadra, District Barmer.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Raj.).

2. Director Elementary Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. Director Secondary Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Patodi, District Barmer.

5. District Education Officer, District Barmer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12187 / 2017

Mohan Lal S/o Shri Bhagwati Lal, Aged About 37 Years, B/c Kumhar, R/o Post Damana, Tehsil Jhadol, District Udaipur (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Joint Government Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj. Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Government Secretary School Education Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, District Udaipur

4. The Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Udaipur, District Udaipur

5. The District Collector, Udaipur

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12190 / 2017
Susheel Kumar S/o Shri Chhotoo Ram, Aged About 31 Years,
Resident of Village 4BLD, Tehsil Sri Vijaynagar, District Sri
Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. Deputy Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Sri Ganganagar

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sri Vijaynagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government, Govt. Adarsh Senior Secondary School, 4BLD, Tehsil Sri Vijaynagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12217 / 2017
Narendra Kumar S/o Shri Balkishan, Aged About 41 Years,
Resident of Chak 42H, Tehsil Sri Karanpur, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar
5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar
6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sri Karanpur District Sri Ganganagar.
7. School Development Management Committee, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, 14S Majhiwala, Tehsil Sri Karanpur, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12220 / 2017
Pravesh S/o Shri Chand Singh, Aged About 35 Years, Resident of
Ward No. 1 Sri Vijaynagar, Tehsil Sri Vijaynagar, District Sri
Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Deputy Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar
5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar
6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sri Vijaynagar, District Sri Ganganagar.
7. School Development Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, 22 GB, Tehsil Sri Vijaynagar District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

- S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12240 / 2017
1. Thakara Ram Devasi S/o Shri Keva Ram Devasi, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village Kodka, Tehsil Raniwara, District Jalore (Raj.).
 2. Suresh Kumar S/o Shri Jetharam, R/o Village & Post Guda Balotan, Tehsil Ahore, District Jalore (Raj.)

3. Mohan Lal Bhatt S/o Shir Radhe Shyam Bhatt, R/o Police Quwaters Infrant Near Purohit Hostel, Rajendra Nagar, District Jalore (Raj.)

4. Yusuf Khan S/o Shri Vali Mohammed, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village & Post Godan, Tehsil Ahore, District Jalore (Raj.).

5. Chhotu Singh S/o Sangarm Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Vilalge Sedariya Kupavtaan, Post Samuja, Tehsil Ahore, District Jalore (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12248 / 2017

1. Naresh Kumar Purohit S/o Shri Devi Lal Purohit, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Kivrli, Tehsil Abu Road, District Sirohi, (Raj.).

2. Champa Lal S/o Shri Bhera Ram, R/o Village Kivrli, Tehsil Abu Road, District Sirohi, (Raj.).

3. Arjun Lal S/o Shri Mohan Lal, R/o Village Kivrli, Tehsil Abu Road, District Sirohi, (Raj.).

4. Narayan Lal S/o Shri Ratna Ram, R/o Village Kivrli, Tehsil Abu Road, District Sirohi, (Raj.).

5. Jabbar Singh S/o Tej Singh Dewara, R/o Aur, Tehsil Abu Road, District Sirohi (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Sirohi, District Sirohi Rajasthan.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Sirohi, District Sirohi, Rajasthan.
5. Block Elementary Education Officer, Abu Road, District Sirohi, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12249 / 2017

1. Mahendra Singh S/o Shri Sambhu Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village Narnawas, Tehsil Jalore, District Jalore (Raj.).
2. Roop Singh S/o Shri Ganpat Singh, R/o Village Dhawala, Tehsil Jalore (Raj.).

3. Kundan Singh S/o Shri Sanwant Singh, R/o Village Rantunja, Tehsil & District Jalore (Raj.).

4. Chhotu Singh S/o Shri Malam Singh, R/o Village Surawa, Tehsil Sanchore, District Jalore (Raj.).

5. Mohan Lal S/o Shri Bhudaram, R/o Village Mandwala, Tehsil & District Jalore (Raj.).

6. Kueya Ram S/o Shri Somta Ram, R/o Village Mandwala, Tehsil & District Jalore (Raj.).

7. Mangi Lal S/o Shri Hansa Ram, R/o Village Mandwala, Tehsil & District Jalore (Raj.).

8. Mahendra Singh S/o Shri Vel Singh, R/o Village Mandwala, Tehsil & District Jalore (Raj.).

9. Arjun Singh S/o Shri Chhog Singh, R/o Village Narsana, Tehsil Bhinmal, District Jalore (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12250 / 2017

1. Dinesh Kumar S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Behind Jain Mandir, Murdava, Tehsil Sojat, District Pali (Raj.).

2. Karan Singh S/o Shri Om Singh, R/o Rajputo Ka Bass, Udeshi Kuwa, Tehsil Sojat, District Pali (Raj.)

3. Surjeet Singh S/o Shri Renwat Singh, R/o Brahim Puri Ka Bass, Murdava, Sojat, District Pali (Raj.)

4. Rishan Singh Jaitawat S/o Shri Jogndra Singh, R/o Village & Post Khokhra, Tehsil Sojat, District Pali (Raj.)

5. Mahendra Singh S/o Shri Tulsi Ram, R/o Village & Post Khokhra, Tehsil Sojat, District Pali (Raj.)

6. Paras Ram S/o Shri Durga Ram, R/o Village & Post Khokhra, Tehsil Sojat, District Pali (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

5. Block Elementary Education Officer, Sojat, District Pali, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12260 / 2017

Nishan Singh S/o Shri Amar Singh, Aged About 40 Years, By Caste Majbi Sikh, Resident of 5-LL, Tehsil & District Sriganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sriganganagar.

6. Primary Elementary Education Officer Cum Principal Government Adarsh Secondary School, 7-LL, Sriganganagar, Tehsil & District Sriganganagar.

7. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sriganganagar.

8. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samit, Sri Gangangar.

9. Mandeep Singh S/o Jasveer Singh, Resident of 1-LM, VPO 3-ND, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sriganganagar, Presently Working As Gram Panchayat Sahayak, Gram Panchayat 5-LL, Tehsil & District Sriganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12287 / 2017

Sudesh Kumar Verma S/o Shri Duli Chand, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of VPO Lalgah Jatan, Tehsil Sadulsahar, District Sri Ganganagar (Raj.)

----Petitioner

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.
5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.
6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sadul Sahar, District Sri Ganganagar.
7. School Development Management Committee, Government Secondary School, Chak Kera, Panchayat Samiti Sadulsahar, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12305 / 2017

1. Kishan Lal Meghwal S/o Shri Mohan Lal Meghwal, Aged About 33 Years, Resident of Kelwara, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

2. Devi Lal Meghwal S/o Shri Kesa Ram, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of Sarjela Post Kakrwa, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

3. Himmat Lal Darji S/o Shri Devi Lal, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of Oladar, Post Majera, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

4. Manu Lal Meghwal S/o Shri Mangi Lal Meghwal, Aged About 29 Years, Resident of Gawar, Post Majera, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

5. Vijay Pal Salvi S/o Shri Dhula Ram Salvi, Aged About 37 Years, Resident of Ballo Ka Gura, Post Kanligr, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

6. Amba Lal Gameti S/o Shri Chhagan Lal, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of Hali Ki Bhagal, Post Kanuja, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

7. Pema Ram Bhil S/o Shri Dalla Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Sanduko Ka Guda, Post Aantri, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

8. Lalu Ram Meghwal S/o Shri Kanna Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Sanduko Ka Guda, Post Aantri, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

9. Tina Chhapparwal D/o Shri Ghisu Lal, Aged About 33 Years, Resident of Kelwara, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

10. Dinesh Paliwal S/o Shri Bhagwati Lal, Aged About 35 Years, Resident of Kakarwa, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

11. Lalit Kumar Ametha S/o Shri Bhagwati Lal, Aged About 33 Years, Resident of Kelwara, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand.

5. District Education Officer, (Elementary), Rajsamand.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12309 / 2017

Gordhan Ram S/o Sh. Oma Ram., Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Village Bagawas, Panchayat Samiti Patodi, Tehsil Pachpadra, District Barmer.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Patodi, District Barmer.

5. District Education Officer, District Barmer.

6. The School Development & Management Committee (SDMC), Bagawas, Panchayat Samiti, Patodi, Tehsil Panchpadra, District


Barmer, Through Itss President.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12310 / 2017
Kanhaiyalal Daiya S/o Shri Kundanmal Daiya, By Caste Daiya,
Resident of Village Palina, Tehsil Lohawat, Panchayat Samiti
Lohawat, District Jodhpur.

----Petitioner

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School
Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan,
Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan,
Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Lohawat, Distirct
Jodhpur.

5. District Education Officer, District Jodhpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12311 / 2017
Anil Kumar Vishnoi S/o Sh. Hanuman Parsad, By Caste Vishnoi,
Resident of Village Lakhasar, Panchayat Samiti, Pilibanga, District
Hanuman Garh (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School
Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan,
Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan,
Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Pilibanga, District
Hanumangarh.

5. District Education Officer, District Hanumangarh.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12312 / 2017
Ami Lal S/o Sh. Kana Ram, Aged About 57 Years, By Caste Jat,
Resident of Village Rampura, Gram Panchayat Kunjee, Tehsil
Bhadra, District Hanumangarh (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School
Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan,
Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan,
Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Bhadra, District
Hanumangarh.

5. District Education Officer, District Hanumangarh.

6. The School Development & Management Committee (SDMC),
Village Rampura, Gram Panchayat Kunjee, Tehsil Bhadra, District
Hanumangarh (Raj.) Through Its President.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12313 / 2017
Ishara Ram S/o Shri Bhura Ram, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Jato
Ka Baas, Panchayat Samiti Pugal, Khajuwala, District Bikaner
(Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Joint Government Secretary,
Rural Development and Panchayat Raj. Department, Government
of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Government Secretary School Education Department,
Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, District
Udaipur.

4. The Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti
Bikaner, Distirct Bikaner (Raj.).

5. The District Collector, Bikaner (Raj.).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12318 / 2017

1. Karna Ram S/o Shri Vishna Ram, Aged About 32 Years,
Resident of Village Nevra Ganv, Panchayat Samiti Osiyan, District
Jodhpur.

2. Bhura Ram Kukna S/o Shri Durga Ram Kukna, Aged About 37
Years, Resident of Nevra Gang, Panchayat Samiti Osiyan, District
Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School
Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan,
Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan,
Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Osiyan, District
Jodhpur.

5. District Education Officer, District Jodhpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12319 / 2017

1. Anita Vishnoi D/o Sh. Bala Ram Vishnoi, By Caste Vishnoi,
Resident of Village Doli, Panchayat Samiti Kalyanpur Tehsil
Pachpadra, District Barmer.

2. Manju Devi Khokhar S/o Inda Ram Khakhor, Resident of Village
Doli, Panchayat Samiti Kalyanpur Tehsil Pachpadra, District
Barmer.

3. Teja Ram Prajapat S/o Gokul Ram Prajapat, By Caste Prajapat,
Resident of Village Doli, Panchayat Samiti Kalyanpur Tehsil
Pachpadra, District Barmer.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School

Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Balotara, District Barmer.

5. District Education Officer, District Barmer.

6. School Development & Management Committee (SDMC), Doli, Panchayat Samiti Kalyanpur, District Barmer, Through Its PEEO

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12320 / 2017

1. Bhanwar Singh Kharwar S/o Shri Ghisa Singh Kharwar, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Kanuja, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

2. Pappu Lal Bawri S/o Shri Lachhi Ram Bawri, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of Dewro Ki Bhagal, Kanuja, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

3. Hansraj Meghwal S/o Shri Dalla Ram Meghwal, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Kanuja, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Rajsamand.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Kanuja, Tehsil Kumbhalgarh, District Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12328 / 2017

1. Oma Ram Meghwal S/o Shri Birma Ram Meghwal, Aged About 31 Years, R/o Village Khabariyana, Tehsil- Jayal, District Nagour (Raj.).

2. Jeevan Ram S/o Shri Ladu Ram, R/o Village- Dhani Mitha Manjra Tehsil- Jayal, District Nagour (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagour, District- Nagour, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagour, District- Nagour, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12342 / 2017

1. Jugta Ram S/o Shri Heera Ram, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village Kadumbanada, Post Jhanwar, Panchayat Samiti Luni, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

2. Ashok S/o Shri Nathmal Mehra, R/o Meghwalo Ka Bas, Jatavas, Jhanwar, Panchayat Samiti Luni, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

3. Bhagirath Singh Choudhary S/o Babu Ram, R/o 1049, Jato Ki Dhani, Jatavas, Jhanwar, Panchayat Samiti Luni, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

8. The Headmaster/Chairman, School Development Management Committee Secondary School, Kadumbanada, Gram Panchayat Badla Nagar, Panchayat Samiti Luni, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12346 / 2017

Devendar Kumar S/o Sh. Leeladhar, Aged About 31 Years, B/c Kumar R/o Sanghar Tehsil Suratgarh District Sri Ganganagar (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sriganganagar.

3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Sriganganagar, District Sriganganagar.

4. Gram Panchayat, Sanghar, Panchayat Samiti Suratgarh, Distirct Sriganganagar Through Its Sarpanch.

5. The School Development and Managing Committee (SDMC) Through Panchayat Elementary Education Officer Cum Principal Sanghar, Suratgarh, Dist. Sriganganagar.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12355 / 2017

Ramesh Kumar S/o Sh. Dona Ram, Aged About 36 Years, B/c Kamboj, R/o Chak 2 FDM, P.O. 3 FDM Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sri

Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sriganganagar.

3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Sriganganagar, District Sriganganagar.

4. Gram Panchayat, Sadakwala (Sade Ki Johri), Suratgarh Dist. Sriganganagar, Through Its Sarpanch.

5. The School Development and Management Committee (SDMC) Through Panchayat Elementary Education Officer Cum Principal Sadakwala (Sade Ki Johri), Suratgarh, Dist. Sriganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12356 / 2017

1. Sunder Devi Devasi D/o Jagmal Singh, Aged About 42 Years, Resident of Village Bhagwanpura Post Itendra Charnan, Tehsil Rani, P.S. Rani District Pali (Raj.).

2. Chhelu Kuwar D/o Shri Fathe Singh Charan, W/o Komal Singh, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Village & Post Charno Ki Pol Itendra Charnan Tehsil Rani, P.S. Rani, District Pali (Raj.).

3. Surendra Singh S/o Shri Jai Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village Punadiya, Post Mandal, Tehsil Rani, P.S. Rani District Pali (Raj.).

----Petitioners

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.).

4. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Raj.).

5. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District - Pali.

6. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District - Pali.

7. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Pali.

8. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Secondary School, Itendra Charnan Panchayat Samiti Rani District Pali (Raj.).

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12366 / 2017

1. Sudhir Singh Chouhan S/o Narendra Singh Chouhan, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village New Vijay Colony, Bhim Tehsil Bhim District Rajsamand.

2. Kailash D/o Mohan Singh, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Village New Vijay Colony, Bhim Tehsil Bhim District Rajsamand.

3. Neeta Chouhan D/o Tej Singh, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Dharmeshpuri, District Rajsamand.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Principal Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur

3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand.

5. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Rajsamand.

सत्यमेव जयते

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12376 / 2017

Sunil Raneja S/o Sh. Satya Narayan Ji Joshi, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Roopawas, Block Pali, District Pali.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Pali, District Pali.
3. The District Collector, Pali.
4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Pali.
5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Pali, District Pali.
6. Gram Panchayat Roopawas, Panchayat Samiti Pali Through Its P.E.O.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12379 / 2017

1. Shakti Singh S/o Shri Raghuvir Singh, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Village Lichana, Tehsil Nawa, District Nagaur (Raj.).
2. Suresh Choudhary S/o Shri Rupa Ram, R/o Village Maglodhi, Post Padampur, Tehsil Kuchamanctiy, District Nagaur (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12380 / 2017

- Umesh Kanwar D/o Shri Gopal Singh, Aged About 25 Years, R/o 99, Jagdamba Nagar, Takia Ki Chowki, Jhotwara, District Jaipur (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12382 / 2017
Mahendra Gehlot S/o Shri Bhim Singh Gehlot, Aged About 28
Years, R/o Panjab Wala Bera, Rampura Bhatiyani, Tinwari District
Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.
8. The Principal/PEEO/Chairman, School Development Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, Rampura Bhatiyani, Gram Panchayat Rampura Bhatiyani, Panchayat Samiti Tinwari, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12383 / 2017
Shareef Khan S/o Shri Safru Khan, Aged About 46 Years, R/o
Village Sindhi Nagar, Post Kaparda, Tehsil Bilara, District Jodhpur,
Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

8. The Principal/PEEO/Chairman, School Development Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, Kaparda, Gram Panchayat Kaparda, Panchayat Samiti Bilara, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12384 / 2017

1. Vikas Bairagi S/o Shri Madan Lal, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Village & Post Upreda, Tehsil Banera, District Bhilwara (Raj.)

2. Rajendra Singh Kanawat S/o Shri Ganpath Singh, R/o Village Uprerda, Tehsil Banera, District Bhilwara (Raj.).

3. Narayan Lal Teli S/o Shri Govind Ram Teli, R/o Village & Post Upreda, Tehsil Banera, District Bhilwara (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad District Bhilwara,

Rajasthan.

5. Block Elementary, Education Officer, Baneda, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12385 / 2017

1. Mangilal Khawa S/o Shri Sawal Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o VPO Jun Ki Dhani, Tehsil Bilara, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

2. Opa Ram S/o Shri Pokar Ram, R/o VPO Jun Ki Dhani, Tehsil Bilara, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

3. Ashok Kumar S/o Shri Dhagla Ram, R/o VPO Jun Ki Dhani, Tehsil Bilara, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

8. The Principal/Chairman, School Development Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, Rawar, Gram Panchayat Rawar, Tehsil Bilara, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12386 / 2017

1. Shankar Singh S/o Shri Kan Singh, Aged About 45 Years, B/c Rawana Rajput, R/o Village & Post Bajwas, Tehsil Parbatsar, District Nagaur (Raj.).

2. Guman Ram S/o Shri Chouthu Ram, R/o Village Bhutyas, Post

Pelwa, Tehsil Parbatsar, District Nagaur (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.

4. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

5. The President of School Development & Management Samiti (SDMC), Government Senior Secondary School Natiyas, District Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12388 / 2017

Bhanwar Lal S/o Shri Manguram, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Village & Post Pugliya Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12389 / 2017

1. Dhanna Ram S/o Khangara Ram Meghwal, Aged About 32 Years, Village & Post Rakhi, Tehsil Samdari, District Barmer.

2. Bhera Ram S/o Goma Ram Meghwal, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village and Post Nayalawas, Tehsil Siwana, District Barmer.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Badmer, District Badmer, Rajasthan.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Badmer, District Badmer, Rajasthan.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12390 / 2017

Khemo Ram S/o Shri Rana Ram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village Onhod, Post Devikot, District Jaisalmer (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.
5. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Devi Kort, Panchayat Samiti, Jaisalmer District Jaisalmer Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer (PEEO).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12391 / 2017


1. Hasti Singh S/o Shri Guman Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village & Post Pugliya, Shergarh, District Jodhpur.
2. Pratap Singh S/o Shri Rani Dan Singh, R/o Village & Post Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).
3. Setha Ram S/o Shri Mangla Ram, R/o Umaid Nagar, Distirct Jodhpur (Raj.).

4. Balveer Singh Bhati S/o Shri Bhim Singh Bhati, R/o Village Umaid Nagar, Tehsil Tinwari Dsitric Jodhpur (Raj.).

5. Jaswant Singh S/o Bhanwar Singh, R/o Village Pungliya, (Somesar) Tehsil Shergarh, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus



1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12393 / 2017

1. Ajay Pal Singh S/o Hardayal Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Chhapali Chandela Ki Goavar Tehsil & District Rajsamand.

2. Dungar Singh S/o Asu Singh, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Village Palra, Post Kaladeh Tehsil Bhim District Rajsamand.

3. Rachana Choudhary D/o Shobha Lal, Aged About 27 Years, R/o Pachhometa, Tehsil Railmangra, District Rajsamand.

4. Nutan Pareek D/o Ram Chandra Pareek, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Gilund, Tehsil Raailmagra, District- Rajsamand.

5. Rachana Choudhary D/o Shobha Lal Choudhary, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Pachhometa, Tehsil Railmangra, District Rajsamand.

6. Mamta Kunwar Chundawat D/o Bhaum Singh Chundawat, Aged About 29 Years, R/o Aara Mashin Mohalla, Panotiya, Railmangra, District Rajsamand.

7. Ashok Kumar Swarnkar S/o Kanhaiya Lal Swarnkar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Pachhometa, Tehsil Railmangra, District Rajsamand.

8. Gopal Sharma S/o Satyanaran Sharma, R/o Village & Post Lasani, Tehsil Devgarh, District Rajsamand.

9. Sumitra Kumari D/o Bhagwan Singh, R/o Jalpa, Tehsil Bhim

District Rajsamand.

10. Kanna Singh S/o Khet Singh, R/o Village Kaletra, Post Kuker Khera, Tehsil Bhim District Rajsamand.

11. Padam Singh S/o Senshu Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Dabala, Tehsil Bhi, Post Barar, District Rajsamand.

12. Pratap Singh S/o Laxman Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village Bichudara, Tehsil Bhim Post Barar District Rajsamand

13. Dharm Pal Singh S/o Shanta Saran Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Village Barar Post Barar, Tehsil Bhim, District Rajsamand.

14. Prakash Chand Regar S/o Sukhdev Regar, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Village & Post Samlia, Tehsil Bhim, District Rajsamand.

15. Nenu Singh S/o Virad Singh, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Sadrai, Post Kaladeh, Tehsil Bhim, District Rajsamand.

16. Poonam Singh S/o Kan Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Village & Post Kakria Ajitgarh, Tehsil Bhim, District Rajsamand.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Principal Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand.

5. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12399 / 2017

1. Imran S/o Mohammad Hazi, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Kaajiyuo Ka Bas, Sojat City, Distt. Pali

2. Chuna Ram S/o Goma Ram, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Dandpur, Post Dashni Tehsil Reodhar, Distt. Sirohi

3. Dalpat Singh S/o Jagat Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Dakani, Tehsil Reodhar Distt. Sirohi

4. Anita Kumari D/o Indrajeet Singh, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Gram Panchayat Rampura Pindwara, Distt. Sirohi

5. Veena Ram Chouhan S/o Mana Ram Chouhan, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Sirodi, District Sirohi

6. Khangar Mal S/o Heera Ram, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Sirohi, Tehsil Reodhar District Sirohi

7. Bhupendra S/o Om Prakash, Aged About 27 Years, V.P.O. Daulat Pura, Distt. Sriganganagar

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Sirohi, District Pali, Rajasthan.

5. Block Elementary Education Officer, Sojat, District Pali, Rajasthan.

6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Sirohi, District Pali, Rajasthan.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12405 / 2017

1. Hanuman Ram S/o Shri Narayan Ram, Aged About 42 Years, R/o Village & Post Bajwas, Tehsil Parbatsar, District Nagaur (Raj.).

2. Shyam Sunder S/o Shri Bansi Lal, R/o Village Modikhurd, Tehsil Parbatsar, District Nagaur (Raj.)

3. Kiran Ladna D/o Kundan Mal, R/o Village Ride, Tehsil Parbatsar, District Nagaur (Raj.)


-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
4. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.
5. The President of School Development & Management Samiti (SDMC), Government Senior Secondary School Ride, District Nagaur.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12411 / 2017

1. Smt. Anshu Tiwari D/o Sh. Trilok Ji and W/o Sh. Pramod Tiwari, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village Nimbahera Jatan, Tehsil Kareda, District Bhilwara.
2. Rajendra Kumar Sargara S/o Sh. Bhanwar Lal Ji, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Kareda, District Bhilwara.

3. Smt. Rekha Tailor D/o Sh. Moolchand Tailor and W/o Sh. Mahaveer Tailor, Aged About 38 Years, R/o Kareda, District Bhilwara.

4. Anil Kumar Acharya S/o Sh. Jagdish Acharya, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Kareda, District Bhilwara.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bhilwara.
3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bhilwara.
4. The Secretary, Gram Panchayat Rajaji Ka Kareda, District Bhilwara.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12426 / 2017

1. Laxmi D/o Mangu Singh, Aged About 31 Years
2. Sharwan Singh Chouhan S/o Bhoor Singh, Aged About 47 Years, Both Are R/o Lasaniya, Tehsil Bhim, District Rajsamand.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan, Through Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Director, Elementary Education, Rajasthan, Bikaner
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand.
4. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Rajsamand.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12431 / 2017

1. Birbal Bhadu D/o Sh. Bhana Ram, Aged About 30 Years, By Caste Bishnoi, Resident of Village Khejrli Kalla, Panchayat Samiti Luni, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.

2. Ms. Manisha D/o Sh. Sag Ram, Aged About 30 Years, Resident of Village Khejrli Kalla, Panchayat Samiti Luni, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.
4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Luni, District Jodhpur.
5. District Education Officer, District Jodhpur.
6. School Development & Management Committee (SDMC), Resident of Village Khejrli Kalla, Panchayat Samiti Luni, Tehsil Luni, District Jodhpur, Through is PEEO.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12460 / 2017

1. Rajendra Kumar Paliwal Son of Shri Pabudan Palidan, Aged About 41 Years, Resident of Village Post Nachna, Tehsil Pokaran, District Jaisalmer.

2. Mangu Singh Tanwar Son of Shri Bhim Singh Tanwar, Aged

About 42 Years, Resident of Village Post, Nachna, Tehsil Pokaran,
District Jaisalmer.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary to the Government
and Commissioner, Rural Development and Panchayat Raj
Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Secretary to the Government, Department of School Education,
Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Additional Commissioner and Joint Secretary to the
Government, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department
(Panchayati Raj), Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

4. District Collector, Jaisalmer.

5. Director, Secondary Education Rajasthan, Bikaner.

6. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jaisalmer.

7. Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Jaisalmer, District
Jaisalmer.

8. Officiating Panchayat Elementary Education Officer - Cum -
Chairman (Principal, Government Senior Secondary School),
School Development and Management Committee, Nachna, Gram
Panchayat Nachna, Panchayat Samiti Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer.

9. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Nachna, Panchayat Samiti
Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12494 / 2017

Indu Lal Gehlot S/o Teja Ram Gehlot, Aged About 39 Years,
Resident of Village & Post Bapu Nagar, Paladi Jod, Sumerpur Tehsil
Sumerpur, District Pali (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj
Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)
4. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)
5. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District- Pali.
6. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District- Pali.
7. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Pali.

8. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Secondary School, Paladi Jod, Panchayat Samiti Sumerpur District Pali (Raj.)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12502 / 2017

Usha W/o Sh. Dharmendra Singh Chundawat, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village Khajura Panchayat Samiti Kushalgarh, District Banswara.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Banswara, District Banswara.
3. The District Collector, Banswara
4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Banswara.
5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Kushalgarh, District Banswara.
6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer Cum Principal, Govt. Secondary School Khajura-Kotra, Raanga, Panchayat Samiti Kushalgarh District Banswara.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12506 / 2017

Jagdish Prasad S/o Shri Jeevanram, Aged About 35 Years, By Caste- Jat, Resident of Sudrasan, Tehsil- Deedwana, District- Nagaur (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
3. Director (Primary Education), Rajasthan, Education Directorate, Bikaner

4. Block Education Officer, Maulasar, Tehsil- Deedwana, District- Nagaur

5. Panchayat Education Officer (P.E.O.), Gram Panchayat Sudrasan, Tehsil- Deedwana, District- Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12519 / 2017

Ummed Singh Chouhan S/o Raghunath Singh, Aged About 39 Years, Resident of Village & Post Khimada, Tehsil Sumerpur, District Pali (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)
4. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)
5. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District- Pali.
6. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District- Pali.
7. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Pali.
8. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Sr. Secondary School, Khimada, Panchayat Samiti Sumerpur District Pali (Raj.)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12523 / 2017

1. Laxman Singh S/o Shri Manohar Singh, Aged About 36 Years,

Resident of Village & Post Gauredi Chan Chan, Tehsil Degana,
District Nagour (Raj.)

2. Bhagwan Singh S/o Man Singh, Aged About 35 Years, Resident
of Village Mandal Deva, Post Mandal Jodha, Tehsil Degana, District
Nagour (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj
Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)

4. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Raj.)

5. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District-
Nagour.

6. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District-
Nagour.

7. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagour.

8. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum
Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Sr.
Secondary School, Gonrada, Panchayat Samiti Degana, District
Nagour (Raj.)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12570 / 2017

1. Nidhi W/o Daya Shanker D/o Chanderbhan, Aged About 22
Years

2. Bega Ram S/o Shri Krishan Lal, Aged About 32 Years, Both Are
Resident of 36H Naggi, Tehsil Karanpura, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development

and Panchayati Raj, Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sriganganagar

4. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Karanpur District Sri Ganganagar.

6. Sarpanch Gram Panchayat 36 H Naggi, Panchayat Samiti Karanpur, District Sri Ganganagar

7. School Development Management Committee, Government Sr. Secondary School, 36H Naggi, Tehsil Karanpur, District Sri Ganganagar.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12577 / 2017

Harendra Singh S/o Shri Madan Lal Kaswan, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Paliyas, Tehsil Degana, District Nagaur (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

5. The School Development and Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, Mewda, Tehsil Degana, District Nagaur Through the Principal.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12582 / 2017

Rewant Ram Son of Shri Ram Chandra, Aged About 35 Years, Resident of Village Baapeu, Tehsil Dungargarh, District Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan - Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan)
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Rajasthan)
3. District Collector, Bikaner (Rajasthan)
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner (Rajasthan)
5. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Secondary School, Gram Panchayat Baapeu, Panchayat Samiti Dungargarh, District Bikaner.
6. Gram Panchayat Baapeu, Panchayat Samiti Dungargarh, District Bikaner.



-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12587 / 2017

Rajveer Kour D/o Shri Albel Singh, Aged About 36 Years, Resident of Village 27 H Jagtewala, Tehsil Karanpur, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Deputy Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sriganganagar
5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar
6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Karanpur District Sri Ganganagar.
7. School Management Committee, Government Upper Primary School, 25 H (Dalpatsinghpur), Tehsil Karanpur, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12590 / 2017

Priyanka D/o Omprakash W/o Kamlesh Kumar, Aged About 24

Years, R/o Fathegarh, Distirct Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jaisalmer, Jaisalmer, District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

8. The Headmaster/PEEO/Chairman, School Development Management Committee, Government Secondary School Fatehgarh, Gram Panchayat Fatehgarh, Block Sam, District Jaisalmer, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12598 / 2017

Deepika W/o Krishna Gopal, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Vijayvargiya, Resident of Railmagra, Tehsil Railmagra, District Rajsamand (Raj.).

----Petitioner

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. State of Rajathan, Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Raj.)

2. Director, Elementary Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Bikaner.

4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Railmagra, District Rajsamand.

5. District Education Officer, District Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12611 / 2017
Karmjit Kaur D/o Shri Jora Singh, W/o Gurdeep Singh, Aged About
30 Years, R/o Village 13-FF, Manaksar, Tehsil Karanpur, District Sri
Ganganagar (Raj.).

-----Petitioner

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of
Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Rajasthan.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.

3. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Sri Karanpur, District
Sri Ganganagar.

4. The Principal, Govt. Sr. Secondary School, 14-FF, Tehsil Sri
Karanpur, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12652 / 2017

1. Reshma Praveen D/o Shabbir Hussain Mansuri, Aged About 27
Years, R/o Bardiliyas, Tehsil Kotri, District Bhilwara.

2. Anita Kabra D/o Balmukund Kabra, Aged About 24 Years,
Resident of Bardiliyas, Tehsil Kotri, District Bhilwara (Raj.).

3. Om Prakash S/o Chandna Ram, Aged About 37 Years, Resident
of Village & Post Khuiala, District Jaisalmer (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj
Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat,
Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner (Raj.).

4. Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner (Raj.).

5. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District
Bhilwara (Raj.).

6. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District Jaisalmer (Raj.).
7. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District Bhilwara (Raj.).
8. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District - Jaisalmer.
9. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bhilwara (Raj.).

10. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jaisalmer (Raj.).

11. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Secondary School Barliyas, Dist. Bhilwara, Panchayat Samiti Barliyas District Bhilwara (Raj.).

12. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Secondary School, Siyambar, Dist. Jaisalmer, Panchayat Samiti, Sam District Jaisalmer (Raj.).

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12684 / 2017

Anita Kumari D/o Shri Kirta Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Chak-20, B.D. Post Office, 61 Head, Tehsil Khajuwala, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Bikaner, District- Bikaner, Rajasthan.
6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bikaner, District- Bikaner, Rajasthan.
7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bikaner, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

8. The Headmaster/PEEO/Chairman, Schoo Development Management Committee, Government Secondary School, 17KYD Khajuwala, Gram Panchayat 17KYD, Block Khajuwala, District Bikaner, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12692 / 2017

1. Rajoo Singh S/o Shri Arjoon Singh, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Maansagar, Panchayat Samiti, Bawari, Jodhpur.

2. Chand Kanwar D/o Govind Singh, R/o Maansagar, Panchayat Samiti, Bawari, Jodhpur.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

5. The P.E.O. School Development and Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, Dawara, Gram Panchayat, Mansagar, Panchayat Samiti Bawari, Jodhpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12695 / 2017

1. Govind Parshad S/o Shri Bhagwati Parshad, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village/post Bhadrajun, Teh. Ahore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

2. Partivi Singh S/o Shri Shetan Singh, Aged About 24 Years, R/o Village/Post Bhadrajun Teh. Ahore Dist. Jalore.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jalore.
3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Jalore.
4. The Surpunch, Gram Panchayat Bhadrajun, Teh. Ahore, Dist. Jalore.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12747 / 2017

1. Savita D/o Shri Mohan Lal, Aged About 24 Years, R/o VPO Manniwali, Tehsil Sadulsahar, District Sri Ganganagar (Raj.)

2. Suman Yadav D/o Shri Krishan Kumar, Aged About 25 Years, R/o VPO Manniwali, Tehsil Sadulsahar, District Sri Ganganagar (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sriganganagar

3. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), Sriganganagar, Dist. Sriganganagar.

4. Gram Panchayat, Manniwali, Panchayat Samiti Sadulshahar, District Sriganganagar Through Its Sarpanch.

5. The School Development and Managing Committee (SDMC) Through Panchayat Elementary Education Officer Cum Principal Manniwali, Sadulshahar, District Sri Ganganagar

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12750 / 2017

Pradeep Kumar S/o Shri Govind Ram, Aged About 38 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Moti Pura, Gram Panchayat- Nagwara, Tehsil- Kuchaman City, District Nagaur (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Sashan Secreatry and Commissioner, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan (Panchayati Raj) Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
3. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. The District Collector, Nagaur, District Nagaur
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
6. The Gram Panchayat, Nagwara, Tehsil- Kuchaman, District- Nagaur, Rajasthan.

7. Principal & President School Development and Management Committee (SDMC) & Panchayat Function Officer, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Nagwara (Kuchaman), District- Nagaur, Raj.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12806 / 2017

Kiran Singh S/o Shri Daya Ram, Aged About 23 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Lalpura, Gram Panchayat 25 R.W.D., Tehsil Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District- Hanumangarh.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh.
5. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer / Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Secondary School, Lalpura, Panchayat Samiti Rawatsar, District Hanumangarh (Raj.)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12876 / 2017

1. Mohammed Sumin S/o Shri Sardar Ali, Aged About 40 Years, Resident of Chak 3 LPM (B), Mokhamwala, Tehsil Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

2. Shishpal Bhadu S/o Shri Raja Ram Bhadu, Resident of Chak 33 PS, Tehsil Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

3. Mohan Lal S/o Shri Poona Ram, Resident of Village 73 NP, Post 75 NP, Tehsil Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

4. Manwar Ali S/o Shri Ranjeet Khan, Resident of Village 22 PTD (B), Post Sameja, Tehsil Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

5. Madan Lal S/o Shri Nanu Ram, Resident of Village Bajuwala, Tehsil Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

6. Radhey Shyam S/o Shri Bhanwar Lal, Resident of 2 NZP, Tehsil Vijaynagar District Sri Ganganagar.

7. Magej Singh S/o Shri Ratan Singh, Resident of Chak 13 APD, Post Kamraniya Via Anupgarh Tehsil Sri Vijaynagar District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

7. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sri Vijaynagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

8. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Secondary School, 68 NP, Panchayat Samiti Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

9. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Govt. Senior Secondary School, 6/8 LPM, Panchayat Samiti Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

10. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Girls Senior Secondary School, Satjanda, Panchayat Samiti Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

11. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Senior Secondary School, 22 PTD, Panchayat Samiti Raisinghnagar District Sriganganagar.

12. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Senior Secondary School, 15 BLD (A) (Ratnewala), Panchayat Samiti Sri Vijaynagar District Sri Ganganagar.

13. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Khatan Panchayat Samiti Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

14. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Senior Secondary School, 4 BLD, Panchayat Samiti Sri Vijaynagar District Sri Ganganagar.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12887 / 2017
Baldev Singh S/o Shri Jarnail Singh, Aged About 35 Years,
Resident of Chak 2 PGM, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri
Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Anoopgarh District Sri Ganganagar.

7. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Secondary School, 72 GB, Panchayat Samiti Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12888 / 2017

Jog Singh S/o Shri Vijay Singh, Aged About 26 Years, Resident of Village Jetpura, Post- Kamba, Tehsil Ahore, District Jalore (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner.

4. District Education Officer (Secondary Education), District- Jalore.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary Education), District- Jalore.

6. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jalore.

7. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer/ Chairmen Cum Principal, School Development Management Committee, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Secondary School, Ajitpura, Panchayat Samiti Ahore District Jalore (Raj.)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12901 / 2017
Jaswant Singh S/O Hameer Singh, Aged About 35 Years, R/O Village Post Hemawas, Tehsil- District Pali, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Jodhpur, District Pali, Rajasthan.

6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur,

District Pali, Rajasthan.

7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District Pali, Rajasthan.

8. The Headmaster/PEEO/Chairman, School Development Management Committee, Government Secondary School Hemawas, Gram Panchayat Hemawas, Block Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12903 / 2017

Suresh Kumar Son of Shri Ram Pratap, Aged About 33 Years, By Caste Prajapat, Resident of 4 SPS Salempura, Panchayat Samiti-Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through-the Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar Through-its Chief Executive Officer, Sri Ganganagar.

3. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Anoopgarh, District-sri Ganganagar

4. Gram Panchayat, 4 SPS (Salempura), Panchayat Samiti, Anoopgarh, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar. Through Its Secretary.

5. School Development and Management Committee, Government Secondary School, Salempura (4 SPS), Tehsil- Anoopgarh, Tehsil-Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12905 / 2017

Sunil Kumar Son of Shri Banwarilal, Aged About 32 Years, Resident of 4 SPS (Salempura, Panchayat Samiti Anoopgarh, Tehsil - Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through - The Secretary, Department of

Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar, Through-its Chief Executive
Officer, Sri Ganganagar.

3. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Anoopgarh,
District- Sri Ganganagar.

4. Gram Panchayat, 4 SPS (Salempura)., Panchayat Samiti,
Anoopgarh, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar. Through Its
Secretary

5. School Development and Management Committee, Government
Secondary School, Salempura (4 SPS), Tehsil - Anoopgarh, Tehsil -
Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar Through Its Principal Cum
Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12908 / 2017

Kulvindra Kour Daughter of Kuldeep Singh, Aged About 31 Years,
By Caste Jat Sikh, Resident of Chak 2 PGM (B), Tehsil- Anoopgarh,
District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through- Secretary, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar, Through-its Chief Executive
Officer, Sri Ganganagar.

3. Gram Panchayat, 2 PGM (B) Panchayat Samitee, Anopgarh,
Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sriganganagar, Through Its Secretary.

4. School Development and Management Committee, Government
Higher Secondary School, 2 PGM, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri
Ganganagar, Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary
Education Officer.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12910 / 2017

Suman Dhanaka Daughter of Sh. Om Prakash, Aged About 26
Years, Resident of Ward No. 11, Village Ghotra Khasla, Kunji,
Disttrict Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Hanumangarh (Rajasthan).
4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Kunji, Tehsil Bhadra, District Hanumangarh.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12922 / 2017

Ajay Saraswat S/o Megha Ram Saraswat, Aged About 33 Years,
Resident of - Village Khivsar, Tehsil Khivsar, District Nagaur.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Principal Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
3. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner.
4. The District Education Officer (Elementary Education), Nagaur.
5. Zila Parishad, Nagaur, Through Its Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
6. The School Development and Management Committee Through the Chairman, Govt. Adarsh Sr. Sec. School, Khinwsar, District Nagaur.
7. The Block Development Officer, Khinwsar, District Nagaur.
8. The Gram Sewak, Gram Panchayat Khinwsar, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12929 / 2017

Bhopal Singh Rajput S/o Shri Bhagwan Singh, Aged About 30

Years, R/o Village Dadai, Post Dadai, Tehsil Desuri, District Pali (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Education Officer, Elementary Desuri, District Pali.

6. The President School Development and Management Committee, Shri Kheta Dhanaji Government Adarsh Higher Secondary School, Dadai Tehsil Desuri, District Pali.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12935 / 2017

1. Pathan Khan S/o Shri Fazru Khan, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Khiyanion Ki Dhani, Sindhipura, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur. (Raj.)

2. Moinudeen S/o Rafiq Khan, R/o Malar, Tehsil Pipar City, Distirct Jodhpur (Raj.).

3. Manju D/o Badri Ram, R/o Dueliyon Ki Dhani Sathin Road, Sindhipura, Tehsil Pipar City, District Jodhpur (Raj.).

----Petitioners

सत्यमेव जयते
Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District

Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Education Officer, Elementary Pipar City, District Jodhpur.

6. The President School Development and Management Committee, Government Higher Secondary School, Jalupura, Sindhapura, Tehsil Piparcity, District Jodhpur.

-----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12937 / 2017

1. Pooja D/o Jaisaram, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village & Post Jusariya, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur (Raj.).

2. Harendra Bhakar S/o Hanuman Ram Bhakar, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village Mandakiya, Post Jusariya, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur (Raj.).

3. Manisha Choudhary D/o Tulsi Ram Gawadiya, Aged About 23 Years, R/o Village & Post Jusariya, Tehsil Makrana, District Nagaur (Raj.).

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Department, Government Secretariat, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

4. The Primary Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Jusariya, Panchayat Samiti Makrana, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12950 / 2017

Dharmpal Singh Rawat S/o Shri Gopal Singh, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Pipali Ka Badiya, Post Bhojpura, Tehsil Badnor, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

-----Petitioner

Versus


1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.

7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan

8. The Principal/PEEO/Chairman, School Development Management Committee, Government Primary School, Bhadri, Panchayat Samiti Aasind, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12968 / 2017
Asu Ram S/o Sh. Mota Ram, Aged About 39 Years, B/c Jat, R/o
VPO Kolasar, Gram Panchayat Malsisar, Panchayat Smiti
Sujangarh, District Churu.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Churu, District Churu.
3. The District Collector, Churu.
4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Churu.
5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sujangarh, District Churu.
6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer Cum Principal Govt. Sr. Secondary School Malsisar, Gram Panchayat Malsisar, Panchayat Samiti Sujangarh, District Churu.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12969 / 2017
1. Narayan Lal S/o Sh. Gagji Bamaniya, Aged About 39 Years, R/o
Mukam Post Obari Phala Jabunal, Tehsil Sagwara, District

Dungarpur.

2. Smt. Leela Darji W/o Jitendra Kumar Darji, D/o Nathu Lal Darji, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Mukam Post Obari Phala Jabunal, Tehsil Sagwara, District Dungarpur.

----Petitioners

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Dungarpur District Dungarpur.

3. The District Collector, Dungarpur.

4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Dungarpur.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sagwara, District Dungarpur.

6. Panchayat Elementary Education Officer Cum Principal Adarsh Sr. Secondary School Obari, Tehsil Sagwara, District Dungarpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12970 / 2017

Rewant Ram S/o Megha Ram, Aged About 33 Years, Resident of Budhro Ki Dhani (Nokha Gaon), Gram Panchat Nokha Gaon, Panchayat Samiti Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner.

4. District Education Officer (Elementary), Bikaner.

5. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Nokha District Bikaner.

6. Sarpanch Gram Panchayat Nokha Gaon, Panchayat Samiti Nokha, District Bikaner.

7. School Development Management Committee, Government Modal Secondary School, Salundiya, Tehsil Nokha, District Bikaner.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12991 / 2017

1. Vaga Ram S/o Shri Veera Ram, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Sujara, Post Bochavadi Teh. Sachore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

2. Krishan Lal S/o Shri Prema Ram, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Millage /post Bahadwal Tehs. Sachor Dist. Jalore.

3. Ajotiben D/o Shri Karshan Bhai Choudhary, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Village Sujara, Post Bichavadi Teh. Sachor, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Jalore.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Jalore.

4. The Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Bahdwal Tehs. Sachor, Dist. Jalore.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12998 / 2017

1. Virendar Singh Panwar S/o Mahendar Singh Panwar, Aged About 30 Years, At Present Selected At Govt Senior Secondary School, Sihasan District Sikar.

2. Balveer Singh S/o Randhir Singh, At Present Selected At Govt Senior Secondary School, Sihasan District Sikar.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Principal Education Secretary, Government Secretariat,

Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. The Director (Primary) Education, Bikaner.
4. The Director (Secondary), Education, Bikaner.
5. The District Collector, Sikar.
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sikar.

7. The District Education Officer (Primary), Sikar.

8. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Sihasan, Panchayat Samiti Piprali, District Sikar.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13017 / 2017

1. Rama Ram S/o Sh. Jasa Ram Jakhar, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Loharwa, Tehsil Dhorimana, District Barmer.

2. Pera Ram S/o Sh. Kheta Ram, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Loharwa, Tehsil Dhorimana, District Barmer.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Barmer, District Barmer.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Barmer.

4. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Barmer.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Dhorimana, Barmer.

6. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Loharwa, Panchayat Samiti Dhorimana, Barmer, Through Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13057 / 2017

Devendra Kumar Pareek S/o Sh. Kachru Lal Pareek, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Pareek Brahmin, R/o Padu Kala, Tehsil Merta City, District Nagaur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, District Nagaur.

3. The District Collector, Nagaur.

4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Degana, District Nagaur.

6. Gram Panchayat Bikharniya Kala, Panchayat Samiti Degna Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Nagour.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13068 / 2017

1. Subhash Chandra Saini S/o Sh. Nand Lal Saini, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Athuna Mohalla, Ward No. 4, Churu.

2. Kishore Singh S/o Sh. Malu Singh, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Duleri, Post Nethwa, Tehsil Taranagar, District Churu.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Churu, District Churu.

3. The District Collector, Churu.

4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Churu.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Churu, District Churu.

6. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Taranagar, District Churu.

7. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Satra, Panchayat Samiti Churu Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer. Churu.

8. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Raiyatunda, Panchayat Samiti Taranagar Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer. Churu.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13069 / 2017

1. Omprakash S/o Sh. Ramdev, Aged About 35 Years, By Caste Meghwal R/o Bikharniya Kala, Post Bikharniya Kala, Tehsil Degana, District Nagaur.

2. Sarwan Ram Choudhary S/o Sh. Gopal Ram, Aged About 28 Years, By Caste Jat, R/o Bikharniya Khurd, Post Bikharniya Kala, Tehsil Degana, District Nagaur.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Nagaur, District Nagaur.

3. The District Collector, Nagaur.

4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Nagaur.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Degana, District Nagaur.

6. Gram Panchayat Bikharniya Kala, Panchayat Samiti Degana Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer. Nagaur

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13071 / 2017

1. Birbal Ram Dhaka S/o Sh. Bhinwa Ram Dhaka, Aged About 45 Years, By Caste Jat, R/o VPO Rooplisar, Panchayat Samiti Sardarshahar, District Churu.

2. Kashi Ram Mahala S/o Sh. Rugha Ram Mahala, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Admalsar, Tehsil Sardarshahar, District Churu.

3. Brahamprakash Swami S/o Sh. Ganesh Das Swami, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village Bardasar, Panchayat Samiti Sardarshahar, District Churu.

4. Ranjit Singh S/o Sh. Ganpat Singh, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Pabusar, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

5. Dulichand S/o Sh. Budha Ram, Aged About 46 Years, R/o Ward No. 31, Ratangarh, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

6. Madhubala W/o Sh. Mukesh Kumar, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ratangarh, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

7. Phula Kumari D/o Sh. Magha Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Shehala, Ratangarh, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

8. Sushil Kumar Pachalangiya S/o Sh. Surendra Sharma, Aged About 47 Years, R/o Holidhora, Ratangarh, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

9. Sushil Kumar Gadgil S/o Sh. Mohan Lal Gadgil, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Raigar Basti, Ward No. 8, Post Ratangarh, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

10. Sandeep Kumar S/o Sh. Ratan Lal, Aged About 32 Years, R/o Ward No. 18, Near Ramdev Mandir, Ratangarh, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

11. Chetan Prakash S/o Sh. Girdhari Lal Soni, Aged About 32 Years, R/o V/P Bachhrara, Ratangarh, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

12. Ram Lal Meghwal S/o Sh. Jhumar Mal, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Devani, Tehsil Sujangarh, District Churu.

13. Shanker Lal Meghwal S/o Sh. Anna Ram Ji, Aged About 42 Years, R/o VPO Phogan Baas, Bharathri, Tehsil Sardarshahar, District Churu.

14. Mangalchand S/o Sh. Sultana Ram Ji, Aged About 36 Years, R/o Village Post Sheetsar, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

15. Mukhtiyar Beg S/o Sh. Mumtaj Beg, Aged About 28 Years, R/o Ward No. 19, Near Madina Masjid, Post Sadulpur, Tehsil Sadulpur, Churu.

सत्यमेव जयते

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development & Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Churu, District Churu.

3. The District Collector, Churu.

4. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Churu.

5. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh, District Churu.

6. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sardarshahar, District Churu.

7. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sadulpur, District Churu.

8. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sujanagarh, District Churu.

9. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Dulrasar, Panchayat Samiti Sardarshahar Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

10. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Kusumdesar, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

11. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Gogasar, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

12. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Bachhrara, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

13. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Loonch, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

14. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Ratansara, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

15. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Barpalsar, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

16. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Gorisar, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

17. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Nakrasar, Panchayat Samiti Churu Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

18. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Melusar, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu



19. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Khandwa Patta, Panchayat Samiti Churu Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

20. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Meharsar Chachera, Panchayat Samiti Sardarshahar Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

21. The School Development and Management Committee, Gram Panchayat Dhandhal Lekhu, Panchayat Samiti Sadulpur Through Its Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Churu

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13095 / 2017

1. Vandana Sharma D/o Shri Narayan Lal Sharma, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Gram Post Gilund, Tehsil Railamagra, Distt. Rajsamand (Raj.)

2. Nisha Paliwal D/o Shri Sagar Mal Paliwal, Aged About 39 Years, R/o Gram Post Gilund, Tehsil Railamagra, Distt. Rajsamand (Raj.)

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Joint Government Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj. Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Government Secretary School Education Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. The Director, Elementary Education, Government of Raj., Jaipur.

4. The Deputy Director, Elementary Education, Zone Udaipur

5. The Primary Education Officer, District Rajsamand (Raj.)

6. The Chief Executive Officer, District Rajsamand (Raj.)

7. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, District Rajsamand (Raj.)

8. The Block Education Officer (Elementary) / Nodal Officer, Panchayat Samiti Railmagra, District Rajsamand (Raj.)

9. The Principal of the Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School (President of SDMC/SMC), Gram Panchayat Jawasiya, Panchayat Samiti Railmagra, District Rajsamand (Raj.)

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13127 / 2017
Smt. Neelu Garg W/o Shri Prashant Gupta, Aged About 39 Years,
R/o Bhagat Singh Gate, Near Ramdev Temple, Thermal Colony,
Suratgarh, Sriganganagar (Raj)

----Petitioner

Versus



1. State of Rajasthan Through the Joint Government Secretary,
Rural Development and Panchayati Raj. Department, Government
of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Government Secretary School Education Department,
Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. The Director, Elementary Education, Government of Raj., Jaipur.

4. The Deputy Director, Elementary Education, Zone Udaipur

5. The Primary Elementary Education Officer, Distt. Sriganganagar

6. The Chief Executive Officer, District Sriganganagar (Raj.)

7. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, District
Sriganganagar (Raj.)

8. The Block Education Officer (Elementary) / Nodal Officer,
Panchayat Samiti Thermal, District Sriganganagar (Raj.)

9. The Principal of the Government Adarsh Senior Secondary
School (President of SDMC/SMC), Gram Panchayat Rambhawali,
Panchayat Samiti Suratgarh, District Sriganganagar (Raj.)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13169 / 2017
Suman Nirvan D/o Vijay Singh, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village
Post Deh Tehsil Jayal District Nagaur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Panchayat Raj
Department, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Principal Education Secretary, Government Secretariat,
Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. The Director (Primary) Education, Bikaner.

4. The Director (Secondary), Education, Bikaner.
5. The District Collector, Nagaur.
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
7. The District Education Officer (Primary), Nagaur.
8. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Kanediya, Panchayat Samiti Jayal, District Nagaur.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13207 / 2017

Hemlata Paliwal Daughter of Sh. Gopal Lal Paliwal, Aged About 37 Years, Resident of Village Bagol, Tehsil- Nathdwara, Panchayat Samiti Khamnor, District Rajsamand (Rajasthan).

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Secretariat, Jaipur (Rajasthan).
2. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Rajsamand (Rajasthan).
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Rajsamand (Rajasthan).
4. Panchayat Prarmbhik Shiksha Adhikari, Cum Principal, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Bagol, Tehsil Nathdwara, District Rajsamand.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13232 / 2017

1. Durga Ram S/o Shri Moda Ram, Aged About 40 Years, Resident of Ward No. 13 Raisinghnagar, Tehsil Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar.
2. Makkhan Singh S/o Shri Kishori Lal, Resident of Chak 3 P, Patroda, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.
3. Emi Chand S/o Shri Ram Lal, Resident of Chak 3NM Dhaban, Post Nahranwali, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

7. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sri Ganganagar, District Sri Ganganagar

8. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Raisinghnagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

9. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Senior Secondary School, 20 LM (Luniya), Gram Panchayat 20 LM, Panchayat Samiti Anoopgarh District Sri Ganganagar.

10. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Senior Secondary School, 19 Z, Gram Panchayat 18 Z, Panchayat Samiti Sri Ganganagar, District Sri Ganganagar.

11. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Ganguwala, Gram Panchayat Ganguwala, Panchayat Samiti Raisinghnagar District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13260 / 2017

Sonu Choudhary D/o Sukha Ram, Aged About 22 Years, R/o Village and Post Bhadsiya, Tehsil Parbatsar, District Nagaur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Principal Education Secretary, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

3. The Director (Primary) Education, Bikaner.
4. The Director (Secondary), Education, Bikaner.
5. The District Collector, Nagaur.
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.
7. The District Education Officer (Primary), Nagaur.

8. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Netiyas, Panchayat Samiti Parbatsar, District Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13263 / 2017

Keshar Singh S/o Shri Babu Singh, Aged About 41 Years, R/o Village Kuaorada, Post Nimbla, Tehsil Aahore, District Jalore (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Pali, District Pali, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

5. The Block Education Officer, Elementary Aahore, District Jalore.

6. The President School Development and Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School Norva, Gram Panchayat Norva, Panchayat Samiti Aahore, District Jalore (Raj.)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13269 / 2017

1. Purkha Ram S/o Shri Prabhuram, Aged About 38 Years, By Caste- Jat, Resident of Village- Shivpura, Tehsil- Khimsar, District- Nagaur.

2. Virendra Singh Choudhary S/o Shri Kishnaram, Aged About 40 Years, By Caste- Choudhary, Resident of Village- Achina, Block- Khimsar, District- Nagaur (Rajasthan)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Govt. of Rajasthan, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Nagaur.

3. Director (Primary Education), Rajasthan, Education Directorate, Bikaner.

4. Panchayat Education Officer, Achina, Block- Khimsar, District- Nagaur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13277 / 2017

Babu Lal Prajapat S/o Shri Hapu Ram Prajapat, Aged About 43 Years, R/o Kumharo Ka Bas, Uchiyada, Tehsil Bilara, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.

5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jodhpur, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

8. The Principal/PEEO/Chairman, School Development Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, Pichiyak, Gram Panchayat Pichiyak, Panchayat Samiti Bilara, District Jodhpur, Rajasthan.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13352 / 2017
Sandeep Kaur Daughter of Shri Mahender Singh, Wife of Harmeet Singh, Aged About 38 Years, By Caste Jat Sikh, Resident of 65 GB, Tehsil- Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan, Through- The Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar Through- Its Chief Executive Officer, Sri Ganganagar.
3. District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Sriganganagar.
4. Gram Panchayat, 65 GB, Tehsil- Anoopgarh, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar. Through Its Secretary.

5. School Development Management Committee, Government Primary School, 65 GB, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri Ganganagar. Through Its Principal Cum Panchayat Elementary Education Officer.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13384 / 2017
Mahendra Kumar Solanki S/o Girdhari Lal Solanki, Aged About 25 Years, R/o Ward No. 06, Tara Nagar District Churu

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Principal Education Secretary, Government Secretariat, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Director (Primary) Education, Bikaner.
4. The Director (Secondary), Education, Bikaner.
5. The District Collector, Churu.
6. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Churu.
7. The District Education Officer (Primary), Churu.

8. The Paden Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Gram Panchayat Dadreva, Panchayat Samiti Rajgarh, District Churu.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13430 / 2017

1. Ashok Kumar S/o Shri Ram Lal, Aged About 35 Years, R/o Village Bhatana, Teh. Reodar, District- Sirohi, Rajasthan.

2. Sukhdev Prohit S/o Shri Veera Ram, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Village Bhatana, Teh. Reodar, District- Sirohi, Rajasthan.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sirohi.

3. The District Education Officer, Primary Education, Sirohi.

4. The Principal, Aadarsh Government Senior Secondary School Batana, Sirohi, Dist. Sirohi.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13439 / 2017

Sukhwant Singh S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh, Aged About 40 Years, Resident of Chak 8 SHPD, Tehsil Suratgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Suratgarh District

Sri Ganganagar.

7. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Aadarsh Sr. Secondary School, 8 SHPD, Panchayat Samiti Suratgarh, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13520 / 2017

Gurmeet Singh S/o Shri Daleep Singh, Aged About 39 Years,
Resident of Chak 8 K (A), Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri
Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar.

7. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Aadarsh Senior Secondary School, 6 RB Jaloki, Panchayat Samiti Padampur, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13541 / 2017


Dinesh Kumar Mali S/o Shri Mewa Lal Mali, Aged About 26 Years,
R/o Mali Mohalla, Badnor, Tehsil Aasind, District Bhilwara,
Rajasthan.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
4. The Director, Elementary Education, Bikaner, Rajasthan.
5. The District Education Officer, Secondary Education, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
6. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan
7. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Bhilwara, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.
8. The Principal/PEEO/Chairman, School Development Management Committee, Government Senior Secondary School, Badnor, Gram Panchayat Badnor, Tehsil Aasind, District Bhilwara, Rajasthan.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13673 / 2017
Ratan Lal Patidar S/o Sh. Gokal Ji Patidar, Aged About 39 Years,
By Caste Patidar, Resident of Village Kothi, Tehsil Aspur, District
Dungarpur (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of School Education, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. Director, Elementary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.
3. Director, Secondary Education, Government of Rajasthan, Bikaner.
4. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Aspur, District Dungarpur.
5. District Education Officer, District Dungarpur.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13691 / 2017
1. Girdhari Lal Dhaka S/o Shri Chandra Ram Dhaka, Aged About
34 Years, Resident of Village Kangad, Tehsil Ratangarh, District
Churu.

2. Jya Meghwal D/o Shri Prema Ram Meghwal, Resident of Village Sanwatiya, Tehsil Ratangarh, District Churu.

-----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Churu.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Churu.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh, District Churu.

7. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Aadarsh Senior Secondary School, Kangad, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh, District Churu.

8. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Senior Secondary School, Sangasar, Panchayat Samiti Ratangarh, District Churu.

-----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 13902 / 2017

Tushar Dagla S/o Shri Govind Ram Dagla, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Ward No. 22, Near Kali Mata Temple, Anoopgarh, Tehsil Anoopgarh, District Sri Gangangar.

-----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development

and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar.
5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar.
6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sri Ganganagar, District Sri Ganganagar.
7. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Aadarsh Senior Secondary School, 3H Chhoti, Panchayat Samiti Sri Ganganagar, District Sri Ganganagar.



----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14396 / 2017

Chiman Singh S/o Shri Jeet Singh, Aged About 31 Years, Resident of Ward No. 2, Chak 1 KPD, B, Gram Panchayat 8 PSD B, Tehsil Gharsana, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur
3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sriganganagar.
5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sriganganagar.
6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Gharsana, District Sri Ganganagar.
7. School Development Management Committee, Govt. Senior Secondary School, 4 KPD, Panchayat Samiti Gharsana, District Sri Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 12423 / 2017

1. Shrawan Kumar S/o Shri Pola Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village Bagra, Tehsil Jalore, District Jalore (Raj.).

2. Chandan Dave S/o Shri Surya Prakash Dave, Aged About 26 Years, R/o Chura, Tehsil Jalore, District Jalore (Raj.).

3. Karana Ram S/o Shri Paraba Ram, Aged About 30 Years, R/o Village & Post Dudsi, Via Bagra, Tehsil Jalore, District Jalore (Raj.).

4. Devendra Singh S/o Shri Chhail Singh, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Village & Post Dudsi, Via Bagra, Tehsil Jalore, District Jalore

5. Laboo Singh S/o Shri Jabar Singh, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village & Post Dudsi, Via Bagra, Tehsil Jalore, District Jalore (Raj.).

6. Chhotu Singh S/o Sajjan Singh, R/o Village Vadabhoja, Post Chitrodi, Tehsil Raniwara, District Jalore (Raj.)

7. Ratilal S/o Dargaram Bhil, R/o Village Vadabhoja, Post Chitrodi, Tehsil Raniwara, District Jalore (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Its Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj and Rural Development, Secretariat, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.

2. The Secretary, Department of Education, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

3. The District Education Officer, Elementary Education, Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Jalore, District Jalore, Rajasthan.

----Respondents


S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15108 / 2017

Vidita Joshi W/o Shri Manoj Kumar Joshi, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Aakashwani, Tripura Colony, Thikariya, District Banswara (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.



सत्यमेव जयते



2. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary., Department of Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Zila Parishad Banswara Through the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Banswara.

4. The District Establishment Committee Banswara Through Its Chairman

5. The District Education Officer, (Secondary Education) District Banswara (Raj.)

6. The District Education Officer., (Elementary Education) District Banswara (Raj.)

7. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Banswara, District Banswara.

8. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Banswara, District Banswara.

9. The Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Girls Senior Secondary School Thikariya, Gram Panchayat Thikariya, Panchayat Samiti Banswara, District Banswara (Raj.)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15111 / 2017

Vandana Joshi W/o Shri Mukesh Joshi, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Khodan, Tehsil Garhi, District Banswara (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Zila Parishad Banswara Through the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Banswara.

4. The District Establishment Committee Banswara Through Its Chairman.

5. The District Education Officer, (secondary Education), District Banswara (Raj.).

6. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), District Banswara (Raj.).

7. The Blok Elementary Education Officer, Garhi, District Banswara.

8. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Garhi, District Banswara.

9. The Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Adarsh Senior Secondary School Khodan, Gram Panchayat Khodan, Panchayat Samiti Garhi, District Banswara (Raj.).

----Respondents



S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15112 / 2017

Kamla Shanker Barod S/o Shri KhatuJi Barod, Aged About 34 Years, R/o Village & Post Borda, Tehsil Ganoda, District Banswara (Raj.).

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Zila Parishad Banswara Through the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Banswara.

4. The District Establishment Committee Banswara Through Its Chairman.

5. The District Education Officer, (Secondary Education), District Banswara (Raj.)

6. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), District Banswara (Raj.)

7. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Ghatol, District Banswara.

8. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Ghatol, District Banswara.

9. The Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government

Aadarsh Senior Secondary School Bhojer, Gram Panchayat Bhojer,
Panchayat Samiti Ghatol, District Banswara (Raj.).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15134 / 2017

Narendra Kumar Bargout S/o Shri Punjiyali Bargout, Aged About 36
Years, R/o Village Mahuwal, Post Delwada, Tehsil Ghatol, District
Banswara (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary., Department of
Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Zila Parishad Banswara Through the Chief Executive Officer, Zila
Parishad Banswara.

4. The District Establishment Committee Banswara Through Its
Chairman.

5. The District Education Officer, (Secondary Education) District
Banswara (Raj.)

6. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education) District
Banswara (Raj.)

7. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Garhi, District
Banswara.

8. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Garhi, District
Banswara.

9. The Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government
Aadarsh Senior Secondary School Metwala, Gram Panchayat Sujaji
Ka Gad, Panchayat Samiti Garhi, District Banswara (Raj.)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15191 / 2017

1. Kanta Joshi D/o Shri Udai Lal Joshi, W/o Shri Sunil Joshi, Aged
About 41 Years, R/o 52, Himalaya Nagar, Gali No. 3, Banswara,
District Banswara (Raj.)

2. Vijeta Sharma D/o Shri Satya Narayan Sharma, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Tripoliya Road, Near Water Tank, Banswara, District Banswara (Raj.)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Zila Parishad Banswara Through the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Banswara.

4. The District Establishment Committee Banswara Through Its Chairman.

5. The District Education Officer, (Secondary Education), District Banswara (Raj.)

6. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), District Banswara (Raj.)

7. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Banswara, District Banswara.

8. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Banswara, District Banswara.

9. The Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School Navagaon, Gram Panchayat Navagaon, Panchayat Samiti Banswara, District Banswara (Raj.)

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 15195 / 2017

1. Dinesh Chandra S/o Shri Harish Chandra, Aged About 33 Years, R/o Village & Post Senawasa, Tehsil Ghatol, District Banswara (Raj.).

2. Narayan Lal S/o Shri Havaji Maida, Aged About 40 Years, R/o Village Kanela, Tehsil Ghatol, District Banswara (Raj.).

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Rajasthan,

Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. State of Rajasthan Through the Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur

3. Zila Parishad Banswara Through the Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad Banswara.

4. The District Establishment Committee Banswara Through Its Chairman.

5. The District Education Officer, (Secondary Education), District Banswara (Raj.).

6. The District Education Officer, (Elementary Education), District Banswara (Raj.).

7. The Block Elementary Education Officer, Ghatol, District Banswara.

8. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Ghatol, District Banswara.

9. The Panchayat Elementary Education Officer, Government Senior Secondary School Senawasa, Gram Panchayat Senawasa, Panchayat Samiti Ghatol, District Banswara (Raj.).

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16193 / 2017

Mehar Singh S/o Shri Richha Pal, Aged About 29 Years, Resident of Village Kalana Taal, Tehsil Rajgarh, District Churu. (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Churu (Raj.)

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Churu (Raj.)

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Rajgarh District Churu. (Raj.)

7. School Development Management Committee, Government Secondary School, Kalana Taal, Tehsil Rajgarh, District Churu.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 16469 / 2017

Mamjeet Singh S/o Shri Mohan Singh, Aged About 28 Years,
Resident of VPO 11 G Chhoti, Tehsil Sri Ganganagar, District Sri
Ganganagar (Raj.)

----Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural
Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education, Govt. of Rajasthan,
Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development
and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Sri Ganganagar (Raj.)

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Sri Ganganagar (Raj.)

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sri Ganganagar,
District Sri Ganganagar. (Raj.)

7. School Development Management Committee, Government
Secondary School, 13 G Chhoti, Tehsil Sri Ganganagar, District Sri
Ganganagar.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14277 / 2017

Raju Rani D/o Shri Surjan Singh Khichi, Aged About 32 Years, R/o
Village Teu, Sudsar, Sri Dungargarh, District Bikaner.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Rajasthan Through the Joint Government Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj. Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
2. The Government Deputy Secretary, School Education Department, Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. The Director, Elementary Education, Govt. of Raj., Jaipur.
4. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Bikaner (Raj.).
5. The District Education Officer (DEO), Bikaner.
6. The Block Elementary Education Officer (BEEO), Bikaner.
7. The Panchayat Preliminary Education Officer, (PEEO), Bikaner.
8. The Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Sri Dungargarh, Bikaner, District Bikaner.
9. The Gram Sewak and Secretary, Gram Panchayat Sudsar, Panchayat Samiti Sri Dungargarh, District Bikaner (Raj.)
10. The Principal of the Government Secondary School, Sudsar, (President of SDMC / SMC), Gram Panchayat Sudsar, Panchayat Samiti Sri Dungargarh, District Bikaner.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14363 / 2017

1. Vijay Laxmi W/o Shri Baldev, Aged About 46 Years, By Caste Brahmin, Resident of in Front of Municipal Board, Taranagar District Churu.
2. Sanjay Kumar Nehra S/o Shri Hanuman Prasad, Aged About 42 Years, By Caste Jat, Resident of Ward No. 16, Taranagar District Churu.
3. Vikram Singh S/o Shri Govind Ram, Aged About 42 Years, By Caste Nai, Resident of Ward No. 16, Taranagar District Churu.
4. Hanuman Prasad S/o Shri Laxman Ram, Aged About 38 Years, By Caste Mochi, Resident of Ward No. 6, Taranagar District Churu.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Rajasthan Through Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayati Raj, Government of Rajasthan,

Secretariat, Jaipur.

2. Dy. Secretary, School Education Department, Government of Rajasthan, Secretariat, Jaipur.

3. Addl. Commissioner and Joint Secretary, Rural Development and Panchayati Raj Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Parishad, Churu.

5. District Education Officer (Elementary), Churu.

6. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Taranagar District Churu.

7. Block Development Officer, Panchayat Samiti Rajgarh District Churu.

8. Block Development Officer., Panchayat Samiti Churu District Churu.

9. School Development and Management Committee Gram Panchayat Bhanin, Panchayat Samiti Taranagar District Churu Through Its President.

10. School Development and Management Committee Gram Panchayat Jodi, Panchayat Samiti Churu District Churu Through Its President.

11. School Development and Management Committee Gram Panchayat Bhuvadi, Panchayat Samiti Rajgarh District Churu Thorough Its President.

12. School Development and Management Committee Gram Panchayat Alayala, Panchayat Samiti Taranagar District Churu Thorough Its President.

----Respondents

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 14595 / 2017

ANJU D/O BHANWAR LAL W/O DURGA RAM, Aged About 36 Years,
R/O SINLA TEHSIL MARWAR JUNCTION DISTRICT PALI
RAJASTHAN.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. THE STATE OF RAJASTHAN THROUGH PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND PANCHAYAT RAJ DEPARTMENT,
GOVERNMENT OF RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR.

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, PALI RAJASTHAN.


3. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, ELEMENTARY EDUCATION,
PALI.

4. THE GRAM PANCHAYAT SINLA, PANCHAYAT SAMITI- MARWAR
JUNCTION, DISTRICT PALI, RAJASTHAN.

5. THE SCHOOL DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE,
DISTRICT- PALI

6. THE PRINCIPAL, AADHARSH HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL,
SINLA, TEHSIL MARWAR JUNCTION, DISTRICT PALI RAJASTHAN.

-----Respondents



For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ravindra Singh, Mr. Vikram Singh, Mr. NK Rastogi, Mr. Mahaveer Singh, Mr. Tanwar Singh Rathore, Mr. V.R. Choudhary, Mr. BL Choudhary, Mr. H.R. Vishnoi, Mr. Mahendra Trivedi, Mr. Rajesh Choudhary, Mr. Rajesh Saharan, Mr. Inderjeet Yadav, Mr. Hemant Jain, Mr. H.R. Chawla, Mr. Hanuman Singh Rajpurohit, Mr. S.S. Nirban, Mr. Kailash Jangid, Mr. J.S. Bhaleria, Mr. Awar Dan Ujjwal, Mr. B.S. Tanwar, Mr. Om Prakash Kumawat, Mr. Rajendra Katariya, Mr. Vinay Jain, Mr. Mukesh Rajpurohit, Mr. VR Choudhary, Mr. R.S. Choudhary, Mr. Ashvini Swami, Mr. Sardul Bishnoi, Mr. Manoj Bohra, Mr. Mahaveer Singh, Mr. H.S. Sidhu, Mr. P.S. Solanki, Mr. KDS Bhati, Mr. Manoj Purohit, Mr. Kishan Bansal, Mr. R. Bhatnagar, Mr. KR Saharan, Mr. RS Saluja, Mr. VN Kalla, Mr. Shreekant Verma, Mr. Dinesh Vishnoi, Mr. BS Tanwar, Mr. Rajesh Choudhary, Mr. Kan Singh Oad, Mr. M.S. Deora, Mr. Rajesh Choudhary, Mr. JDS Bhati & Mr. Ripudaman Singh

For Respondent(s) : Mr. SS Ladrecha, AAG, assisted by
Mr. Vikas Choudhary,
Mr. Sudhir Pratap Singh for
Mr. Rajesh Panwar, AAG.
Mr. SS Gour, for the applicant

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI**Judgment****13.12.2017**

1. The petitioners have preferred these writ petitions, in sum and substance, with the following prayers and for the sake of convenience, prayer clauses are being taken from the leading case

being S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.12385/2017 :-

(i) The impugned circular dated 15.9.2017 (Annex.9) passed by the respondents may kindly be quashed and set aside.

(ii) The respondents may be restrained to invite fresh application forms for the fresh recruitment process in pursuance of the circular Annex.9 dated 15.9.2017.

(iii) The respondents may be directed to give appointment according to the merit to only those candidates who have already applied and given interview on the scheduled date.

(iv) The respondent State Authorities may kindly be directed to give appointment as per their merit with all consequential benefits to the petitioner on the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak in Gram Panchayat, Surpur, Panchayat Samiti Talwara, District Banswara.

(v) Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of this case may kindly be passed in favour of the petitioners."

2. Brief facts noticed by this Court are that the respondents amended the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996,

while exercising the powers conferred by Section 102 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 (Act No.13 of 1994). The amended Rules were named as "The Rajasthan Panchayati Raj (Second Amendment) Rules, 2016". As per the amended Rules, the amendment of Rule 258 of the Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996 was made, particularly after the existing sub-rule (2) of Rule 258, new sub-rule (3) was added. Thus, the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak was created, on part time or on fixed honorarium or on contract basis, for Panchayat Office.



3. For the execution of sub-rule (3) of Rule 258, the respondents came out with a circular dated 08.11.2016. The said circular laid down the service conditions of the persons to be selected as Gram Panchayat Sahayak. For the purpose of such selection, the eligibility criteria was as follows:-

“ग्राम पंचायत सहायक हेतु अर्हताएँ

1. आवेदक राजस्थान माध्यमिक शिक्षा बोर्ड, अजमेर से सीनियर सैकेण्डरी या इसके समकक्ष उत्तीर्ण होना आवश्यक है।
2. आवेदक की आयु 18 से 40 वर्ष की होनी चाहिए।
3. आवेदक का जिस ग्राम पंचायत हेतु चयन किया जा रहा है, से संबंधित पंचायत समिति का स्थानीय निवासी होना आवश्यक है।”

4. Similarly for termination of any candidate, the following four conditions have been laid down in the said circular dated 08.11.2016:-

“ग्राम पंचायत सहायक का पृथक्करण :-

(अ) यदि ग्राम पंचायत सहायक दुराचरण का दोषी पाया जाता है अथवा न्यायालय द्वारा दोषी पाये जाने पर उसे मानदेय से पृथक् किया जा सकेगा।

(ब) ग्राम पंचायत सहायक के कार्य में लापरवाही, शिथिलता अथवा किसी भी प्रकार की अनियमितता करने का दोषी पाये जाने पर संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा उसे हटाया जा सकेगा। ऐसा करने से पूर्व आरोपित ग्राम पंचायत सहायक को सुनवाई का युक्तियुक्त अवसर अवश्य दिया जायेगा।

(क) ग्राम पंचायत सहायक के स्वैच्छा से अनुपस्थित रहने पर भी उसे हटाया जा सकेगा।

(द) ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा ग्राम पंचायत सहायक की सेवाओं की आवश्यकता नहीं होने पर बिना कारण बताये भी उसे हटाया जा सकता है।”



5. The selection process had to happen as per the following five conditions mentioned in the aforementioned circular dated 08.11.2016:

“चयन की प्रक्रिया -

. ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों का चयन संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत की ग्राम सभा में किया जायेगा। आवेदक अपना आवेदन परिशिष्ट -2 पर दिये प्रारूप एवं स्वप्रमाणित दस्तावेजों के साथ संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत को ग्राम सभा के दिन प्रातः 10.30 बजे तक संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत के ग्राम सेवक को प्रस्तुत कर सकेंगे। एक अभ्यर्थी एक ग्राम पंचायत में ही आवेदन कर सकेगा। एक से अधिक स्थान पर आवेदन करना पाये जाने पर दोनों/ सभी स्थानों के आवेदन रद्द समझे जायेगे।

. आवेदक के उसी पंचायत समिति का निवासी होने का प्रमाण पत्र भी संलग्न किया जाना आवश्यक होगा।

. एक निर्धारित दिवस पर समस्त राज्य में एक साथ ग्राम सभाओं को आयोजित कर चयन की प्रक्रिया पूर्ण की जायेगी। चयन हेतु आवेदक का

उस ग्राम सभा में व्यक्तिगत उपस्थित रहना अनिवार्य होगा, जिसमें उसने आवेदन किया है।

. संबंधित विकास अधिकारी द्वारा अपनी पंचायत समिति की समस्त ग्राम पंचायतों में आवश्यक सहायकों की चयन योग्य संख्या का विवरण एक साथ एक स्थानीय समाचार पत्र में प्रकाशित करवाया जायेगा। इसके अतिरिक्त ग्राम पंचायत अपने कार्यालय के सूचना पट्ट पर भी चयन हेतु रिक्तियों का एवं ग्राम सभा की दिनांक का प्रदर्शन सुनिश्चित करेगी। ग्राम पंचायत अपने स्थानीय क्षेत्र में ग्राम पंचायत सहायक के चयन हेतु आयोजित की जा रही ग्राम सभा बाबत व्यापक प्रचार-प्रसार सुनिश्चित करेगी।

. ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा समस्त चयन की प्रक्रिया ग्राम सभा के दिन ही पूर्ण कर उसी दिन चयनित आवेदकों के नाम अनुमोदन हेतु संबंधित मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, जिला परिषद को प्रेषित करने होंगे। जिला परिषद में अगले कार्य दिवस पर मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी / अति. मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, संबंधित पंचायत समिति के विकास अधिकारी तथा एक लेखाधिकारी/ सहायक लेखाधिकारी की समिति संबंधित पंचायत समिति की समस्त ग्राम पंचायतों में हुए चयन का अनुमोदन करेंगे। अनुमोदन के पश्चात संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा आदेश जारी किये जा सकेंगे। समिति द्वारा अनुमोदन नहीं किये जाने की दशा में पुनः ग्राम सभा आयोजित की जाकर नये नाम प्रस्तावित किये जाने की कार्यवाही की जायेगी।”

6. The State Government further issued a circular dated 27.01.2017, in which the selection process was further clarified. The State Government further issued directions on 01.02.2017. Thus, the purport of the circulars was that as per the criteria, the concerned School Development and Management Committee (SDMC)/School Management Committee (SMC) was to select the candidates and make recommendation for their appointment, and thereafter, the selection of those candidates was supposed to be approved by a District Level Committee comprising of the

following:-

"(i) District Education Officer, Primary Education First – Chairman.

(ii) District Education Officer, Primary Education Second – Member.

(iii) District Education Officer, Secondary Education First – Member.

(iv) Concerned Block Primary Education Officer – Member Secretary."



The last Committee was having the powers to approve the selection process and pave the way for the requisite appointments. Some of the candidates were given appointments in pursuance of the recommendation of the SDMC/SMC; and some of the candidates though recommended, but were yet to be given appointments.

8. The petitioners are broadly aggrieved by the circular No. P.15(1)Prasi/2017 dated 15.9.2017 (Annex.15) issued by the State Government by which fresh applications are invited. The circular dated 15.9.2017 has set out the calendar for fresh exercise being conducted by the State of Rajasthan for rest of the Gram Panchayats where the Gram Panchayat Sahayak recruitment could not be completed on account of disqualification of the recommended candidate by the concerned DLC. The relevant portion of the circular is as follows:-

राजस्थान सरकार
ग्रामीण विकास एवं पंचायती राज विभाग
(पंचायती राज)

क्रमांक:एफ.4()MTW /विधि/पंरावि/2016/68

जयपुर, दिनांक:27.1.2017

--: परिपत्र :-

विषय :- ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों को मानदेय पर लगाये जाने बाबत दिशा-निर्देश।

प्रसंग :- विभागीय परिपत्र क्रमांक 832 दिनांक 8.11.2016।



उपरोक्त विषयान्तर्गत प्रासंगिक पत्र के जरिये ग्राम पंचायतों के विभिन्न कार्यों में सहायता के लिए एक वर्ष के लिए पूर्णतः अस्थाई आधार पर "ग्राम पंचायत सहायक" के रूप में मानदेय पर कार्मिक लगाये जाने की विस्तृत प्रक्रिया एवं दिशा-निर्देश निर्धारित किये गए थे।

भारत के संविधान के 73वें संशोधन की 11वीं अनुसूची में वर्णित 29 विषयों में से राजस्थान सरकार की आज्ञा क्रमांक एफ.4(2)पंराज/सशक्त/2010/27 दिनांक 2.10.2010 द्वारा पांच विभागों से संबंधित कार्यकलाप पंचायती राज संस्थाओं को हस्तांतरित किये जा चुके हैं।

राज्य में प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा की गुणवत्ता में सुधार एवं निःशुल्क एवं अनिवार्य बाल शिक्षा अधिकार अधिनियम, 2009, की क्रियांवित हेतु विभागीय अधिसूचना दिनांक 25.01.2017 के द्वारा राजस्थान पंचायती राज नियम, 1996 के नियम 258 के उप-नियम(1) में (ड़) पदेन अधिकारी: (i) पदेन पंचायत प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी ।" का प्रावधान किया गया है। उक्त नियमों के नियम 259(9) के प्रावधानानुसार स्कूल शिक्षा विभाग के द्वारा पृथक से आज्ञा जारी कर ग्राम पंचायत के लिए स्कूल शिक्षा विभाग के किसी अधिकारी को पदेन पंचायत प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी नामित किया जायेगा।

उपरोक्तानुसार ग्राम पंचायत के पदेन पंचायत प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी को ग्राम पंचायत क्षेत्र में प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा के उन्नयन हेतु सौंपे गए विभिन्न दायित्वों का निर्वहन

करने के लिए संपादित किये जाने वाले कार्यों में सहयोग का कार्य भी ग्राम पंचायत सहायक द्वारा किया जायेगा।

अतः प्रासंगिक परिपत्र दिनांक 8.11.2016 को निम्नानुसार वर्णित बिन्दुओं के अनुसार इस प्रकार से संशोधित किया जाता है :-

चयन की प्रक्रिया:-

- a) ग्राम पंचायत सहायक का चयन संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत के पदेन पंचायत प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी जिस विद्यालय के संस्थाप्रधान हैं उस विद्यालय की विद्यालय विकास एवं प्रबंधन समिति (SDMC)/विद्यालय प्रबंधन समिति (SMC) की कार्यकारी समिति के द्वारा किया जायेगा।



उपरोक्त बिन्दु सं० a के अनुसार कार्यकारी समिति द्वारा चयन किये जाने की प्रक्रिया हेतु वांछित दिशा-निर्देश तथा इस प्रयोजनार्थ गठित किये जाने वाली जिला स्तरीय समिति के लिए निर्देश पृथक् से स्कूल शिक्षा विभाग द्वारा जारी किये जायेंगे।

- c) जिला स्तरीय समिति द्वारा अनुशंसित सूची को जिला शिक्षा अधिकारी, प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा द्वारा संबंधित मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, जिला परिषद् के समक्ष अनुमोदन हेतु प्रस्तुत किया जायेगा।
- d) मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, जिला परिषद् से प्राप्त अनुमोदन के आधार पर ग्राम पंचायत सहायक को समेकित मानदेय पर पूर्णतया अस्थाई रूप से संबंधित ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा नियोजन हेतु लगाया जायेगा। जिसके लिए औपचारिक पत्र ग्रामसेवक एवं पदेन सचिव द्वारा सूची प्राप्त होने वाले दिन ही जारी किया जायेगा तथा ग्राम पंचायत सहायक को रूपये 6,000/- मासिक मानदेय राशि का भुगतान संबंधित, ग्राम पंचायत द्वारा किया जायेगा।
- e) उपरोक्त जिला स्तरीय समिति द्वारा अनुशंसा नहीं किए जाने अथवा मुख्य कार्यकारी अधिकारी, जिला परिषद् द्वारा अनुमोदन नहीं किये जाने की स्थिति में संबंधित "पदेन पंचायत प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी" द्वारा विद्यालय विकास एवं

प्रबंधन समिति (SDMC)/विद्यालय प्रबंधन समिति (SMC) की कार्यकारी समिति की पुनः बैठक आयोजित कर नये नाम प्रस्तावित किए जाने की कार्यवाही की जायेगी।

ग्राम पंचायत सहायकों के दायित्व एवं कर्तव्य :-

ग्राम पंचायत सहायक पूर्व परिपत्र दिनांक 08.11.2016 में वर्णित कार्यों के साथ-साथ ग्राम पंचायत की ग्राम पंचायत विकास योजना (जीपीडीपी) से संबंधित कार्य

करने तथा पदेन पंचायत प्रारम्भिक शिक्षा अधिकारी द्वारा किये जाने वाले कार्यों में सहभाग करने।

ग्राम पंचायत सहायक हेतु अर्हतायें:-

1. आवेदक राजस्थान माध्यमिक शिक्षा बोर्ड, अजमेर से सीनियर सैकण्डरी या इसके समकक्ष परीक्षा उत्तीर्ण होना आवश्यक है।

2. आवेदक का जिस जिले में ग्राम पंचायत स्थित है, उस जिले का निवासी होना आवश्यक है।

sd/-

शासन सचिव एवं आयुक्त''

9. Learned counsel for the parties have made the following submissions :-

a. The respondents are opening the field of selection for all the candidates vide circular dated 15.9.2017, whereas the petitioners were already within the zone of consideration and they themselves ought to have been considered, thus, learned counsel for the petitioners have harped upon the issue to be resolved by fresh recruitment but with only the available candidates who have already applied in the previous selection process.

b. The respondents were required to declare result for disqualification of the petitioners.

c. The respondents were required to have a uniform criteria for making appointment on the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak across the State.

d. The respondents were required to pass reasoned merit or disqualification for the persons who were not selected in the previous exercise.

e. Learned counsel for the petitioner further stated that the respondents were required to treat Vidyarthi Mitra as ordinary candidate without giving them any exclusive preference. Counsel for the petitioners also sought information pertaining to Vidyarthi Mitra appointed on the said post.

f. Learned counsel for the petitioner further raised an issue of the meritorious candidates among the petitioners being disqualified for no apparent reasons.

10. Thus, broadly the petitioners have challenged their disqualification from the earlier process conducted by the respective SDMC/SLC and the concerned DLC whereby posts have been left unfilled. As per counsel for the petitioners, the respondents are acting illegally by permitting the fresh candidates as it would create chaos and the persons who are already in the pipeline will not get justice as they shall be facing open market competition, which they have already faced in the same appointment process and for the same Gram Panchayat.



11. Learned counsel for the petitioners have also vehemently opposed the inclusion of fresh candidates in the same process as the earlier process was in continuity and if the petitioners who were merit-holders in the said process were permitted, then probably they would be the candidates who will

get preference in the selection, thus, justice shall be done to them. On such argument being raised by counsel for the petitioners on earlier occasion also, this Court framed certain points for the respondents so as to file an additional affidavit pertaining to all these issues. An additional affidavit has been filed by the respondents and the questions framed with the assistance of learned counsel for petitioners have been answered by the respondents, which reads as follows :-

“1. Whether any uniform criteria has been adopted by the SDMC/SMC/DLC/ Chief Executive Officer for the purpose of fresh recruitment across the State.

As regard query No.1, it is respectfully submitted that the State Government has provided guidelines relating to procedure and qualification for the purpose of recruitment on the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak. However, the criteria for selection of Gram Panchayat Sahayak has been left to the discretion of the individual SDMC/SMC on the basis of interview of the candidate concern.

2. Whether the disqualification of SDMC approved/



recommended candidates by DLC was appropriately considered or not before ousting them.

As regard query no.2, it is respectfully submitted that before ousting the candidates approved and recommended by SDMC, the DLC has duly considered and recorded reason/s for ousting the candidate concern.



3. Whether new process will include fresh candidates or shall be conducted amongst the candidates who had already applied.

As regard query No.3, it is respectfully submitted that in the new process of selection, fresh candidates will be included in the process so as to ensure compliance of Article 14 of the Constitution of India. The candidates who already applied will also have to apply afresh to be included in the process of selections.

4. The affidavit shall also clarify as to condition No.12 of the circular dated 01.02.2017 as to whether the same does not stipulate for the same set of candidates to be reconsidered for the purpose of fresh recruitment which is likely to be conducted only for the remaining Panchayats where the selections have not already been approved or completed. As regard query No.4, as respectfully submitted in response to query No.3, it is also most respectfully that in the new

process of selection, the same set of candidates, if they apply, as well as candidature of new applicants will be considered. Thus, condition No.12 of the circular dated 1.2.2017 may be read to the above-said effect.



5. The affidavit shall also contain the broader reason as to why DLC disapproved the candidates approved by the SDMC. The affidavit shall also contain the stand of the respondents so as to when they proposed to re-initiate the process of filling vacant posts.

As regards query No.5, it is respectfully submitted that the District Level Committee disapproved the candidates approved by the School Development and Management Committee on the various grounds which are duly recorded in the proceeding/s of the DLC. However, the broader reason for disapproving the candidates by the DLC may be defined as (I) favourism; (ii) nepotism (iii) violation of guidelines issued by the State Government from time to time.

6. The respondents shall also provide all the state wise figures of selected candidates as available to them. In case, they have information regarding the selected candidates who have been Vidhyarathi Mitra, the same shall also be provided.

As regards query No.6, it is respectfully submitted that the State wise, there are 9893 Gram Panchayats and

out of the aforesaid 9893 Gram Panchayats, process of selection of Gram Panchayat Sahayak has been completed in the 6995 Gram Panchayats, wherein, 19687 Gram Panchayat Sahayaks have been approved by the Chief Executive Officer concern. However, answering the respondents are not in a position to clarify the number of Vidhyarthi Mitras amongst them.




7. Whether any preference is being given to Vidhyarthi Mitra in the said appointments and if yes, then what is the purview of such preference.

As regards query No.7, it is respectfully submitted that in the matter of appointment of Gram Panchayat Sahayak, State Government has not provided any instruction to give preference to the candidates having experience of working on the post of Vidhyarthi Mitra.”

12. Thus, the learned Additional Advocate General has categorically come out with the stand that recruitment shall be done strictly in accordance with the previous circulars issued by respondents and finally the circular dated 15.9.2017 shall govern the field. Learned Additional Advocate General further stated that the criteria for appointment to the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak has been left at the discretion of the individual SDMC/SMC on the basis of interview of the candidate concerned and the State is not making any condition as the candidates have to be appointed for local needs and as per the local conditions for

which SDMC are competent bodies.

13. Learned Additional Advocate General has submitted that all the candidates of Gram Panchayat Sahayak for which recruitment exercise took place which was properly taken up by the SDMC and DLC and considered before making any appointment or making any ouster of the candidate concerned.



14. Learned Additional Advocate General has reiterated that for the Gram Panchayats where the exercise of selection of Gram Panchayat Sahayak could not be completed or the exercise resulted into disqualification of selected candidates, the respondents are bound to make fresh exercise by opening the doors afresh for all the candidates in light of circular dated 15.9.2017.

15. Learned Additional Advocate General has justified the reason for opening fresh exercise on the ground that since it will be a fresh selection process, the consideration has to be made so that maximum persons should be given opportunity who approach the respondents for being appointed as per the criteria already stipulated.

16. Learned Additional Advocate General also submitted that the apprehension of giving appointment to any Vidyarthi Mitra candidates was not based on any sound information and in fact, the respondents are having categoric information that no such

extra ordinary preference has been directed to be given for the purpose of giving preference to Vidyarthi Mitras.


17. Learned Additional Advocate General has also stated that broadly the criteria of disposal of proceedings of appointment was done with an eye of allegation of favouratism, nepotism and violation of guidelines issued by the State Government against the petitioners and other unsuccessful candidates.



18. Learned Additional Advocate General has stated that the selections have been completed in substantial number of Gram Panchayats i.e. 6995 whereas for rest of the part of Gram Panchayat Sahayaks i.e. 19687 have been approved to be appointed by the Executive Officer concerned in the fresh exercise.

19. Learned Additional Advocate General has justified the circular dated 15.9.2017 stating that the said circular is totally in accordance with law and is not changing the criteria. Where selections have to be made only in those Gram Panchayat Sahayak where the Gram Panchayat Sahayaks were appointed even after completion of the proceedings. New process have been initiated as per the conditions which were previously existing, the respondents shall make such exercise and shall complete the same as per the calender issued, however, the dates shall be subject to change as per administrative exigency.

20. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing record of case alongwith affidavit and circulars, which are there on record, this Court is of the opinion that the selection of Gram Panchayat Sahayaks was in accordance with the amendment in Rules of 1996, particularly, Rule 258 sub-rule(iii), which was Amendment Act no.13 of 1994 which amended Rules namely Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Second Amendment Rules, 2016. From a bare reading of Rule, it is clear that for administration of Gram Panchayat a post has been created and the same shall be for part-time for a limited contract period. This Court has also seen circulars which have been issued from time to time prescribing eligibility criteria and the law laid down in other service conditions for recruitment, particularly, the circular which lay down parameters on which respective local bodies have to conduct recruitment, which is to be finally approved by the School Development and Managing Committee of the respective Panchayats and finally approved by the District Level Committee constituted by the respondents.



The petitioners who participated in the aforementioned exercise could not qualify and were disqualified at SDMC/DLC level leaving the exercise of completion of appointment of Gram Panchayat Sahayak incomplete in number of Panchayats.

State counsel has stated that there were 9893 Gram Panchayats for whom appointment on the post of Gram Panchayat Sahayak were to be conducted, however, the exercise resulted into

appointment of 19687 Gram Panchayat Sahayaks.

The petitioners on such non-completion have approached this Court mainly aggrieved by opening of process by the new circular dated 15.9.2017 as the petitioners do not wish to participate again in the same process even when the post is a part-time contractual and for limited period. This Court is of the opinion that such posts which are being filled as per Rajasthan Panchayati Raj Rules, 1996 are contractual posts and discretion has been given to the concerned Gram Panchayats and the local bodies and local authority to complete the selection process by giving broader guidelines in the preceding selection process. The respondents cannot be bound in the recruitment process in such a way that contractual appointments shall have to meet the rigor of regular selection process exercise.



The application preferred by the complainant candidates on the basis of which ouster of the present writ petitioners has happened are made party in the present petition.

This Court finds that the circulars have broadly given parameters for selections including basic qualifications and basic guidelines prescribing criteria for making selection while leaving the final selections to SDC/DLC local level so that need of the Panchayat is kept in mind while making such appointment, which is of paramount consideration in the given set of circumstance where requirement of such person is only for fulfilling the duties which

may vary from place to place and Panchayat to Panchayat.

This Court has also interfered in the selection process in the matter of Subhash Chandra while binding the respondents to secure the appointed candidates by not ousting them without giving any opportunity of hearing and the ousted candidates have

been reasonably protected by the Jaipur Bench of this Court in the matter of Sunita Sharma, whereby the ousted candidates have to give their representations before the Committee constituted by the Hon.ble Court and such Committee is also in existence. Thus,


an incomplete redressal system for the persons ousted as well in the persons approved/ appointed is in place by virtue of both the judgment referred. The respondents themselves were cautious that these are contractual appointments and any delay would cause the complete exercise to be frustrated.

On a bare reading of circular dated 15.9.2017, the same is justified as it only calls for compliance of the earlier circulars and open a fresh selection process for the Gram Panchayats where the selection could not be completed in the earlier selection exercise. In light of the affidavit filed by the State, it is clear that the exercise shall be conducted by a particular criteria to be decided by local SDMC and, thus, it shall fulfill the requirements of the local bodies. As such, the ouster of the candidates is always an outcome in any selection exercise and it shall be in huge numbers but in such contractual appointments they cannot be communicated reasons for their ouster. No indulgence can be



granted to them by this Court as factual matrix of each case is different. As far as inclusion of fresh candidates is concerned, the same is done by the respondents only where earlier selection process did not culminate into final selection and thus opening the same would be justified in light of the basic parameters of the law.

It is expected that SDMC and DLC shall act in accordance with law by maintaining maximum transparency in the selection process which shall be in accordance with the circular dated 15.9.2017.



With the aforesaid observations the present writ petitions are dismissed and no interference is called for in the conditions of the circular dated 15.9.2017 or selection exercise of Gram Panchayat Sahayaks for the remaining Gram Panchayat where the same could not be completed on the earlier occasion.

(DR. PUSHPENDRA SINGH BHATI), J.

Sanjay